UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

SUBCOMMITTEE ON DOSE RECONSTRUCTION REVIEWS

+ + + + +

THURSDAY, JANUARY 7, 2010

+ + + + +

The meeting came to order at 9:30 a.m. Eastern Standard Time via teleconference, Mark Griffon, Chairman, presiding.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MARK GRIFFON, Chairman BRAD CLAWSON MIKE GIBSON WANDA MUNN JOHN POSTON

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

ALSO PRESENT:

THEODORE KATZ, Acting Designated Federal Official

IDENTIFIED PARTICIPANTS PRESENT:

ISAF AL-NABULSI, DOE KATHY BEHLING, SC&A DOUG FARVER, SC&A STU HINNEFELD, OCAS EMILY HOWELL, HHS SCOTT SIEBERT, ORAU BRANT ULSH, OCAS CHRISTINA GOUCK (phonetic)

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:32 a.m.)
3	MR. KATZ: This is the Advisory
4	Board on Radiation and Worker Health, the Dose
5	Reconstructions Review Subcommittee.
6	This is Ted Katz. I'm the DFO for
7	the Advisory Board. We're going to begin with
8	roll call, beginning with Board members.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Mark Griffon,
10	Chair of the Subcommittee.
11	MEMBER CLAWSON: Brad Clawson,
12	Work Group member.
13	MEMBER POSTON: John Poston, Work
14	Group member.
15	MEMBER MUNN: Wanda Munn, Work
16	Group member.
17	MEMBER GIBSON: Mike Gibson,
18	Subcommittee member.
19	MR. KATZ: Any other Board members
20	on? No?
21	Okay, then members of the OCAS
22	ORAU team?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

MR. HINNEFELD: Stu Hinnefeld and 1 2 Brant Ulsh from OCAS. 3 SIEBERT: Scott Siebert from MR. the ORAU team. 4 5 MR. KATZ: Okay, and then the SC&A 6 team. MS. BEHLING: Kathy Behling from 7 SC&A. 8 9 MR. FARVER: Doug Farver, SC&A. 10 MR. KATZ: Kathy, is that all we should expect today for SC&A? 11 12 MS. BEHLING: I thought John Mauro 13 was going to join us also. KATZ: Okay, well maybe he 14 MR. will shortly. That takes care of SC&A. 15 16 Okay then, HHS employees or contractors or other government employees or 17 18 contractors? 19 MS. HOWELL: Emily Howell, HHS. 20 MS. AL-NABULSI: Isaf Al-Nabulsi, 21 DOE. Okay then, 22 MR. KATZ: and any

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

members of the public who would 1 like to 2 identify themselves? 3 MS. GOUCK: Christina Gouck. Ι work for the Post Office. I'm just a member 4 5 of the public, though. б MR. KATZ: Yes, welcome. 7 MS. GOUCK: Thank you. MR. KATZ: Very good. Just let me 8 ask everyone on the phone except for -- when 9 10 you're speaking, keep your phone muted. If you don't have any mute button, please use *6 on 11 12 your phone. It will work just like mute. And 13 then if you need to come off of mute, you use *6 again. 14 15 If you need to leave the call at 16 any time, just put -- hang up and dial back Don't use your hold, which will disrupt 17 in. the call. 18 19 And it's all yours, Mark. 20 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Thanks, Ted. This was -- I don't know if -- there was a 21 little confusion with the emails, so we were 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 actually considering cancelling this 2 Subcommittee meeting because of NIOSH, ORAU --3 just with all the burden with other pressing 4 issues on SEC issues, I believe, they asked 5 that we might put this off because there 6 wasn't much progress on the case work.

But I wanted to at least have this 7 phone call just on the follow-up report on the 8 9 first 100 cases. I expect this to be a quick 10 meeting -- hopefully, an hour or maybe a little more than that, but just to go over 11 12 this letter report. I hope everyone received the latest draft. 13

I left it in red lines so people 14 15 could see the changes I made from the first 16 draft that we had put out at the last Subcommittee meeting. 17

I actually went through the draft transcripts. I'm almost finished reviewing the entire transcript, by the way. That's another item that I have to return to NIOSH.

22 But I did go through the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

discussion that we had at the last meeting to refresh my memory on this, and then I redrafted this letter report a little bit. I guess I should say up front that my intentions would be at this upcoming Board meeting to give a status report on this.

The reason is that -- at least, 7 especially in the first section, the Quality 8 think, 9 Control section Ι ___ at the 10 Subcommittee, at the last meeting, we asked SC&A to look into some possible cases to do 11 sort of drill-down investigations on. 12

Doug Farver did get back to me 13 yesterday or the day before. They've actually 14 15 at least done some preliminary selections on 16 some cases that they think would be appropriate. I feel like that might 17 be something after we look into that, we might 18 19 have a clear report for the full Board in terms of recommendations from the first 100 20 cases. Until we do that, we're really sort of 21 -- this is sort of interim report status. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 So I was just going to give this 2 as an oral sort of status report. I don't 3 know that we should get hung up on the exact 4 language in this draft report at this point 5 until SC&A goes to the next step and does some 6 of that additional work. That's one item.

7 In the last section, there's the 8 section where we said what has come out of the 9 -- as a result of the findings, for instance, 10 there's the one item, dose reconstruction 11 reports were modified. The report format was 12 modified, it should probably say.

addition, there's, I think, 13 In 14 three -- no, I think we've got three PERs that SC&A -- I think Kathy Behling looked into this 15 16 and we ran this by Stu and I think we can say that three PERs were -- and I think I'll --17 we'll have to work on the wording on this, but 18 19 I think three PERs were at least in part related to the first 100 case findings. 20

21 Stu, I think that wording --22 something like that would probably be okay

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with you. It's unclear, I think, some -- one 2 of the PERs, at least, was sort of -- it might 3 have been underway while the findings were being considered so it's not clear whether 4 5 NIOSH was already beginning to re-evaluate б those cases or whether it was a result of the 7 finding.

But I think if we said something 8 like at least in part related to -- that sort 9 10 of shows what things were changed in the program as a result of these first 100 case 11 12 reviews.

13 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, I think --14 Mark, this is Stu -- the language you sent in 15 your email -- at least, in the part of the 16 email that related to the three PERs or whatever language you used, I thought 17 was 18 pretty good.

