UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION
AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

91st MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY, MAY 2, 2013

+ + + + +

The meeting convened at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, via teleconference, JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman HENRY A. ANDERSON JOSIE M. BEACH BRADLEY P. CLAWSON MARK GRIFFON DAVID KOTELCHUCK RICHARD A. LEMEN JAMES E. LOCKEY

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

MEMBERS PRESENT (Continued):

WANDA I. MUNN
DAVID B. RICHARDSON
GENEVIEVE S. ROESSLER
PHILLIP SCHOFIELD
LORETTA R. VALERIO
PAUL L. ZIEMER

THEODORE M. KATZ,
Designated Federal Official

NEAL R. GROSS

REGISTERED AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENT PARTICIPANTS:

ADAMS, NANCY, NIOSH Contractor
AL-NABULSI, ISAF, DOE
BARRIE, TERRIE
FITZGERALD, JOE, SC&A
HINNEFELD, STU, DCAS
KOTSCH, JEFF, DOL
MAKHIJANI, ARJUN, SC&A
MAURO, JOHN, SC&A
NETON, JIM, DCAS
OSTROW, STEVE, SC&A
RAFKY, MICHAEL, HHS
RUTHERFORD, LAVON, DCAS
STIVER, JOHN, SC&A

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AGENDA ITEM	4 PAGE
Roll Call - Mr. Katz, DFO	4
Welcome - Dr. Melius, Chair	5
Recording Absent Members Votes from March Meeting - Mr. Katz, DFO	5
Sequestration - Mr. Hinnefeld, DCAS, Mr. Katz, DFO	8
Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition Status Update - Mr. Rutherford, DCAS	21
Updates from Work Groups and Subcommittees - WG/SC Chairs	24
-Subcommittee on Procedures Review - Wanda I. Munn (Chair)	24
-Pantex Plant Working Group and Fernald Work Group -	26
Bradley P. Clawson (Chair) -TBD-6000 Work Group -	28
Paul L. Ziemer (Chair) -Subcommittee on Dose Reconstruction	29
Reviews - David Kotelchuck -Scientific Issues Work Group -	31
David B. Richardson (Chair) -Rocky Flats Plant Work Group -	31
Mark Griffon (Chair) -Brookhaven National Laboratory	33
Work Group - Josie Beach (Chair) -SEC Issues Work Group -	34
James M. Melius (Chair) -Hanford Work Group - Arjun Makhijani, SC&A and James M. Melius (Chair)	36
Board Correspondence - Dr. Melius, Chair	38
Plans for the July 2013 Board Meeting -	38

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

All Members

5

NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6

(11:00 a.m.)

MR. KATZ: Welcome, everybody. This is Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health teleconference. And when I joined, we had a goodly number of people. So I am guessing most of my Board Members should be on. So let's get started with roll call.

We are going to just wrap up the letter for a vote we had for BNL. So that's the only site that we're really addressing specifically on the agenda. And there are no conflicts with the Board Members for that. So you can just respond with respect to preference. That's it.

(Roll call.)

MR. KATZ: Very good. The agenda for the meeting is posted on the NIOSH website under the Board section under Meetings for today's date.

Dr. Melius?

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

1 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Welcome, And I think we should have a 2 everybody. reasonably quick meeting, but let's get going. 3 Ted, do you want to record votes 4 5 from our March meeting? 6 MR. KATZ: Yes. Thank you. 7 So we received -- in March, the Board voted unanimously among the 8 present to deny adding a Class at BNL from 9 1994 to 2007. And Mr. Griffon, Dr. Lockey, 10 11 and Dr. Poston were absent from that vote. all 12 They have voted since, finishing their voting on April 17th, all in 13 concurrence with the decision of the Board. 14 15 So it is unanimous. And we have a draft 16 letter, which we have circulated. Jim? 17 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. 18 Do you 19 want me to do that now or --20 Yes. I think it is MR. KATZ:

good to just read it in the record like we do.

I will CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. try to do that quickly. So it's "Dear Madam The Advisory Board on Radiation Secretary: and Worker Health, the Board, has completed its evaluation of Special Exposure Cohort, SEC petition 00113, concerning workers at Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, New under York the statutory requirements established Employees by the Energy Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act 2000, incorporated into 43 CFR 83.13.

