VITAL and HEALTH STATISTICS

DATA FROM THE NATIONAL HEALTH SURVEY

1

PROPERTY OF THE PUBLICATIONS BRANCH EDITORIAL LIBRARY

differentials in Health Characteristics by Color

United States - July 1965 - June 1967

Statistics on chronic conditions, disability days, physician visits, persons injured, persons hospitalized, by color, age, sex, and family income. Based on data collected in health interviews from July 1965 to June 1967.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service Health Services and Mental Health Administration

Washington, D.C.

October 1969



Public Health Service Publication No. 1000-Series 10-No. 56

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

THEODORE D. WOOLSEY, Director

PHILIP S. LAWRENCE, Sc.D., Associate Director OSWALD K. SAGEN, PH.D., Assistant Director for Health Statistics Development WALT R. SIMMONS, M.A., Assistant Director for Research and Scientific Development ALICE M. WATERHOUSE, M.D., Medical Consultant JAMES E. KELLY, D.D.S., Dental Advisor EDWARD E. MINTY, Executive Officer MARGERY R. CUNNINGHAM, Information Officer

DIVISION OF HEALTH INTERVIEW STATISTICS

ELIJAH L. WHITE, Director ROBERT R. FUCHSBERG, Deputy Director RONALD W. WILSON, Chief, Survey Methods Branch GERALDINE A. GLEESON, Chief, Analysis and Reports Branch

COOPERATION OF THE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Under the legislation establishing the National Health Survey, the Public Health Service is authorized to use, insofar as possible, the services or facilities of other Federal, State, or private agencies.

In accordance with specifications established by the National Health Survey, the Bureau of the Census, under a contractual arrangement, participates in most aspects of survey planning, selects the sample, collects the data, and carries out certain parts of the statistical processing.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 71-601414

Public Health Service Publication No. 1000-Series 10-No. 56

CONTENTS

Selected Findings	1
Source and Limitations of Data	1
Description of the Population	2
Chronic Conditions Age and Sex Limitation of Activity Relative Prevalence of Chronic Conditions and Activity Limitation Multiple Chronic Conditions	5 6 6 8 9 10 11
Disability Days Bed Disability Restricted Activity	11 11 12 13 16
Physician Visits Age and Sex Family Income Place of Visit	17 17 18 19
Persons Injured Age and Sex Class and Place of Accident	19 19 19
Persons Hospitalized Age and Sex Number of Hospital Episodes Length of Hospitalization	20 20 20 21
References	23
Detailed Tables	24

CONTENTS-Con.

Page

Appendix I. Technical Notes on Methods	36
Background of This Report	36
Statistical Design of the Health Interview Survey	36
General Qualifications	37
Reliability of Estimates	37
Guide to Use of Relative Standard Error Charts	39
Appendix II. Definitions of Certain Terms Used in This Report	45
Terms Relating to Chronic Conditions	45
Terms Relating to Disability	45
Terms Relating to Persons Injured	47
Terms Relating to Place of Accident	48
Terms Relating to Hospitalization	48
Terms Relating to Physician Visits	49
Location of Residence Terms	49
Demographic, Social, and Economic Terms	50
Appendix III. Questionnaire	52

÷

SYMBOLS	
Data not available	
Category not applicable	•••
Quantity zero	-
Quantity more than 0 but less than 0.05	0.0
Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision	*

.

IN THIS REPORT comparative data are presented on the health status, disability, and medical care of the white and the nonwhite population. The two color groups are distributed by age, sex, family income, and other selected variables as appropriate. Because of the different age and income distributions of white and nonwhite persons, data are age adjusted or shown by age- and income-specific categories. Inasmuch as the report is a comparative analysis, data in the text tables are presented as percent distributions of the two color groups, as percent of persons with particular characteristics, or as rates. Some prevalence figures are shown in the detailed tables.

The findings are based on information collected in health interviews during the 2-year period July 1965-June 1967, except for the data on physician visits which were obtained only for the fiscal year July 1966-June 1967.

Related reports based on 1 year of data collection during the period covered in this report are "Limitation of Activity and Mobility Due To Chronic Conditions, United States, July 1965-June 1966" and "Volume of Physician Visits, United States, July 1966-June 1967" (Vital and Health Statistics, Series 10, Nos. 45 and 49, respectively).

