## PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH, PRACTICE, AND POLICY

VOLUME 2: NO. 1

JANUARY 2005

ESSAY

## Challenges and Opportunities in Border Health

Joel Rodríguez-Saldaña, MD

Suggested citation for this article: Rodríguez-Saldaña J. Challenges and opportunities in border health. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan [date cited]. Available from: URL: http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2005/jan/ 04\_0099.htm.

Approximately 11.5 million people reside in the 42 counties and 39 Mexican municipalities located along the U.S.-Mexico border, and 86% of those people reside in 14 pairs of sister cities, metropolitan areas divided by the international border (1). Border residents share similar resources and environmental problems: issues of great concern include air quality, water quantity and quality, and animal control. The communities along the border are economically and socially interdependent, with more than 1 million legal northbound crossings every day. The need to establish cooperation between the United States and Mexico for improving health has led to collaborative initiatives between the public and private sectors (1). The principal health problems at the U.S.-Mexico border are characterized by disparities in health systems (2), which result from the lower health standards and socioeconomic conditions of Mexican border communities compared with U.S. border communities.

Health-system disparities produce differences in and barriers to health care access and use (3,4). Documented cases that demand the creation of programs across the U.S.-Mexico border show different rates in the prevalence of infectious disease, including hepatitis A, salmonella, tuberculosis, dengue fever, and *Helicobacter pylori* infection (5,6). The magnitude and relevance of infectious disease as a major concern along the U.S.-Mexico border have prompted the establishment of binational agreements, such as the U.S.-Mexico Border Infectious Disease Surveillance Project, with the purpose of enhancing the effectiveness of infectious disease prevention (7). On the other hand, populations on both sides of the border share the impact of diseases — such as obesity and diabetes resulting from similar lifestyle changes. The prevalence rate for diabetes along the U.S.-Mexico border is nearly 50% higher than the rate for the rest of the United States, and Hispanics are more vulnerable to suffering the burden of chronic complications because of genetic, economic, social, behavioral, and psychological factors.

This issue of *Preventing Chronic Disease* includes an introduction and overview (8) as well as additional articles on the Border Health Strategic Initiative (*Border Health ¡SI!*), a comprehensive community approach to diabetes prevention and control primarily concentrated in Yuma and Santa Cruz counties in Arizona. *Border Health ¡SI!* is based on models of community capacity building and community change and was established through a partnership between several border community groups and the University of Arizona. In addition to being comprehensive and community oriented, *Border Health ¡SI!* was designed to be acceptable to stakeholders, effective in fostering and sustaining change, adaptable to other communities, sustainable after funding, and process and outcome focused.

To reduce the incidence of diabetes among individuals with impaired glucose tolerance, *Border Health ;SI!* has emphasized the management of risk factors such as obesity through lifestyle changes (e.g., nutritional counseling, increased physical activity, modest weight loss). The program has also focused on community-based diabetes care provided by a multidisciplinary team that targets patients with diabetes, their families, and their health care providers. Community-health outreach workers called *promotores de salud* have been instrumental in implementing interventions designed to change personal health risk factors.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions. Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by any of the groups named above.

The introductory article also describes the formation of community-based coalitions called Special Action Groups (SAGs), whose primary goal is to identify and implement plans for policy and environmental change. Meister et al (9) provide details on how the SAGs in two communities were formed and how they promoted activities to support physical activity and nutrition, and Steinfelt (10) reports on her experience as the community coordinator responsible for orchestrating SAG activities. Other articles in this issue, described below, provide examples of target populations.

Ingram et al report on the effectiveness of a series of diabetes education classes to assist participants in gaining knowledge and skills necessary to be physically active, control diet, monitor blood sugar, take medications, and be aware of complications (11). Promotores de salud play a key role in conducting outreach, participating in patient education, and providing educational support in an overall framework in which individual ability to manage diabetes is not separated from community context and support for diabetes care. Community health centers administered the program and provided a coordinator. Academic partners provided technical assistance and conducted evaluations. The culturally competent curriculum employed a variety of teaching methods to educate participants on how diabetes affects the body. In addition, program staff measured blood glucose, weight, and blood pressure at each of five weekly classes. Improvements in self-management behaviors, HbA1c, random blood glucose, and blood pressure were documented after five weeks. The authors conclude that successful implementation of a program like Border Health iSI! includes five essential elements: basic diabetes education, peer outreach and support, integration of diabetes and clinical care, access to medical care and medication, and sustainability.