"National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, NIOSH, has recommended that individual dose reconstructions are feasible for all employees of the Department of Energy, its predecessor agencies, and its contractors and subcontractors who worked at Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, New York from January 1st, 1994 to December 31st, 2007.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"NIOSH found that it has access to adequate monitoring exposure and other information necessary to do individual dose reconstructions with sufficient accuracy for members of this group. Therefore, a Class covering this group should not be added to the SEC. this The Board concurs with determination.

"Based on these considerations and the discussion at the March 12th, 2013 Board meeting held in Augusta, Georgia, the Board recommends that this Class not be added to the SEC.

"Enclosed is the documentation from the Board meeting where this SEC Class was discussed. The documentation includes the copies of the petition, the NIOSH review thereof, and related materials. If any of these items are unavailable at this time, they will follow shortly."

And that letter had been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

circulated to everybody on the Board. And 1 there are no substantial comments. I think I heard back from just about everybody on the Board. So thank you all.

So next we have an update from Stu and Ted about sequestration.

MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. Thank you, Dr. Melius.

When we were in Augusta, I think I mentioned during my update that I had expected to be able to tell you at that time what the impact of sequestration would be on us because we are subject to the sequester. But I was not able to do so because it hadn't been ultimately determined.

Now that I know, I want to make sure I share with the Board what this will mean for our program, for the short term anyway.

Our sequestration was on the order of 8 percent, 7.9 percent, reduction in this

year's budget authority compared to last year.

And we learned of this around the March time frame or that's when we actively ostensibly start to really understand what was going to be affected.

Now, the ORAU contract represents the overwhelming majority of the money that our program receives. The majority of our money, probably about 70 percent of our money, goes to the ORAU contract. And so the big penalty here is going to fall on the ORAU contract. Some of the other costs are fixed and really not much you can do about them, although we are trying to make savings in other areas and particularly in travel.

One outcome of sequestration that you may have noticed is that travel is being scrutinized very hard by people above me. And we're trying very hard to cooperate and also not request a lot of travel. So the travel has been restricted, and we believe we can

save some money in travel, which would maybe lighten the burden on the contract and the work the contractor can do, but, to be honest, the amounts of money are so disparate that the amount we save in travel probably is only going to be a Band-Aid, if that.

Here is the bottom line, though. The ORAU contract is timed so that it was scheduled to end at the end of February. And a new contract was to be awarded. Well, the procurement process didn't move apace. And so a decision had been made to issue a six-month extension on the ORAU contract, which is in place.

they're still the job. So on got a six-month extension now that runs through October. And as part of that they negotiation for the extension, were provided with a spending rate for the next six It was about 20 percent less than months. what they spent in the last 6 months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

You can figure out what that means yourself.

We have 20 percent less contract support.

The contractor does very much of our work.

So, unfortunately, we are obviously going to have to feel a slowdown in how we can produce things. And it will affect probably the schedules for the -- for many of the Work Group and Subcommittee items.

We are still, ORAU is still, the process of just figuring out exactly what the schedule will be with this lower amount of And so when we hear something or when money. we have an agreement, they are going to give us what they propose. We'll have to decide whether we want to change the priorities around a little bit, but when we have some agreement, we'll be probably sort οf an sharing with the Work Group Chairmen Subcommittee Chairmen what we can might happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

think Ι the most likely, most 14 visible will things in places we see is delivery dates of products for the Work Groups, for some of the site-specific Work until we actually have Groups. But through the exercise of figuring out exactly what ORAU can do with the money available to them, I don't really have any dates to provide today.

I'll be glad to answer any questions I can.

MR. KATZ: Jim, if it makes sense before we go to questions, if I just tag team?

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. I think that would be helpful.

MR. KATZ: Then so there's the impact, in addition to ORAU, the impact on contracts. And SC&A is on a contract as well. they are also having their contract Their timing situation with their reduced. contract is also sort of not perfectly sort of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

married up with the sequester. In fact, their $_{15}$ contract is a calendar contract.