19 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay.

20 MR. HINNEFELD: Ι mean, it's pretty clear to us -- we know the date when 21 22 the lymphoma target organs issue came up, and

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

it was at the CLL conference, the NIOSH Health
 Evaluation Research Branch.

3 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right, right,4 and I was actually at that meeting.

5 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, and I think 6 it was actually like a sidebar conversation 7 during that meeting was where the subject 8 first came up.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes.

9

10 MR. HINNEFELD: So we had started 11 down this and we have really not gone very far 12 and we hadn't really announced publicly we 13 were going down this path when the finding 14 came up in Dose Reconstruction.

15 It's kind of like it reinforces --16 I think we would have been there anyway with 17 the PER. I think we're pretty confident we 18 would have been there. But you can say, 19 certainly, it's in part related to the 20 findings, I think.

21 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: I think that 22 would be the safe way to word it. That

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

wording is not in this draft because I've got comments back from Doug and Stu in the last couple days. I didn't get a chance to re-edit this draft. I didn't think it was really necessary for the phone call meeting.

б So just to step back for a second. I got a little ahead of myself, probably, but 7 the letter or this report is actually -- it's 8 designed to come from our Subcommittee to the 9 10 full Board for consideration. I quess it would be what the full Board wants to then do 11 12 is an open issue for us to consider at the 13 full Board meeting.

Like said, 14 Ι for this next meeting, I think I'm still at status reports 15 16 stage and we can at least say that we're --17 what I want to do also is -- so there's three major items, I guess, and then the fourth item 18 19 would be the changes that have resulted as a result of the case reviews, which is the last 20 21 item.

22 The one, the first item, that's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the Quality Control/Quality Assurance section, 2 which we discussed fairly at length at the 3 last meeting -- the second item is the consideration 4 appropriate use and of information provided by the claimant workers 5 б and the public. The last item is the case 7 documentation and reporting.

As you look through these, I also note -- and I think we had this in the last meeting -- transcripts reflect this -- but these topics can sort of overlap each other sometimes, so there might be a little overlap in these.

But in item one from our last meeting; we had discussed the idea of looking at five or ten cases which had Quality Control findings and further investigating to see sort of why these occurred.

19 In the last -- actually, I think I 20 emailed Doug back yesterday with some 21 questions on -- but Doug Farver, from SC&A 22 went through and found a number of cases

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

proposed. I think he came down with ten
 selected cases.

But I had a little bit of some follow-up questions for him. I don't know -is Doug on the line?

6 MR. FARVER: Yes, I'm here, Mark. 7 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, yes. I 8 mean, you could probably just -- I'll give an 9 overview and you can probably add into this.

10 But the ten cases that SC&A selected, and we obviously will consider these 11 as a full Subcommittee at our next meeting --12 13 if the Board doesn't want to further pursue 14 this -- but the ten cases pretty much overlap 15 the representative of all the Quality Control 16 findings within the first -- I think we said look the first eight sets of 17 to at case reviews, so that would bring us up to where 18 19 we're kind of working now.

They pretty much run the gamut of the different types of findings. The followup questions I have for Doug is do we know

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 which, from the ten that he pre-selected, do 2 we know the sites? Because on his Excel 3 spreadsheet, I asked if he could list the site and the type of dose reconstruction -- whether 4 under, or best estimate 5 it was over, б overestimate, underestimate, or best estimate. I think those are sort of important factors 7 for us to consider. 8

9 MEMBER MUNN: Mark, this is Wanda.
10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes.

11 MEMBER MUNN: Are you proposing to 12 postpone the submission of this first 100 case 13 report until that work is done?

14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: That's what I'm 15 proposing, yes, Wanda, because I thought that 16 if we submit this to the Board, we don't really have a recommendation with regard to 17 quality -- we're noting that Quality Control; 18 19 there were several findings, but we still sort 20 of haven't gotten to the bottom of -- if there is a bottom to get to. Is there an issue? 21 Is there a recommendation that the Board can make 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with regard to improving Quality Control or 2 when we do these drill-downs -- it might be 3 that there's not really -- that the system is 4 actually working.

5 So I thought it might be premature 6 to submit our report until we find that out.

7 MEMBER MUNN: Well, my apologies 8 for not having sent my comment and 9 submissions.

10 COURT REPORTER: Pardon the 11 interruption. This is the Court Reporter. 12 Ms. Munn, if you could turn your volume up 13 just a little bit or sit closer to your phone. 14 Something --

MEMBER MUNN: I will try to snuggle up to my phone a little bit.

17 COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

18 MEMBER MUNN: Is this better?

19 COURT REPORTER: A little bit,

20 thank you.

21 MEMBER MUNN: Alright, thanks. I 22 have this morning sent to all of the people

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

who were listed as recipients in your original draft some mark-ups to -- a significant number of mark-ups -- I don't know where it actually is, but --

5 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Ms. 6 Munn. I'm having a terribly hard time hearing 7 you.

8 MEMBER MUNN: Let me see if I can 9 call in from another -- from, perhaps, my cell 10 phone and see if I can get a better volume for 11 you.

MR. KATZ: Charles, do you have a -- this is Ted Katz -- do you have a volume on yours because I can hear her very clearly?

15 COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm afraid 16 that I don't have it on this phone. I can 17 control the ringer volume, but that is really 18 it.

MEMBER MUNN: Let me dial throughon my cell phone.

21 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Wanda, before 22 you hang up and dial in again, did you send me

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 those mark-ups?

2 MEMBER MUNN: Yes. I only sent 3 them this morning, Mark. My apologies. CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay. 4 5 MEMBER MUNN: I'm really sorry. б It should be on your -- I just sent it CDC mail. Perhaps it -- I don't know whether it 7 will be in that official form or if 8 the members of the Subcommittee will keep the 9 10 original. CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, I don't 11 12 have the email. Did other people get this, Wanda's email? 13 MR. KATZ: Yes, I got it. Mark, 14 15 I'll forward it to you. 16 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Alright, thanks. 17 MEMBER MUNN: I sent it right 18 19 after we started. I guess the real -- the 20 real issue here is whether this is, in fact, going to continue to be the draft from which 21 we work or if we're going to simply have 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 different sides --

2 COURT REPORTER: My apologies. 3 This is Charles again. I can hear everybody pretty much okay except for you, Ms. Munn. 4 I don't know if it's just a quirk of 5 the б conference call or what, but I'm really not 7 able to record you. MEMBER MUNN: Okay. Hold on just 8 9 a moment and let me see if I can get in on the 10 other line. I just want to 11 COURT REPORTER: 12 make sure your comments get recorded. 13 MEMBER MUNN: I do, too. I'd hate 14 for you to miss my comments. 15 MR. KATZ: Mark, you should have 16 it. I don't know if you've looked. 17 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: I actually have 18 it. Ιt wasn't coming through on my 19 BlackBerry, but I just logged onto the 20 computer. I have, from Wanda, I have it. Okay, that's good. 21 MEMBER MUNN: 22 I'm on my cell phone now. Is that any better?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 COURT REPORTER: That's wonderful.
 Thank you.