Teufel-Shone et al (12) describe how the University of Arizona and two community health agencies collaborated to design, pilot, and assess the feasibility of a lay healthoutreach, worker-delivered diabetes education program for families. The culturally appropriate program addressed family food choices, physical activity, behavior change, communication, and support behaviors. Seventytwo families participated, and pre- and post-evaluations showed an increase in knowledge of diabetes risk factors and an increase in family efficacy to change food and activity behaviors.

Staten et al report their findings after implementing the School Health Index (SHI) in 13 schools in two counties along the U.S.-Mexico border as part of Border Health ;SI! between 2000 and 2003 (13). The alarming increase in childhood obesity is a contributing factor to the escalating rate of type 2 diabetes among adolescents. Although the school environment is shown to neglect promotion of physical activity (e.g., by eliminating or not offering physical education classes) and good nutrition (e.g., by selling candy in vending machines), it offers opportunities to combat obesity and diabetes. The SHI is a team-based program launched by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 2000 as a self-assessment and planning tool for health promotion. The SHI enables schools to identify strengths and weaknesses of physical activity and nutrition policies and programs and to develop action plans for improving student health. Border Health jSI! supported the hiring and training of an external (i.e., not part of the school system) SHI coordinator in each county who worked with the schools to implement the SHI, develop action plans, and monitor progress. Process and participation varied from school to school, but most schools made at least one immediate change in the school environment to promote student health. Analysis of short-term and intermediate outcomes of the SHI at these schools will be of great additional value.

Staten et al also report on Pasos Adelante, a curriculum designed in cultural context aimed at preventing diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and other chronic diseases in Hispanic populations (14). The 12-week program was facilitated by promotores de salud in two counties along the Arizona-Sonora, Mexico border. Sessions included physical activity. Walking clubs were established that could continue after the program concluded. Approximately 250 people participated in Pasos Adelante.. Analysis of pre- and postprogram questionnaires demonstrated a significant increase in moderate to vigorous walking among participants as well as positive changes in nutritional patterns. The success of the Pasos Adelante curriculum shows that a culturally appropriate educational program can motivate people in border communities to adopt healthier lifestyle behaviors.

In a related article on original research, Abarca et al (15) illustrate how community indicators were used to assess nutrition in communities targeted by *Border Health ¡SI!*. Local grocery store purchases were selected as an indicator, and a structured 26-question interview

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions. Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by any of the groups named above.

was developed and administered to grocery store managers. In addition, the investigators gathered data from milk distributors serving these communities. Results showed that food items with a higher fat and higher caloric content were favored. The authors suggest that barriers to acceptance of healthier food items include lack of knowledge concerning healthy foods and their prices. They conclude that more interventions are needed to change dietary patterns, improve overall health, and prevent and control diabetes in these communities.

Schachter et al report their findings on implementing national diabetes guidelines in five border-community health centers (two in Arizona and three in Texas) (16). Each center selected their top four or five indicators of diabetes care and performed baseline audits of medical records in a minimal sample of 12 to 15 charts. Percentage level of compliance for each indicator was compared with the average percentage level of overall diabetes care compliance for each community health center. Priorities varied from clinic to clinic, but the majority of indicators showed improvement. All participating centers expressed interest in improving performance. Only three centers, however, were audited again 24 months later: two maintained or increased improvements, and one lost ground. As reported in other studies (17), translating guidelines into practice is easier said than done: "Between the health care we have and the care we could have lies not just a gap, but a chasm" (18).