But fiscal year, they're funded under a fiscal year situation. So they're taking, in effect, an eight percent hit for the -- to their contract for the remainder of this fiscal year. What happens next year is very uncertain depending on what Congress does with their sequester.

So that hit. I mean, fortunately,
-- and I've spoken to John Stiver about it -it is quite manageable, I think. That hit is
less than the -- they're taking less of a hit
than the budget they operated on last year.

So John was quite confident that reallocating personnel in certain ways for different projects, he could manage this without the Board feeling any impact in the pace of delivery, work, and so on.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: So, thanks.

Now let's open it up to questions

NEAL R. GROSS

or comments from Board Members. I am not sure 16 if what you are saying will mean there will be no slowdown or delays at SC&A. I think one thing we want to be able to do is sort of match up what the -- ORAU is slowing down something, should also then we coordinate that with sort of what SC&A thinks in terms of scheduling reviews and timing and so forth.

If I understand you correctly, Ted, I don't think that should be an issue.

MR. KATZ: Right. I think it will be very easy to manage. And, again, the impact on SC&A is actually much less than the impact on ORAU.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.

MR. KATZ: So I think we will be in pretty good shape.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And the other question for Stu, so how are you trying to balance this cut at ORAU between individual

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

dose reconstructions and the various kinds of 1. Site Profiles -- or, really, it would probably be mostly SEC reviews.

Well, MR. HINNEFELD: initially the planning is to slow dose reconstruction preparation somewhat. And always in our work, SECs, like new SECs and the Evaluation Report SECs, then come like the are highest priority. And after that, we would work on SECs discussions, where the Evaluation been delivered Report has but we're discussion and with the Board and SC&A about resolving some findings on those.

So that tends to be the order we do things in and I expect we would stay at that level. Now, as to -- I worry that there will be fewer resources available for finding resolution process going forward. And where we may have had a projected or for hoped-for schedule some sites, going forward, I think some of those schedules are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

going to be stretched out for delivery of our $_{18}$ products.

From our standpoint, I think we are done with Pantex. I don't think there is going to be any effect on Pantex and its ability to talk about it at the July Board meeting.

And the other one I am aware of is Fernald. And much of our contractors' work on Fernald products has been done. And so we may still be able to have a fruitful discussion between now and July on Fernald. Those are the two I'm most intimately familiar with.

Sites where we know of ongoing efforts and ongoing research I think are where, chances are, the schedule is going to stretch out.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. I would just offer up I think that you should also take into account the potential number of people impacted.

1 Certainly. MR. HINNEFELD: 19 2 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. For some of the larger sites where there are SEC issues 3 that involve a significant number of years or 4 something, it would seem to take precedent 5 over the site where, you know, it may just be 6 7 following up on -- you know, try and clarify for, you know, a smaller site. 8 We're 9 trying to clarify for a couple of years. suspect the latter would not require a lot of 10 11 So I'm it's resources. sure not 12 straightforward as sort of small versus large There are other factors involved. 13 numbers. 14 MR. HINNEFELD: Right. Right. 15 And the CDC is not furloughing anyone. CHAIRMAN MELIUS: 16 Yes. Staff will be here 17 MR. HINNEFELD: 18 but just a much smaller staff than what we 19 normally have with ORAU. 20 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Right.

Board

Members

other

Any

21

with

questions or comments?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

MEMBER CLAWSON: Jim, this is just Brad. This is to Stu. You know, I agree with you on Pantex. The only thing that I was worried about, Stu, is DCAS has some papers were deliverable for me for that Fernald. they really should have already been Now, I just want to make sure that we finish those up. You know, Fernald is very close to being completed.

MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, Brad. That's what I was commenting on. Most of the contractors' work on those has been done and is either in ADS review or here for us, for our review.

MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay. Yes.

MR. HINNEFELD: But they are pretty far along. And I am hopeful that we can make the progress we need to make there.

MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay. Yes. The last time I spoke with you and I think with

NEAL R. GROSS

	identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.
1	Mark Rolfes they were in an internal review
2	within you guys.
3	MR. HINNEFELD: Many of them are.
4	And then some like there might be one or
5	two in ADS review or just cleared ADS review
6	or something like that.
7	MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay. That's all
8	I wanted to make sure. Thank you.
9	MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. For the most
10	part, I think we'll make that.
11	MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay.
12	MR. HINNEFELD: We'll see as we go
13	forward and how things turn out and how the
14	discussions go once we have the products out
15	there.
16	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Again, when do
17	you think you will have the draft plan from
18	ORAU?
19	MR. HINNEFELD: I believe we will
20	have ORAU's draft plan meeting the revision to

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally

the dates that we have been working toward up

21

to now. We believe we will have that the $_{22}$ first part of next week.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.

MR. HINNEFELD: And it may involve some discussion back and forth, for instance, if they stretched out things and one thing we thought maybe that one should be pulled forward and something else stretched out.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. And then if you can initiate some discussions, email or phone, with some of the Work Group or Subcommittee Chairs, I think that would be helpful and we can sort of figure out what our priorities are and so forth.

MEMBER CLAWSON: Hey, Jim, this is Brad. I was wondering what this is going to do on Savannah River. You know, we have been trying to get this for quite a while. And this doesn't fall into this, but it's kind of other things.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Well, I think it

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.	
could affect that, but let's I think those	23
conversations are a lot easier once we	
understand what ORAU believes how it	
MEMBER CLAWSON: Yes. I	
understand.	
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: their plans.	
And then we can sort of figure out what to	
do. I think that is a that was one of the	
sites I was thinking of when I think of a site	
where there could be a large impact in terms	
of the numbers of people involved. And I	
think that also sort of impacts the number of	
potentially, again, hypothetically the group	
for an SEC also reduces the dose	
reconstructions, individual dose	

MEMBER CLAWSON: Yes. I understand. Thank you.

reconstructions. So let's see what their plan

is, and then we can work from there.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Thank you.

Anybody else?

NEAL R. GROSS

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: If not, thank you, Stu and Ted. And we'll just have to work through it.

MR. HINNEFELD: Right.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: LaVon? LaVon, are you there?

MR. RUTHERFORD: Yes. I got the mute off this time.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.

MR. RUTHERFORD: Okay. I have a pretty short update again, too. So we did receive two new petitions in March. We have a petition for the Kansas City plant for the period of January 1, 1968 through December 31 of 2010 and a petition for K-25 for the period of February 1, 1992, which is the -- basically at the end of the statutory SEC, and through December 31, 1997. Both of those petitions are in the qualification phase. And so I am not sure if they are going to qualify at this

time, but we should know soon on both of $_{25}$ those.

We are moving forward with an 83.14 for Sandia Livermore. However, I have looked at the schedule. And even with the current schedule, I do not believe we will be able to get that complete before the July Advisory Board Meeting. So that will be pushed out to the next meeting after that.

We are still looking for claims to move forward, 83.14 petitions for the early years at Sandia, and to modify existing Class definitions for General Atomics and Dayton project. So, again, when we get a claim that fits into one of those, we will move those 83.14s forward.

So we will not be presenting any new SEC petitions at the July Advisory Board meeting. I know there are some petition evaluations with the Board that Work Groups may bring to the Board in July for a vote. I

	identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.
1	know we have talked about Pantex and others, 26
2	but I will let the Work Group Chairs discuss
3	those.
4	Other than that, that is all I
5	have got for the SECs.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
7	MEMBER LEMEN: This is Dick Lemen.
8	I've finally joined you.
9	MR. KATZ: Welcome, Dick. Thank
10	you.
11	MEMBER LEMEN: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Any questions
13	for LaVon?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Updates
16	from Work Groups and Subcommittees? Any
17	volunteers?
18	MEMBER MUNN: Sure. This is
19	Wanda. I'll talk to you about Procedures a
20	little bit.
21	We met in live meeting format on
	1

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally

April 25th with a full day's agenda. We had 2 discussions that included OTIB-20, the coworker dosimeter use for external dose reconstructions.

We had OTIB-54, activation product assessments for beta and gamma.

We looked at PROC-44, Special Exposure Cohort, and their reports on OTIB-55, conversion of the NCRP 38 neutron quality, from ICRP, publication 60 using weighting factors for ICRP. And we looked at OTIB-37, internal dosimetry coworker data at Paducah.