DIFFERENTIALS IN

Mary Lou Bauer, Division of Health Interview Statistics

SELECTED FINDINGS

The nonwhite population differed from the white population in several important respects which could be expected to affect virtually all health characteristics. As a group they were younger, less well educated and employed, and less affluent. Because age and income were the variables most directly related to health status and health care, the data were adjusted for age and tabled in income-specific categories.

Analysis of the data showed that observed differences in health characteristics were related more to socioeconomic factors of the two population groups than to color. When the data were adjusted for age, white persons appeared to exceed nonwhite in the proportion with chronic conditions, but nonwhite persons had a higher proportion of persons with limitation of activity. With both age and income controlled, there were still relatively more white than nonwhite persons with chronic conditions, but differences between white and nonwhite in activity limitation were negligible. With adjustment for age, nonwhite persons averaged approximately 1 more day of bed disability, restricted activity, and work loss than did white, although there were variations by income and by sex. Age-adjusted disability days were negatively associated with income for white and nonwhite persons, both male and female. White persons had higher age-adjusted rates of physician visits than nonwhite. The number of physician visits was positively related to amount of income for white persons, but not for nonwhite.

Two of the major factors contributing to the apparent higher prevalence of chronic conditions among white persons are, first, the better medical care of white persons which leads to more frequent diagnosis of chronic conditions and, second, the higher average socioeconomic level of white persons which is associated with better reporting. Conversely, less frequent medical attention of nonwhite persons results in fewer diagnosed chronic conditions among the nonwhite but in higher levels of activity limitation and disability.

In most age groups, there were relatively more persons injured in the white population than in the nonwhite. Age was negatively related to injuries for white persons, but had a curvilinear relationship for nonwhite. Relatively more white persons than nonwhite reported short-stay hospitalizations. Although the number of episodes was similar for both groups, nonwhite persons more often than white reported hospitalization stays of 2 or more weeks.

SOURCE AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA

The information contained in this report is based on data collected in a continuous nationwide survey conducted by household interview. Each week a representative sample of households is interviewed to obtain information relating to the health characteristics of each member of the household. During each year, interviews are conducted in approximately 42,000 households com-

posed of 134,000 persons. Most of the estimates in this report have been derived from 2 years of data collection and are based on approximately twice the number of households and persons shown above. However, data on physician visits were collected only during the period July 1966-June 1967, and estimates for the visits are based on 1 year of collected material. Since the estimates from interview data are based on a sample, they will differ somewhat from figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same procedures.

The Health Interview Survey (HIS) is limited to the civilian, noninstitutional population of the United States. For some kinds of information, such as the occurrence of injuries, the effect of this restriction on the data is negligible; for other types, such as the prevalence of specific chronic conditions for which the rate of institutionalization is high, a considerable underestimation may result.

Another general qualification of the survey is that the collected information refers only to persons who were alive at the time of interview. The exclusion of information for persons who died prior to interview tends to reduce certain types of estimates. Hospital data, for example, provide information on the hospital experience of the population but do not assess the total amount of care provided by hospital facilities during a given year.

One further limitation of the data for some purposes is the underreporting of nonwhite persons in the 1960 census, particularly the nonwhite male,¹ Since HIS data are adjusted to the age, sex, and color distribution of the Bureau of the Census, the HIS data will underrepresent nonwhite persons to the same extent that the census data underrepresent nonwhite persons. The effect of the undercount is minimal in this report because the analysis is concerned with the relative distribution of certain characteristics as shown by proportions and rates, and there is no reason to suspect that the undercount would have been selective of any condition or disabilty group. If the data were used as population estimates, however, the undercount would be a source of error.

A description of the design of the survey, of the methods of estimation, and of the general qualifi-

cations of survey data is presented in appendix I. As previously mentioned, the estimates shown in this report are based on a sample of the population and are therefore subject to sampling error. Although most of these errors are of relatively low magnitude, where an estimated number or the numerator or denominator of a rate or percentage is small, the sampling error may be high. For this reason, particular attention should be directed to the section in appendix I entitled "Reliability of Estimates." Charts of relative sampling errors and instructions for their use are also presented in appendix I.

Certain terms used in the report are defined in appendix II. The questionnaire used by the Health Interview Survey during the period July 1966-June 1967 is illustrated in appendix III.

DESCRIPTION OF THE POPULATION

Comparison of the white and the nonwhite population revealed the following differences in distribution by demographic characteristics. The nonwhite population was younger, was less well educated, had lower income, and was less often employed in professional or white collar occupations (table A).