Although there is increasing evidence of improvements in diabetes care, not all people with diabetes are experiencing these benefits (19). Addressing the complexities of diabetes management, improving the established systems of care, and recognizing the decisive role of personal, social, and economic factors on diabetes care for each individual with diabetes are the greatest health challenges of our time. The U.S.-Mexico border is a unique example of the interaction of global interdependence: the challenges of providing formal diabetes education in border communities are overwhelming (11). It would be desirable for this interdependence to produce better standards of living and health for all, but evidence confirms that this is not the case (1). The Border Health Strategic Initiative is an illustrative example of a long and successful record of collaborative work, with defined goals, including process and outcome analysis. Resolution of the many challenges that the emerging epidemic rates of diabetes presents at the U.S.-Mexico border will certainly apply to other scenarios of health disparity.

## **Author Information**

Corresponding author: Joel Rodríguez-Saldaña, MD, Research Center, Servicios de Salud de Hidalgo, Avenida México 300, Pachuca Hidalgo 42039 México. Telephone: 011(52)771-71-80770. E-mail: joelrds@internet.com.mx.

## References

- 1. Homedes N, Ugalde A. Globalization and health at the United States-Mexico border. Am J Public Health 2003;93(12):2016-22.
- 2. Zunker CL, Cummins JJ. Elderly health disparities on the U.S.-Mexico border. J Cross Cult Gerontol 2004;19(1):13-25.
- Hunter JB, de Zapien JG, Denman CA, Moncada E, Papenfuss M, Wallace D, et al. Healthcare access and utilization among women 40 and older at the U.S.-Mexico border: predictors of a routine check-up. J Community Health 2003;28(5):317-33.
- 4. Landeck M, Garza C. Utilization of physician health care services in Mexico by U.S. Hispanic border residents. Health Mark Q 2002;20(1):3-16.
- O'Rourke K, Goodman KJ, Grazioplene M, Redlinger T, Day RS. Determinants of geographic variation in Helicobacter pylori infection among children on the US-Mexico border. Am J Epidemiol 2003 Oct 15;158(8):816-24.
- Goodman KJ, O'Rourke K, Wang C, Redlinger T, Campos A, de la Rosa JM. Helicobacter pylori infection in pregnant women from a U.S.-Mexico border population. J Immigr Health 2003;5(3):99-107.
- Weinberg M, Waterman S, Lucas CA, Falcon VC, Morales PK, Lopez LA, et al. The U.S.-Mexico Border Infectious Disease Surveillance project: establishing bi-national border surveillance. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9(1):97-102.
- 8. Cohen SJ, Ingram M. Border Health Strategic Initiative: overview and introduction to a communitybased model for diabetes prevention and control. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 9. Meister JS, Guernsey de Zapien J. Bringing health policy issues front and center in the community: expanding the role of community health coalitions. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 10. Steinfelt VE. The Border Health Strategic Initiative from a community perspective. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions. Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by any of the groups named above.

- 11. Ingram M, Gallegos G, Elenes J. Diabetes is a community issue: the critical elements of a successful outreach and education model in the U.S.-Mexico border. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 12. Teufel-Shone NI, Drummond R, Rawiel U. Developing and adapting a family-based diabetes program at the U.S.-Mexico border. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 13. Staten L, Teufel-Shone NI, Steinfelt VE, Ortega N, Halverson K, Flores C, et al. The school health index as an impetus for change. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 14. Staten LK, Scheu LL, Bronson D, Peña V, Elenes J. Pasos Adelante: the effectiveness of a communitybased chronic disease prevention program. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 15. Abarca J, Ramachandran S. Using community indicators to assess nutrition in Arizona-Mexico border communities. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 16. Schachter KA, Cohen SJ. From theory to practice: challenges to implementing national diabetes guidelines with five community health centers on the border. Prev Chronic Dis [serial online] 2005 Jan.
- 17. Larme AC, Pugh JA. Evidence-based guidelines meet the real world: the case of diabetes care. Diabetes Care 2001;24(10):1728-33.
- 18. Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2001.
- 19. Vinicor F. The future of diabetes: what is there besides new medicines? Clinical Diabetes 2004;22:94-6.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions. Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by any of the groups named above.