We have about half of our -- at least half of our agenda and time now is taken up with the spread of PERs. We have better than 12 active PERs on our plate right now. They include a couple from Hanford, the K-25 TBD, and the TIB, the construction trade workers PER, and we have Blockson, Y-12 TBD, SRS TBD. We still have Huntington, Ames, Hooker. So, as usual, there is enough going

1 on in Procedures to keep us off the street. currently 2 circulating We are potential risks of completed procedure reviews 3 and with the expectation that we will have an 4 opportunity to make some decisions in the next 5 couple of weeks as to what presentations would 6 7 be the best ones to be bringing forward to the full Board when we meet in July. 8 9 thinking And our current 10 respect to the next meeting is a possibility of coordinating it with that July meeting so 11 12 that we may meet in Idaho Falls. A part of 13 that depends upon how the agenda for Idaho Falls works and what those dates are going to 14 15 be ultimately decided upon. that's Procedures 16 So our activities. 17 18 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Any 19 questions for Wanda? 20 (No response.) 21 Anybody CHAIRMAN MELIUS: else

want to volunteer?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

29

MEMBER CLAWSON: Jim, this is Brad. I would like to go with Pantex and Fernald.

As many of you know, we went down to Pantex about two weeks ago. I would like to tell Stu I appreciate him and his ORAU counterpart coming down.

I think we're coming to an end on Pantex. It should be at this Board meeting. So what I wanted to put out to the Board is you are going to be getting some paperwork right there just before the meeting. If you could read it for Pantex, I think it will help the meeting go a little bit. But we are coming to the end on it. And we should be able to come to closure on it in July.

Fernald and Pantex, we have both got a meeting on June 17th and 18th to be able to discuss some of the issues. As Stu said earlier, we have got several papers coming

	reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.
1	from DCAS. And the ones from SC&A should have $_{30}$
2	already been delivered. I believe they were.
3	And we're getting very, very close on it,
4	too.
5	So hopefully we will be able to
6	bring these before the Board. But if there do
7	arise some questions before the Board meeting,
8	I hope that you will contact us or be able to
9	discuss it there at the Board meeting.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thank you, Brad.
12	Any questions for Brad?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: If not, anybody
15	else?
16	MEMBER ZIEMER: Jim, this is Paul
17	Ziemer. I can give a brief report on
18	TBD-6000.
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
20	MEMBER ZIEMER: The Work Group met
21	by teleconference basically all day on April

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been

26th. We discussed General Steel Industries,
Baker Brothers, Joslyn Manufacturing, and
Simonds Saw and Steel. Most of our time was
devoted to General Steel Industries.

We are working on the final form for the model for dose reconstruction and still have some additional work to do. So we have scheduled another meeting for June 20th. And also there is a technical call scheduled between NIOSH and SC&A prior to that so that we can reach a point where we can resolve the final dose model to address the findings in the matrix and try to close that out so that we get a final revision of Appendix BB for General Steel Industries.

For Baker Brothers, we will be bringing a recommendation for the residual period to the Board at the next meeting.

Joslyn Manufacturing is not yet completed in terms of NIOSH responses to the SC&A review. So that is still in progress.

NEAL R. GROSS

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

For Simonds Steel and Saw, we are $_{32}$ still working on resolving the matrix issue there.

So that completes my report.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Dose

Reconstruction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Mark, do you want to say anything or should I just give the report?

MEMBER GRIFFON: You can go ahead and give a report.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Okay. We met on March 25th. We went over 5 blind dose reconstructions, and 18 more are in progress. Things seem to be moving along reasonably well. And we went through case reviews for sets 8 and 9. There are a few outstanding issues, which we expect to complete at our meeting on May 21st.

And then we started on, I believe on, the 10th to the 13th sets with the

NEAL R. GROSS

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

Savannah River site. And I assume we will go 33 further ahead with that and then possibly get to the Rocky Flats and Los Alamos plants in those sets, 10th to the 13th.

So we are moving along.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good.

MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Okay.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Any questions

for Dave or Mark?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Anybody else want to volunteer?

MR. STIVER: This is John Stiver.