3 MEMBER MUNN: I'll try to use the4 cell when I'm speaking.

5 My concern was whether or not we 6 were actually going to use this draft that we 7 have or whether we are intending to postpone 8 it for a significant period of time.

GRIFFON: 9 CHAIRMAN Yes, mγ 10 feeling, and I guess I wasn't sure at the time when I emailed it to people, but I think, 11 12 after thinking about it more and getting a response from SC&A that I realize that it's 13 probably -- we really are -- we can't really 14 15 make any recommendations, especially about 16 that first topic without going that one step further of looking back at some of those QC 17 findings and seeing what, if anything, broke 18 19 with the system, so to speak.

20 MEMBER MUNN: Well, we could, in 21 fact, simply make the statement that we have 22 requested this additional work from SC&A and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

would anticipate that any recommendations
 would evolve out of that and the topic of
 future reports.

4 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: That's true. I 5 guess I was seeing that as a status report and 6 then I was thinking then I could just give a 7 verbal report instead of worrying about the 8 language in this write-up.

9 MEMBER MUNN: Well, okay.

10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: I don't know.11 That was my sense of this.

12 The reason I wanted to at least 13 circulate the draft was to at least get, and I appreciate your comments now, Wanda -- it will 14 15 take me a few minutes to digest them -- but to 16 give Subcommittee members the chance to at 17 least reflect on the general ideas and then --I didn't want to have to submit the exact 18 19 language of a report until we had more work 20 done by SC&A. That was all.

21 MEMBER MUNN: Alright. You'll 22 find most of what I have there is editorial in

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

nature. It hasn't really and truly changed
 the thrust of what you've written.

3 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, and I 4 think we would -- yes. For the final version, 5 we would have to do that work, and I'll 6 certainly have your comments.

Also, part of the -- it was a little difficult to write the first section until we've had more conclusive statements about just exactly what we find from the QC review.

12 That was my hesitation to actually 13 submit it, but I understand. I was thinking 14 of the same thing you are, Wanda, before, that 15 we would submit this report and then say we'll 16 send another report after we get additional 17 work done by SC&A.

But I was thinking maybe it's better as just a status report at this point, so that's what I'm proposing, just to give a status update verbally.

22 MEMBER MUNN: My only caution

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

would be that I cannot foresee a time when the
 Subcommittee will be operable and we will not
 have some sort of activity in abeyance.

I'm not urging you to go ahead and
do this. I'm just commenting on the
straightforward realization of that.

No, but I think 7 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: in this case, it is a fine -- if we indicate 8 to the Board that we're proposing to have SC&A 9 do this additional work -- and that's the only 10 thing really outstanding in this report is the 11 12 follow-up on the Quality Control, as far as I 13 can see anyway unless other people have other 14 items.

But that's a review of ten and that is at least defined in nature. It's not open-ended.

MEMBER MUNN: Essentially, you
just want to have recommendations available
for the QA/QC section before we submit it.

21 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right. Right,22 that's my feeling, yes.

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 MEMBER MUNN: Alright. 2 MEMBER GIBSON: Wanda, this Mike. 3 Maybe I'm jumping ahead, but not only is there this issue number one, but number two, 4 there are also some open issues that I think 5 б NIOSH owes you and owes some of the other work 7 groups answers on that I don't know that we're final on, either. 8 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: 9 Yes. Ι quess 10 you are right, Mike. There's -- if people look at number two for a second, especially 11

12 the second item, I do sort of defer that this 13 work is also being looked at in the Worker 14 Outreach Group, so that is true.

15 MEMBER MUNN: And if you look at 16 the comments that I added to number three 17 there, we didn't mention the fact that we did a lot of work on the CATI during Procedures. 18 19 Several things changed as a result of what the 20 Procedures Subcommittee found with CATI interviews. 21

22 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, and that

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

is correct, and that should be added in,
 Wanda, the CATI and then the PER stuff that I
 just received.

4 MEMBER MUNN: Yes. I had assumed 5 that today we were going to work on the PER 6 word-smithing.

7 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, and I I mean, we can at least get an update. 8 have. Ι 9 think the one thing that Ι ___ my 10 understanding from the PERs was -- and Kathy can probably -- Kathy Behling can probably 11 12 give me a guick update on that.

But there were three identified, and Stu seems in agreement with those. I was just going to add those in.

16 Kathy, can you tell me the three. 17 I'm quickly thumbing through emails, but you 18 can probably have it at the tip of your 19 fingers better than I do.

20 MS. BEHLING: Yes. The first PER 21 that I identified was the target organ for 22 lymphoma. It's PER 9.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 As you indicated, I did want to 2 pass this by Stu Hinnefeld first because we --3 Т using the first set of was cases. Obviously, I looked at the first 100 cases --4 but I was looking at the first set, and the 5 б lymphoma issue did come up in our very first set, and that's our report which was issued in 7 February of, I think, 2005. 8

9 I hadn't seen any other 10 information regarding that lymphoma issue, so 11 that's why I wanted to be sure that, like we 12 said, Stu could confirm that that was accurate 13 or not.

other thing 14 The only that Ι remember from that lymphoma issue was that, I 15 16 believe during our issues-resolution session, 17 we talked about that. I think initially I was under the impression that NIOSH was not in 18 19 agreement with our findings.

20 So that's why I thought that maybe 21 SC&A did play some role in that lymphoma 22 issue. But I will say that I'm in agreement

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

with Stu. We didn't know the background or
 the history for that particular case.