The extent of the differences in the first three variables is apparent from the mediars shown below. These computations are based on HIS data (table 1), which may differ from official population estimates.

	White	Nonwhite
Age Education of head of	29.3 years	22.3 years
family	12 years	9 years
Family income	\$7,300	\$4,440

Differences in income were particularly striking. Almost three times as many nonwhite as white persons had an annual family income of less than \$3,000 (35.1 percent contrasted with 12.7 percent). This was only partially accounted for by the lower median age and education of the nonwhite population. When age was held constant, substantial differences remained; for those under 45 years, the proportion of nonwhite persons living in families with incomes of less than \$3,000 was four times that of the white; for those 45 years and older, the proportion of nonwhite persons in the lower income group was twice that of the white.

The income differential was also a reflection of differences in occupation. Approximately half

as many nonwhite persons as white were employed in professional and technical fields or as farmers, clerical and sales workers, and craftsmen, but nonwhite persons were substantially overrepresented as operatives, household and service workers, and laborers. With respect to the differential in occupation, additional analyses by age and by education suggested some occupational progress

Table A,	Percent	distribution	of	persons,	by	selected	characteristics	according	to
		color; U	nited	States,	July	7 1965 - Jur	ne 1967		

Characteristic	Total	White	Non- white
	Percent	: distri	bution
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Age			
Under 6 years 6-16 years	12.6 22.4 35.5 20.4 9.3	12.0 21.8 35.6 20.9 9.7	16.8 26.5 34.6 16.1 6.1
Education of head of family			
Under 5 years	6.0 10.3 12.8 19.3 28.5 21.6	4.6 9.1 13.1 18.7 29.9 23.3	16.1 19.2 10,4 23.9 18.0 8.6
Family income			
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	15.4 35.8 44.7 4.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 12.7 \\ 35.0 \\ 48.3 \\ 4.1 \end{array} $	35.1 41.4 18.4 5.1
<u>Occupation 1</u>			
Professional, technical, and kindred workers	13.2 2.8 10.4 22.2 13.5 18.8 2.6 9.7 6.2	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.0\\3.0\\11.4\\23.5\\14.2\\18.2\\1.4\\8.6\\5.1\end{array} $	6.9 1,1 2.3 11.6 7.6 23.9 12.7 18.2 14.6

¹Based on currently employed population 17 years of age and over.

for the nonwhite. Among persons under 45, the percentage of the nonwhite population employed as household workers, service workers, or laborers was about 40.8 percent contrasted with 54.3 percent of those 45 years and older. Furthermore, the ratio of white to nonwhite in managerial. clerical, and sales positions was halved-from 4 to 1 for those 45 years and over to 2 to 1 for those under 45. A similar reduction was apparent in the nonwhite to white ratio for laborers. With increasing education, percentage differences between the white and the nonwhite in each occupation decreased. Among persons with college education, the nonwhite actually exceeded the white in the proportion of persons employed in professional or technical occupations (75.9 percent contrasted with 66.0 percent), and the two color groups had equal proportions employed in clerical and sales work.

All four population characteristics—age, education, income, and occupation—are of course interrelated. In this analysis they vary in consistent and predictable directions, indicating clearly that the two color groups are disparate socioeconomic entities. Income and age will be of primary concern in the following analysis since they can be expected to have the greatest influence on indexes of health and health care presented in this report.

Two other demographic characteristics, region and residence, that may contribute to differences in health conditions and health care are shown in table B. The major variation by region is the concentration of the nonwhite population in the South (50.6 percent). Smaller differences exist between white and nonwhite persons by area of residence. Slightly more nonwhite persons (69.3 percent) than white (63.6 percent) live in SMSA's. These data are comparable with those obtained in the Current Population Survey. The Current Population Survey reports that substantially more nonwhite than white residents of metropolitan areas live in central cities.²