I just got on. I was having some connectivity issues earlier.

Yes, we are close to findings, but I think we were hoping to get closer. We are hoping to flesh those out at an upcoming meeting. Basically we are working on the sites, which are, among them, Fernald. And so I think there are another 14 or 15 findings

NEAL R. GROSS

1 there as well. 2 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Any other 3 Work Group or Subcommittee Chairs want 4 report? 5 MEMBER RICHARDSON: This is David Richardson. Regarding the Scientific Issues 6 7 Subcommittee, there is nothing to report 8 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Anybody 9 else? this 10 MEMBER GRIFFON: Jim, is Mark. 11 12 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Hi, Mark. 13 MEMBER GRIFFON: Just on Rocky 14 Flats, I can give an update on it only because 15 LaVon gave me an update in a recent email But I think the summary is that NIOSH 16 here. and ORAU had several White Papers in various 17 stages of completion regarding tritium, the 18 19 SBI investigation slash falsification of data, 20 thorium neptunium. Ι will be and And

coordinating with them with NIOSH to schedule,

21

	Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.	
1	you know. As soon as these go through their	35
2	final review process, I think we will probably	
3	be in a position where we can have a Work	
4	Group meeting for Rocky Flats.	
5	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And do you think	
6	that will be before the July meeting, Mark, or	
7		
8	MEMBER GRIFFON: I don't know.	
9	LaVon, you have a better is LaVon on or	
10	MR. RUTHERFORD: Yes, I'm on.	
11	Based on our current schedule, I definitely	
12	say yes, it will be ready for like a June Work	
13	Group meeting. I think right now a number of	
14	these reports should be out in the May time	
15	period, but I haven't seen ORAU's updated	
16	schedule, as Stu had mentioned.	
17	MEMBER GRIFFON: Okay.	
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.	
19	MEMBER GRIFFON: So possibly, yes.	
20	Yes.	

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board

NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRMAN MELIUS:

21

Again, that SEC

involves a significant number of people. So $_{36}$ we would like to keep that process moving along to the extent possible, Stu and LaVon.

MR. HINNEFELD: Sure thing. We'll do what we can.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Anyone else?

MEMBER BEACH: Yes. Hi, Jim.

This is Josie.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Hi, Josie.

MEMBER BEACH: I just have a real quick update for Brookhaven. We had 13 Site Profile issues. NIOSH responded to the last two that we needed responses from. And we have the SEC issues. Basically we just need a quick call of the Work Group to clear up and close all of the issues that were satisfied at the last Board meeting and then just take a look at the rest of those TBD issues.

And I think, you know, a quick one-hour call will tie it all up for us. So I will be working with Ted to schedule something

1 like that in the near future. 2 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good. Thank 3 you. actually 4 have couple οf а 5 The SEC Evaluation Work Group has updates. been dealing with a sufficient accuracy issue. 6 7 And, actually, I have more of a question for LaVon or Stu. At our last meeting of the Work 8 9 Group, the next step was we were waiting for a 10 report from or sort of a White Paper from NIOSH on sufficient accuracy. 11 And I don't 12 have an idea where that is. 13 I apologize. MR. RUTHERFORD: Ι 14 was going to send you an update, Dr. Melius. 15 That is my fault. Actually, it was an outline that we were pulling together. 16 17 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Right. 18 MR. RUTHERFORD: And we have 19 draft outline that is being circulated 20 internally for comment. And so we are moving forward with that. 21

I did just recently got comments from John Mauro that some of the technical findings from Procedure-44 may actually fit into this sufficient accuracy discussion. So I am going to take a look at that as well and see if it fits into the outline. But I don't see any problem with getting that outline to the Work Group within the next couple of weeks.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Great.

And then I think we will do a Work Group conference call to discuss that and then have further discussion at the Board meeting in July. So good.

The other Work Group Chair is the Hanford Work Group. Arjun, do you want to give an update on the progress there? And then I have another item as part of that. Are you still on, Arjun?

DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes, I am on.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good.

NEAL R. GROSS

DR. MAKHIJANI: We sent out a memorandum updating the key SEC issues. This was following the site visit that happened in the middle of May. And DOE had released the notes from that site visit right away during the visit to allow us to incorporate that into the report and also a fair amount of other research.