3 I'll also mention here that during our writing of our proposal and procedures for 4 the PER reviews, the technical contracting 5 б committee had directed us not to even address 7 the initial sub-task one, which is the support or the basis for the PERs. So this is just 8 something that I was responding to his request 9 10 for, but I will note that we're not even addressing that particular issue when we go 11 12 and look at the PERs in detail.

13 The second PER that I mentioned 14 was PER 20, which had to do with changes that 15 were made to the Blockson Technical Basis 16 Document. In that PER, Stu does agree that we 17 were instrumental in the changes that were 18 made to the Blockson TBD.

19 The third one -- in fact, I'm 20 looking for this right now. The third one, I 21 believe, was the Huntington Pilot Plant, which 22 I'm searching for that PER number. I think

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 it's PER --

2	MR. HINNEFELD: Kathy, the third
3	one you listed, I think, was Bethlehem Steel,
4	I thought.
5	MS. BEHLING: It was Bethlehem
6	Steel. I'm sorry. Initially, I had looked at
7	Huntington because I know we had some comments
8	there too, but those changes had already been
9	incorporated. Yes, thank you, Stu. I'm
10	looking through my email here, too.
11	So yes, it was PER 7, which was
12	the Rev 2 of the Bethlehem Steel site profile.
13	PER 9, the target organ for lymphoma, and PER
14	20, the Blockson TBD revision.
15	So those were the three that I
16	felt we at least were instrumental in having
17	that PER issued.
18	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right, and
19	those I sent this to give people the
20	background. I also responded to that email to
21	Stu and suggested that language, which I don't
22	have the exact wording, but something to the

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 effect that these PERs were initiated at least 2 in part due to findings from the first -- I 3 don't think we need to split hairs about, was 4 NIOSH already working on the target organ for 5 lymphoma stuff or did that happen right after 6 the -- you know.

I think if we say, at least in 7 part related to, I think NIOSH and Stu seems 8 comfortable with 9 that kind of language. 10 That's not in this draft yet, but that kind of thing, I think, will be included in that last 11 12 section along with -- you're right, Wanda --13 the CATI.

I was thinking of the dose
reconstruction report format modifications,
but also the CATI was modified.

17MEMBER GIBSON:Mark, this is18Mike.

19CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes. Go ahead,20Mike.

21 MEMBER GIBSON: Not only just the 22 CATI modified, just, to me, the real intent to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

point number two would be, was appropriate use
 and consideration of information given from
 input workers gave.

4 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes. Now 5 that's a separate issue. In number two, the 6 CATI being modified we're sort of listing at 7 the end as a positive result of the first 100 8 case reviews and these PERs being done.

9 These re-evaluations of cases are 10 a result of the item number two on the second 11 page of the draft report.

12 That discusses, I quess, outstanding concerns 13 about how, and at the bottom of item number 14 two, I list A, B, and C as sort of proposed recommendations. I think one of those, as you 15 16 noted, Mike, one of those, I'm sort of relating back to the Worker Outreach Work 17 18 Group.

19 This gets into the, how was the 20 information -- or was it being used by NIOSH 21 for the dose reconstruction program in its 22 entirety. That comes up under that second

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

sort of critical issue; I guess is what I
 would call it, number two.

3 MEMBER GIBSON: Yes, I quess I I'm trying to steer this 4 feel the same way. conversation a different direction because, 5 б truth be known, it's just a pet peeve of mine. 7 Т listened in on а meeting yesterday or a day or so ago with the Linde 8 9 Work Group, and just some information had been 10 given to OCAS a few weeks in advance, and nothing has been done with it. It seemed to 11 be a very frustrating meeting for [identifying 12 information redacted]. 13

Right, and this 14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: 15 is one -- I guess we should look at these -- I 16 haven't -- again, I haven't looked at your this section, Wanda, my 17 comments on but 18 recommendation _ _ and that's why Ι felt 19 probably more comfortable in this upcoming 20 Board meeting to do a status report rather than worry about the exact language of these 21 because some of these should's and shall's and 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

may-consider's; we might have to work through
 some of the language on this.

I looked back at the transcripts from our last discussion, and a couple things that came out were that NIOSH should consider the modification of procedures, policies to emphasize use the information provided by the claimants and to more specifically address comments made by the claimant.

This came out of the discussion of 10 -- there's often boilerplate language in the 11 DR report that says all incidents mentioned by 12 the claimants would be bounded by the internal 13 dose assigned or something like that. 14 That sort of boilerplate language is included, but 15 16 often specifics cited by the individual weren't characterized in the DR report at all, 17 so it leaves the question of whether they were 18 19 even really considered or just sort of 20 dismissed. So that's just an initial point. The other question was this whole 21

idea of -- and this might be -- it probably is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

being discussed in more detail in the Worker
 Outreach, but the question of how the
 individuals are being interviewed.

This goes probably a little beyond 4 the CATI report, but also when workers are 5 б being interviewed for information on the site 7 profile or other instances or follow-up on their CATI, there doesn't seem to be any sort 8 of --I guess the guestion would be the 9 10 consistency of approach. For example, would a health physics manager be -- you know, the 11 information obtained from an interview with a 12 13 health physics manager -- how is that treated compared to a maintenance foreman. 14

I would argue that they both have 15 16 valuable information. They could be very 17 different information, but they both could have valuable information as it pertains to 18 19 assessing doses. So the one question was 20 questioning that information is we're how 21 being used and is there an approach, standardized sort of approach by NIOSH, to do 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 that.

2	Then the last one is the follow up
3	on contacts listed by the claimant in their
4	report. There was a section and still is a
5	section, although we modified wording to sort
6	of say that it won't necessarily be contact
7	data, which is good, because I wouldn't expect
8	that all individuals be contacted that are
9	mentioned in the CATI reports. It's just not
10	necessary.
11	I also it does seem that there
12	should be sort of some kind of approach of
13	when or when you wouldn't or when you would
14	consider using those contacts or trying to get
15	a hold of those people.
16	MEMBER MUNN: Mark, I tried to
17	capture all of that, the language that I just
18	submitted to you.
19	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay and I'll
20	have to look at those. As I was talking,
21	Wanda, my frustrating government computer just
22	went off-line so I have to re-login to see

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 your email again.