Because of the underlying differences between white and nonwhite persons in age and income, the total rates for white and nonwhite persons may obscure sizable differences which are apparent when persons of the same age or income are considered. The nonwhite population as a group is Table B. Percent distribution of persons, by geographic region and residence according to color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Region and residence	Total	White	Non- white	
	Percent distributio			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Region Northeast North Central South West Residence	25.0 27.9 30.7 16.4	25.8 29.2 28.0 17.0	18.7 18.2 50.6 12.4	
SMSA's Outside SMSA's: Nonfarm Farm	64.3 30.1 5.6	63.6 30:7 5.6	69.3 25.3 5.4	

younger and has lower income than the white. The younger age will contribute to lower rates of chronic conditions since age is related to the number of conditions. Lower income may also contribute to lower reported prevalence of chronic conditions, but for a different reason. Although to some extent persons with low income may be younger. there are also many older people with low income. Thus, the interaction of age and income is not the most important factor. Low income is related to inadequate medical care and, therefore, to less frequent diagnosis. Reported prevalence will appear lower, not because the nonwhite population is healthier, but because health conditions have not been diagnosed. The impact of low income can be expected to have a greater effect on the health status of nonwhite persons because a higher proportion of them have low income, and, at the same time, the average family size is larger among the nonwhite than among the white population. Therefore, the same amount of money must support more people with the result that. in addition to the lower per capita expenditure, there could well be proportionately less of the

total income, or none at all, available for medical care. In fact, it has been found that in large families less money is expended per child for health care regardless of income level.³

Because of the differences between the two color groups in these characteristics, age and income, the data which follow have been shown by age- and income-specific categories. Where appropriate, the data have been adjusted for age. However, it is important to keep in mind when reading the unadjusted data that age and income as well as other characteristics may have contributed to the observed differences or similarities in the data. If in the analysis one could control simultaneously for age, income, education, family size, and other variables which contribute to differences in medical care, to differences in general level of health, and to differences in reporting, there would probably be little or no difference attributable to color alone.

CHRONIC CONDITIONS

Chronic conditions are those conditions described by the respondent in terms of one of the items on the list of chronic conditions or on the list of impairments (cards A and B, appendix III), or those conditions which had been noticed more than 3 months prior to interview.

Age and Sex

Substantially more white than nonwhite persons reported one or more chronic conditions, about 51 percent of the white contrasted with about 40 percent of the nonwhite (table C). For both the white and the nonwhite the prevalence of chronic conditions increased with age, from 19.2 percent of white persons under 6 years to 85.5 percent of those 65 and over and from 15.2 to 86.5 percent of the nonwhite in corresponding age groups. The

Chronic conditions,	Both sexes		Male		Female	
limitation of activity, and age		Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
1+ chronic conditions	Percent					
A11 ages	50.8	39.9	49.8	36.9	51.9	42.6
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	19.2 25.9 55.3 71.3 85.5	15.2 20.6 44.8 69.0 86.5	20.4 27.6 54.2 70.1 84.4	$ \begin{array}{r} 16.1\\ 21.2\\ 40.0\\ 64.0\\ 83.4 \end{array} $	17.9 24.2 56.3 72.4 86.3	14.3 20.0 48.7 73.4 89.0
Limitation of activity						
All ages	11.5	11.2	12.3	10.9	10.8	11.4
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	1.1 2.4 7.2 18.8 45.3	1.2 2.7 9.1 23.6 54.3	1.1 2.6 7.9 20.6 52.3	* 2.6 8.7 22.9 60.2	1.1 2.1 6.5 17.2 39.9	* 2.8 9.4 24.1 49.3

Table C. Percent of persons with one or more chronic conditions and associated limitation of activity, by sex, color, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967

greatest difference between the white and nonwhite populations in prevalence of chronic conditions occurred among persons aged 17-44 years.

Among both the white and nonwhite populations 17 years and over, relatively more females than males had chronic conditions; males under 17 years had slightly higher rates than females of the same color (fig. 1). The proportion of white males with one or more chronic conditions exceeded that of nonwhite males in each age group, but the percent of white females with chronic illness exceeded that of nonwhite females only for ages under 45. Above 45 years, the proportion of nonwhite females with chronic illness was higher than that of any other sex-color group.

Limitation of Activity

Although equal proportions of the total white and nonwhite populations reported activity limitation in association with chronic conditions (11.5 and 11.2 percent, respectively), in all age-specific categories the rate among nonwhite persons was higher. The white-nonwhite differential increased with age from less than 0.5 percentage points for those under 17 years of age to 9 percentage points for persons 65 years and over. In each age group, the difference between white and nonwhite persons: was greater among females than among males.