So that went out last week, I think, for the Work Group. And I believe you received it, Dr. Melius. And other Members should also have received it.

basically Joe had discussed So with Sam Glover, you know, informally some Some of them are noted in follow-up items. And basically it's for the the memorandum. Work Group give further now to us instructions. Maybe Joe or Sam Glover might want to add something to this.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I don't know -- this is Joe Fitzgerald. I don't know

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

If Sam is on the line or not, but both he and 40 I had data capture requests going back to Hanford to pick up on a few items on both sides stemming from the visit further research that would help answer some of the issues. But we did get quite a bit on the on-site. This is to complement that. So that is in the works right now.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. And the other Hanford update is Wanda kindly referred some PER evaluations to the Hanford Work Group.

MEMBER MUNN: We try to be as considerate as possible.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thank you. I should have actually sent you a thank you note or something.

But, anyway, so we will be scheduling a Hanford Work Group meeting sometime in the next few, several weeks, certainly before the July meeting, to discuss

some of these issues and the PERs. I think we 41 can do it by telephone, which will ease the scheduling. But we will go forward on that, move forward on that.

Any other Work Group Chairs want to report?

(No response.)

Okay. Moving on, the next item, "Board Correspondence," is really taken care of already, was just the Brookhaven letter.

then the final item is the And July meeting. I think, as you can see from our discussions, there is at this point a fair amount of uncertainty about exactly what will the agenda for July, what will be completed, and how long it will take to address some of these issues.

I believe we should all plan on at least a -- probably should plan on I think a two-day meeting is fair, maybe a day and a half. It could end up being a long two days.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

think it But Ι is more likely to reasonable day and a half or two-day meeting in July. And then we'll I think then figure out whether we try to do any Work Group or Subcommittee meetings in conjunction with that.

I think let's see where we stand with some of these other items and I think we -- and also where we are with this ORAU, the ORAU work plan, and how that might affect some of our ability to address some of the sites, particularly ones where there is uncertainty about will we be able or ready with recommendations or updates on those.

So if we can let everybody know sometime in the -- say, give us about three weeks or so. And we'll pin it down more in terms of how long it will be and how we will manage it. But I think, for sure, it will be at least a two-day meeting.

Does that fit with your sense, Stu

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally
identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been
reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader
should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.

1	and Ted?									
2	MR. KATZ: Yes. I think that is									
3	exactly right.									
4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.									
5	MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. It fits with									
6	my expectation as well.									
7	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.									
8	MEMBER LOCKEY: Jim?									
9	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes?									
10	MEMBER LOCKEY: Jim Lockey. Would									
11	that mean scheduling flights out the second									
12	day in the evening or that following day?									
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: That I don't									
14	think we can say now. We will try to pin that									
15	down within the next say, by within three									
16	weeks.									
17	MEMBER LOCKEY: That would be									
18	great. Thanks.									
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.									
20	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Dave									
21	Kotelchuck. But which of the days we have									

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board									
Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.									
Tuesday through Thursday set aside. Is it $_{44}$									
that we would do Tuesday and Wednesday and									
then possibly either leave Wednesday night or									
Thursday morning or do we want to do									
Wednesday-Thursday and drop Tuesday?									
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think the									
prior plan is to do Tuesday and Wednesday.									
MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Okay. Good.									
CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. And,									
again, plan within three weeks, we will let									
you know about we should have a much better									
idea of our timing and so forth so people can									
plan their travel.									
Any other comments or questions									
about the next Board meeting?									
(No response.)									

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: If not, I think we can adjourn.

MEMBER MUNN: All right. Thank you, all.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thank everybody.

NEAL R. GROSS

	identifiable information has been redacted as necessary. The transcript, however, has not been reviewed and certified by the Chair of the Advisory Board for accuracy at this time. The reader should be cautioned that this transcript is for information only and is subject to change.										
1				(Whe	reupon,	the	foregoing	matter	45		
2	was	cond	clude	ed at	11:43	a.m.)					
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											

This transcript of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, Teleconference Board Meeting, has been reviewed for concerns under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and personally

NEAL R. GROSS