2 Anyway, I will. Anybody else who 3 wants to send -- this is the time to send in further comments or wording. Today, I guess 4 the -- what I was going to do is propose to 5 б sort of lay out what we've discussed at the last meeting mainly and what is sort of the 7 general items in this report that we're 8 9 working on and not get into the exact way 10 we're going to word it or anything. 11 But if there's anything that you believe we missed or that should or should not 12 be in here, maybe that -- I'd love to hear 13

14 that today if people have thoughts on that.
15 If you want to provide them in writing, that's
16 fine, too. Like I said, I don't want to
17 submit this to the Board at this point.

MEMBER MUNN: Just let me clarify something again. One thing I'd like to have clarified, I'm not sure I understood you correctly, Mark.

22 With respect to the PER reviews,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

we are going to await information on 1 the 2 results from SC&A before we complete what you 3 just simply indicated in the last sentence of That 4 your draft. will be one of the additional items that will 5 be covered; б correct?

I think for the 7 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: PER reviews, we have those three items that --8 unless members of the Subcommittee object or 9 10 have done their homework and think other items it 11 are related. I'm taking from Kathy 12 Behling, who researched this and found that 13 PER 9, 20, and 7 were the three --

14 MEMBER MUNN: Yes, I got that 15 part.

16 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right, were the and then Stu, Ι don't think 17 three, is objecting to those, with the understanding --18 19 and I'll include that kind of language in the 20 final draft -- but with the understanding that we indicate that these results that are at 21 least in part from the review of the first 100 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cases.

2 So I think that -- I don't know 3 that we're asking SC&A to do any further It's mainly on the research on that item. 4 Quality Control section 1 is where we're 5 б asking for further work. 7 MEMBER MUNN: Okay. CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Go ahead, I'm 8 9 sorry. 10 MEMBER MUNN: No, that's Alright. CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: The last thing 11 12 and maybe as long as Doug is on the phone --Doug, you put quite a bit of effort into 13 looking for these Quality Control findings. 14 Maybe you can describe a little more than what 15 16 I just went through in the process and where 17 we stand right now. didn't circulate 18 You those to 19 everyone, did you, or did you? I didn't notice on the email. 20 I didn't see them. 21 MEMBER MUNN: 22 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: So Doug No.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

36

(202) 234-4433

can go over those, and then we'll get those
 around for discussion with the Subcommittee.
 But at least Doug can discuss the process that
 he went through.

Okay. Basically, we 5 MR. FARVER: б were tasked to look at the first eight cases 7 or eight sets of cases and the findings There are probably a little over 8 involved. 700 findings for the first eight sets of 9 10 cases.

What I did is I -- we pulled out 11 12 the ones that we thought were quality-related, 13 which is subjective. There were probably 208 14 of those findings. Then I sorted those 15 findings according to our Table 2 that we put 16 in our audit reports, in that element of technical review. It has to do with photon 17 18 doses or neutron doses.

19 So Ι sorted that and got а 20 distribution to see how the findings that we describe as quality findings relate to these 21 technical elements. 22 with Ι came up а

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

distribution, looked at those 208 findings and
tried to pull out ones that I thought were,
I'll call them obvious. Things like where the
dose was missing for a year, a certain year.
It was omitted for some reason. Because I
thought those would probably be the easiest
ones to track down.

Out of those 208 findings, 8 I pulled out 30 findings. I looked at those for 9 10 ones that were kind of split along the distribution of the technical elements, and I 11 came out with 10 cases. Some of those cases 12 13 had more than one finding, quality-related It worked out for about 23 findings 14 finding. out of those 10 cases. 15

16 So that was kind of the process. 17 Then Mark had some questions about what sites 18 and if it was an overestimate or underestimate 19 and so forth. I do have that information, so 20 I'll go back and I'll modify that table and 21 get it back to you, Mark. That's kind of the 22 process.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, maybe 2 when you modify it, you can send -- you had 3 two spreadsheets.

I did.

MR. FARVER:

4

CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: One with all 5 б 208, and the next one had the ten final If you can add in, like the 7 selected cases. site or the case -- only for the selected 8 I'm not asking for all of them, but for 9 ones. 10 the selected ones, add in the site and whether it was an over, under or best estimate. 11 Then 12 circulate it to everyone, I think, would be a 13 good process.

14 FARVER: Yes. One question MR. 15 that I'll ask you is in one case -- I think it 16 was Tab 155. We had talked -- I suggested we 17 might want to add that as an 11th case because it has some -- it has a finding about a 18 19 neutron dose, which was kind of wacky from our 20 other findings.

21 If you'd like, I'd add that 11th 22 case, or we can just keep it at ten cases.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Well, maybe put 2 it in your table and then we can discuss it 3 with the full Subcommittee once everybody sees 4 all these. I think that would be -- so add it 5 for now, and then we can pull it down if we 6 decide to.

7 MR. FARVER: I'll do so.

8 MEMBER MUNN: Gentlemen, I have 9 another question here. Doug indicated that he 10 was looking at 208 findings from the first 11 eight sets.

My concern is that the document we have before us is specifically a follow-up on the first 100 cases, which clearly, once we get past, what, the first three -- certainly past the fourth set -- we're well out of the first-100-case range.

I guess my question is whether what we're doing with these identified cases is really topical or -- should be topical for this specific report that we're working on or whether we should change the categorization of

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1 this report.

2	Did that question make sense?
3	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes. Well, I
4	guess it doesn't strictly fall under the
5	title. We had this discussion at the last
6	meeting.
7	Actually, it was, I believe I
8	don't want to put words in Stu's mouth, but I
9	think part of the reasoning was it came from
10	NIOSH to say that, well, if we don't have many
11	best-estimate cases in that early, the first
12	five sets of cases, which were the 100 cases.
13	MEMBER MUNN: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: But if we're
15	going to get useful recommendations for NIOSH,
16	Stu said maybe we should expand up to where
17	we're currently I think Stu said this.
18	But anyway, we had it in our
19	discussions that maybe we should look further
20	up to the ones that we're currently reviewing
21	because I guess one thing we want to tease out
22	of this Quality Control process is do we need

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

or does NIOSH need to have sort of a different
 criteria for best-estimate cases versus over and underestimate cases.

It seems like the threshold might 4 little higher when your best 5 be set а б estimates -- because by definition, you're 7 close to the 50th percentile or closer to the 50th percentile so you want to, as Jim Neton 8 9 often says, sharpen the pencil. So therefore, would we, by definition -- so that's sort of 10 how it evolved, Wanda, into --11

MEMBER MUNN: Yes. I understand that. But of course, that's what is covered in your comment after the first little table there, where it should be noted there were no best estimates cases in the first three sets.

17 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right.

It would appear to 18 MEMBER MUNN: 19 me that it would be a simple enough thing to 20 complete that thought and say that we anticipate additional specific information to 21 evolve from current activities reviewing the 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

QA/QC activities in later cases reviewed
 because either we're reporting on the first
 100 cases the way we did before or we're not.
 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes. That's a
 good point.

6 MEMBER MUNN: It doesn't make much 7 difference, but if we're going to call this a 8 Second Status Report on the First 100 Cases, 9 then we need to report on the first 100 cases. 10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, that's a 11 good point. That's a good point.

12 MEMBER MUNN: There's no shame in 13 postponing further findings with respect to 14 QA/QC to our next report.

15 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right, right. 16 That may call for -- that may add to your 17 reasoning of submitting this report and then having another report to follow up on those 18 19 because we -- yes, we can make a note of that, 20 that we would have to hold off on specific recommendations for Quality Control because we 21 22 wanted an opportunity to review cases that are

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 still under review.

2 MEMBER MUNN: Yes, that would seem 3 the logical thing to do to me, since our first 4 report was specifically on the first 100 5 cases.

6 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, that is 7 right. I was looking at our transcripts and 8 that's how I came up with these first eight 9 cases which we all kind of decided that that 10 would make sense to do. But in terms of the 11 report, you might be correct.

MEMBER MUNN: Yes. I'm notspeaking against doing it.

14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes.

MEMBER MUNN: I think our actionthere was appropriate.

MEMBER CLAWSON: Mark, this is Brad. I agree because I'm looking through this whole thing and it calls out the first 100 cases in a spot there. It's kind of a little bit misleading, I think.

22 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, no, that's

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 fine. We can -- that might make sense then. 2 I was trying to make -- I was trying to just 3 end up with one report, but we might have to submit this and then, within the body of this 4 report, offer that we would do a follow-up on 5 б the -- recommend to do a follow-up on the Quality Control that would go beyond the first 7 100 cases and therefore, we will report back 8 the Board the findings of 9 to on that 10 investigation in a separate report.

This is 11 MEMBER CLAWSON: Brad 12 I think in that statement, if you did again. 13 that, just kind of putting a little bit of an 14 explanation about the overestimates and 15 underestimates and why we're doing it, I think 16 it would make it a little bit clearer and 17 easier to follow.

18 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, okay. I 19 think you're right. That's fine. We can do 20 that, yes.

21 MEMBER GIBSON: Mark, this is 22 Mike. Didn't we have this conversation in one

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

of our Subcommittee meetings that we didn't want the report to look too critical of OCAS on the first 100 because things have been changed since then? I think we were trying to incorporate all that into this letter to the Secretary, or am I wrong?

7 MEMBER MUNN: I think your memory 8 is correct in that regard, Mike. That's one 9 of the things that I had in mind at the time 10 that I was reviewing this and making my 11 comments.

12 GRIFFON: CHAIRMAN Ι mean, mγ feeling is that it should be fair. 13 T don't. care if it's critical or not. 14 I think it 15 should reflect what's happened. I think there 16 is a fair amount of positive changes that have resulted, so we certainly need to have those 17 in the letter. I think you're right, Mike and 18 19 Wanda.

I think this is where we can -- we can certainly work on this letter. Is it the consensus, then, that we should -- I'll add

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

language to that first section to indicate 1 2 that we propose a further review of Quality 3 Control but we recommend that it needs to go beyond the first 100 cases and here's why --4 because we want to look at best-estimate as 5 6 well as under- and overestimate-type cases. 7 That will be reported on in a separate report if the Board wishes, you know, if the Board so 8 Then we can leave -- we can sort of 9 directs. 10 defer that part of the follow-up to a separate 11 report, a follow-up report.

MEMBER MUNN: You can probably dothat in a single sentence.

14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes.

15 MEMBER MUNN: The question is, I think, whether it's a follow-up report or 16 17 whether it is a report on subsequent sets of Certainly, we're not going to always 18 cases. 19 limit our reports to the first 100 cases. We 20 surely are going to go beyond that in future 21 reports.

So it would follow that reports on

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

www.nealrgross.com

later findings would be expected to have a
 different set of recommendations.

3 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Right, right. Okay, I was just thinking if we -- okay, I 4 think we can do that. I was thinking if we 5 б deferred that section completely, we might be 7 able to wrap this report up because most other items we can, at least at some level, close 8 them out. 9

10 MEMBER CLAWSON: Mark, this is 11 Brad. My personal opinion is that I would 12 just put a statement in there that we're doing 13 more than the first 100.

With this Quality, we've got to get a better basis for this. I'd just as soon leave this out right now. I'd get this letter to the Board and head out, you know, look at all of them.

19 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, well, I 20 don't know that we have to -- I think that's 21 something to think about. I'm not sure we 22 have to come to a conclusion today. We can

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

present it and maybe -- I mean, one thing I want to ask the Board is that, you know, you've tasked us with this and we're recommending that SC&A do this follow-up.

split the follow up --5 How we whether we ask them to look at the first 100 б cases for Quality Control and then go beyond 7 for subsequent reports or whether we defer the 8 9 whole item, Ι quess the first we can --10 question is, is the Board still comfortable with going, you know. 11

I think we need to go back to the Board and make sure we're going in the right direction and ask if this is okay and we'll extend this out if that's appropriate, if the Board feels it's appropriate and then go from there.

But how to break it up, I'm not sure we have to -- I can see the merits of both, actually, so that's why I'm sort of hedging on this. I can see the utility of waiting and doing it all as one follow-up

NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

investigation as well as having it split into
 the first 100 cases.

3 Do other people have thoughts on 4 that?

5 MEMBER MUNN: It would seem to me 6 that the next report that we file should 7 probably incorporate, probably, the first 200 8 cases. At that time, anything that we had to 9 say about the first 100 could be folded in 10 very nicely.

11 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, that's 12 I was also thinking about the impact on true. this kind of -- the drill-down that we're 13 proposing is to sort of go back to the dose 14 15 reconstructors and investigate sort of why 16 some of these Quality Control findings happened or what happened. 17

18 Stu, do you have a sense of which 19 sort of format would impact or from a time 20 standpoint, from a cost standpoint, would 21 impact the ORAU staff, the OCAS staff?

22 MR. HINNEFELD: Between which two

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 formats?

2	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes.
3	MR. HINNEFELD: I mean, which are
4	we talking about?
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: If we did the
б	first if we just took the first five sets
7	and looked at Quality Control cases for those
8	and drilled down. See, I wasn't thinking of
9	this as a I was thinking of this as a one-
10	time exercise. That's why I was saying the
11	first eight sets and then we can go back, as
12	opposed to doing the first five sets and then,
13	as Wanda said, if we do the next report on the
14	first 200 cases, we'd want to go back and look
15	again.
16	MR. HINNEFELD: I think that, from
17	our standpoint, if we go with the cases that
18	Doug selected from which I think Ted shared
19	with me. I think Ted got them and shared them
20	with me, but I just opened them this morning.
21	If we go with the ones that Doug
22	selected and then maybe add a few more to go

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 on out through Case 200 at some point later, 2 what we would propose to do is we'll start on 3 our tasks associated with all of them, and then we can, in summary, later on -- we can 4 provide a product about what we conclude about 5 б the Cases 1 through 100 and what kind of causes we can find there, and then we could 7 make that sort of a section of the report or 8 an interim report, that we would probably just 9 10 do all of the analysis so we would have the analysis in these claims also. 11

12 you are thinking about maybe Ιf adding -- I think there are, by my count, 13 there are five cases and 11 findings on what 14 Doug selected from the first 100 cases. 15 So if 16 you decide that's not enough and we want to do more for the first 100, well, clearly that's 17 going to have more impact and have more costs 18 19 on it so then we would have to do more for the first 100 than we would if we had stuck with 20 this list. 21

It's really, from my standpoint, I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

22

www.nealrgross.com

1 would like to sort of embark on our work on 2 the cases that we know are going to be 3 selected and pretty much right when they're selected -- if they're selected beyond the 4 number that you're going to report on this, 5 we'll focus our efforts on that. б

7 But I suspect this work is going 8 to be sort of broken up in a way that will 9 just be convenient for us to just go through 10 all the cases selected at a particular time 11 and do those.

12 If it's done later on, maybe if it's a recurring event, I wouldn't necessarily 13 be terribly to that either -- you know, with 14 15 selection now and then selection later. Т 16 wouldn't necessarily be opposed to that either. 17

But I think that we may want to have some discussions with Doug and whoever else from SC&A about certain of these cases that are considered QCs, but before I do that, I really need to look at both the history and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

```
53
```

the findings and all of the -- or some of the 1 2 findings before I get into that. 3 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: We can do that at the next meeting, yes. 4 HINNEFELD: 5 MR. Yes. Some of б these, I'm not so sure I would categorize as a OC failure. 7 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, okay. 8 So it doesn't seem that it would impact you, as 9 10 long as we assign -- the selection would be

11 the key. It doesn't seem that we -- we could 12 report it out as the first five sets and break 13 out our reporting mechanism and it wouldn't 14 impact you from a work standpoint.

MR. HINNEFELD: I don't think thatwould impact it.

17 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, that's fine. We can probably do it that way, then, 18 19 Wanda and Brad. Ι think you're both 20 recommending that. We can format it that way. Okay, so are there other items --21 22 mean, Wanda sent comments and Ι think Ι

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

they're mostly editorial, though -- Wanda, right? -- and I'll certainly have those for when we do finalize the report.

But are there other items that you think need to be added to this report that we missed overall? That's the main thrust I want to get across at the next Board meeting and the verbal report back is the general field that we are

10 including in this report and the sort of 11 preliminary recommendation.

MEMBER MUNN: I personally thinkyour scope is pretty accurate.

14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay. That's 15 good, and we'll work on the actual wording. I 16 think that does make more sense to keep -- for people that are following this, it does make 17 sense to word it as the follow-up report on 18 the first 100 cases -- that we keep that 19 section one to the first five sets, then. 20

21 We'll work with SC&A and NIOSH at 22 the next meeting on those tables that Doug

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

created and the selection and go into more 1 2 detail at the next meeting, next Subcommittee 3 meeting on that. I believe that will be fine. Anything else on this? 4 This is the only item on the agenda. 5 б MEMBER POSTON: Mark, if you have a copy, I've got two quick comments that Wanda 7 missed. 8 9 Impossible, MEMBER MUNN: 10 impossible. MEMBER POSTON: It's possible. 11 On the first page, it should be scientific, not 12 scientifically. 13 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Where is that? 14 15 What line is that? Factors in assuring the 16 scientific. Yes. 17 MEMBER POSTON: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, 19 scientifically validity. That's good. 20 MEMBER POSTON: And then in your were talking about table, we the best 21 estimates. 22

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes.
2	MEMBER POSTON: So when I went to
3	school nine plus nine was 18, not 17.
4	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Good point.
5	That's SC&A's table, I should make a note on
б	that, John. That wasn't my math there, was
7	it, Kathy? No, I'm just teasing.
8	MS. BEHLING: No. I noticed that
9	also. No, 17 is correct. The fifth set
10	should have eight best-estimate QAs.
11	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, so 17.
12	The math was right but the number was
13	Alright. We'll take that.
14	MR. HINNEFELD: I might note that
15	Brant has noted that that is a QC problem.
16	MEMBER POSTON: That's all I had.
17	I looked at Wanda's comments. I think she got
18	them all.
19	CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: We'll make a
20	note of that as a QC follow-up, too.
21	That's really it. I just wanted
22	to have this just so we don't lose track of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1 where we're at on this report, too. That was 2 the main reason for this phone call. I'll do 3 a verbal report on our status, kind of, and I quess that's it. 4 MR. KATZ: 5 Mark? б CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes? 7 MR. KATZ: Hi. It's Ted. But why don't we try to book the next DRR Subcommittee 8 meeting while we're all on the phone. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, I knew 11 you were going to do that, Ted. People have their calendars. 12 13 MR. HINNEFELD: Mark, before we do 14 that, there's one thing not related to this, 15 but I did this morning. We had one action on 16 the sixth set finding, 107.4, from the last Subcommittee meeting, which I sent to 17 the Subcommittee members just this morning. 18 19 CHAIRMAN **GRIFFON:** Ι saw. Ι didn't even open your email yet, but I did see 20 the email. 21 think 22 MR. HINNEFELD: Ι that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

closes finally, for sure, the sixth set, I
 think.

3 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay. I 4 haven't had a chance to look at it. I don't 5 know if you're proposing that we discuss it 6 now?

7 MR. HINNEFELD: No, no. I just 8 want to make sure everybody knows that they 9 got it. I don't think that it's going to 10 warrant a lot of discussion, to be completely 11 honest with you.

12 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay.

MEMBER POSTON: Stu, I've got my email open. I haven't received anything from you today.

16 MR. HINNEFELD: You did not get it17 in your CDC account.

18 MEMBER POSTON: I don't ever go to
19 --

20 MR. HINNEFELD: If Ted tells me 21 to, I'll re-send it. He always tells me we 22 should send things to the CDC account.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. KATZ: You're doing well, Stu.
 That's fine.

3 MEMBER POSTON: It doesn't clutter4 up my box that way. That's fine.

5 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Okay, yes, б that's great, Stu. I'm glad you did that, though, because we do want to close the sixth 7 That would be nice. Hopefully, that 8 set. will be first item on the next meeting that 9 10 we'll look at that and close out the sixth 11 set.

12 Anything else? Any other business13 for today other than the calendar?

Alright, I guess we can turn to the calendar. Ted, you have a better sense of the overall schedule.

MR. KATZ: I wouldn't suggest trying to meet before the Board meeting because that's cutting it close and there's a lot of other stuff going on.

21 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: No.

22 MR. KATZ: But we're already --

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

we're pretty clear at this time. We don't 1 2 have any other work group meetings scheduled 3 following the Board meeting. My schedule is pretty open. Beginning, in other words, the 4 week of Presidents Day, which is the week of 5 the 15th of February, those few weeks after б the Board meeting, to me, are wide open. 7 It's just up to the rest of you. 8

I think a lot 9 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: 10 of it is up to Stu as far as the seventh and Ι don't schedule 11 eighth set. want to something too soon after the Board meeting. I 12 13 assume that you have quite a lot of work leading up to the Board meeting in the next 14 couple weeks. 15

MR. HINNEFELD: Yes, we have a lot leading to the Board meeting. It would be hard for us to show much additional progress by any date in February. The week of February 20, I'm on vacation. February 22, I'm on vacation.

22 MR. KATZ: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 MR. HINNEFELD: I would rather go 2 at least mid-March and maybe even later in 3 March and then we can try to direct ORAU to 4 get some resources on this. Like this time, 5 we can then notify everybody if there's too 6 much else going on.

they're all aware that 7 Т think we're trying to make sure that we finish all 8 9 the claims that are a year old by June 1. 10 That takes a lot of effort because a lot of sites need to be researched in addition to 11 12 doing the claims that we already know how to That's taking a lot of effort, and 13 do. 14 there's not a lot of manpower to spare, but we 15 might be able to have something by mid- to 16 late March that we might be able to talk 17 about.

18 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: How about March
19 23rd or March 25th? That's two possibilities.
20 MEMBER POSTON: Twenty what?
21 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: March 23rd or
22 March 25th.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER POSTON: I can do it on the 2 25th in the afternoon. 3 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: 25th. Well, it would probably be an all-day in Cincinnati, 4 5 John. б MEMBER POSTON: Okay. I have class on that day, and on the 23rd, I'm in 7 Washington. 8 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: How about the -9 10 - you have classes every Thursday? MEMBER POSTON: Yes, Thursday. 11 12 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Alright. 13 MR. KATZ: What about the 22nd, that Monday? It doesn't work? 14 MEMBER POSTON: I haven't made my 15 16 travel arrangements, but I'm going to Washington that afternoon. 17 MEMBER MUNN: It's easier to get 18 there from Cincinnati. 19 20 I was just thinking MR. KATZ: that maybe John could travel out to Cincinnati 21 for Monday and then travel Monday night out to 22

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 Washington.

2 MEMBER POSTON: I'll look into 3 that. MR. KATZ: I don't know if that 4 5 works for the rest of the Work Group and Stu 6 and everyone else. 7 MEMBER MUNN: Is there any problem with the 24th? Why did you leave that one 8 out, Mark? 9 10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Because that's a conflict for me. 11 12 MEMBER MUNN: Okay. That's a good 13 reason to leave it out. 14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: I mean, the 15 26th is okay with me, too, but I don't know 16 about -- some people travel farther than I do, so I was trying to avoid the Friday. 17 MEMBER MUNN: Any time that week, 18 19 currently, is open for me. 20 MEMBER GIBSON: That week seems to work for me. 21 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Why don't we 22

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

say the 22nd, then? Why don't we book the 1 2 22nd for now and if something drastic comes 3 up, we still have a little time to move it. MEMBER MUNN: Okay. 4 5 MR. KATZ: Okay, I'll do it, then, б March 22nd. You want a 9:30 a.m. start time? CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Yes, that would 7 In Cincy. 8 be great. 9 MR. KATZ: In Cincy. Will do. 10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: Alright. We're going to do 11 MEMBER POSTON: this in Cincinnati? 12 13 MR. KATZ: Yes. 14 MEMBER POSTON: Okay. 15 MR. KATZ: John, if that's really 16 a problem, you can also call in. 17 CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: You can call 18 in, yes. 19 MEMBER POSTON: I think I can make that, the 22nd. I'll just come up on Sunday. 20 MR. KATZ: Right, that's what I'll 21 be doing, too. 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MEMBER POSTON: I'm not a 2 government employee. I don't mind traveling. 3 MR. KATZ: Ι am government а employee, and I travel a lot on Sundays. 4 Ted and I have 5 MR. HINNEFELD: 6 been known to travel on Sundays. I think I touched 7 MEMBER POSTON: 8 a nerve. 9 MEMBER MUNN: Indeed. Indeed. 10 MR. KATZ: Are we adjourned, Mark? CHAIRMAN GRIFFON: 11 I think we're adjourned, yes. Thank you all for calling in. 12 (Whereupon, the above-entitled 13 matter concluded at 10:42 a.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20