The sex differential in limitation of activity varied by color. Among white persons relatively more males than females in each age interval reported limitation of activity, but among; nonwhite persons females had higher rates than males except among persons over 65 years.⁴

Relative Prevalence of Chronic Conditions and Activity Limitation

White persons exceeded nonwhite in the proportion with chronic conditions for all age intervals except 65 years and over, but the nonwhite consistently had higher proportions of persons with limitation of activity. The differences between the two populations in the prevalence of chronic conditions was greatest for the age group 17-44 whereas in limitation of activity the differences regularly increased with advancing age to a high at 65 years and over (fig. 2).

With respect to chronic conditions, white and nonwhite females were more similar than were white and nonwhite males. In limitation of activity however, just the reverse was true: the proportions with limitation among white and nonwhite



Figure 1. Percent of persons with one or more chronic conditions, by color, sex, and age.



Figure 2. Percent of persons with one or more chronic conditions and with associated limitation of activity, by color and age.

males were more similar than were those for white and nonwhite females.

Although about the same proportions of the total white and nonwhite populations reported limitation of activity, those limited represented a larger segment of the nonwhite population with chronic conditions (28.0 percent) than of the white (22.7 percent), as shown in table D. In each age group, relatively more nonwhite than white persons with chronic conditions had limitation of activity. The color differential was greater under 45 years than over 45, possibly reflecting in the younger group better infant and maternal health care among white persons, which minimizes limitation of activity for them and thus widens the gap between white and nonwhite persons in these ages.

Differences between the white and nonwhite population by income were small, the main variation being that relatively more low-income white than nonwhite persons with chronic conditions reported limitation of activity. Table D. Percent of the persons with one or more chronic conditions who had limitation of activity, by color, age, and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Age and family income	White	Non- white
Age	Perc	ent
All ages	22.7	28.0
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	5.5 9.2 13.0 26.4 53.0	8.0 13.2 20.2 34.2 62.7
<u>Family income</u> Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	44.4 22.6 14.7	38,8 21.7 16.0

Multiple Chronic Conditions

The fact that relatively more white persons reported chronic conditions but relatively more nonwhite persons reported limitation of activity raised the question of whether nonwhite persons had either more conditions or conditions of a more serious nature. Although it was not possible with the interview data to determine seriousness of given conditions, it was possible to examine data for those who reported multiple conditions—in this case three or more conditions. As shown in the following discussion, white persons had higher prevalence of both one or more and three or more chronic conditions in all regions and in all income groups.

Region.—The higher reporting by the white population occurred in all regions (table E). The regional variation between the white and the nonwhite ranged from about 10 percent to 13 percent for one or more chronic conditions and from 4 percent to 6 percent for three or more chronic conditions. The rank order of the regions with respect to the percent of persons reporting either one or more or three or more conditions was similar for both the white and nonwhite pop-

Table E. Percent of persons with chronic conditions, by color and geographic region: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Chronic conditions and region	White	Non- white
<u>1+ chronic conditions</u>	Perc	ent
All regions	50.8	39.9
Northeast North Central South West <u>3+ chronic conditions</u>	47.9 50.3 52.6 53.2	38.0 37.5 40.9 41.8
All regions	14.7	10.5
Northeast North Central South West	12.1 14.4 16.5 15.9	7.7 8.6 12.3 9.9

Fable F. Percent	of j	person	s with	a chronic
conditions, by	colo	and	family	y income:
United States,	July	1965-	June 1	1967

Chronic conditions and family income	White	Non- white
1+ chronic conditions	Perc	ent
All incomes ¹	50.8	39.9
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	66.7 48.8 48.4	46.5 35.9 36.6
3+ chronic conditions		
All incomes ¹	14.7	10.5
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	31.7 13.6 11.0	16.9 7.2 6.0

¹Includes unknown family income.

NOTE: For population, see table 2.

ulations: the South and West exceeded the Northeast and North Central Regions.

Income.—Regardless of income level, white persons more often reported chronic conditions than did nonwhite persons. In each color group, the proportion reporting chronic conditions was substantially higher among those with incomes of less than \$3,000 than among those in either of the other income groups (table F).

The greatest difference occurred in the lowest income group, where 66.7 percent of the white reported chronic conditions in contrast to 46.5 percent of the nonwhite. The other two income groups, \$3,000-\$6,999 and \$7,000 and over, were similar; in each, approximately 48 percent of the white and 36 percent of the nonwhite reported chronic conditions. The color differential in these income groups was therefore about 12 percent in contrast to 20 percent in the group earning less than \$3,000.

The same trends occurred with respect to persons reporting three or more chronic conditions. The white exceeded the nonwhite at each income level, but the excess was greater than that for one or more chronic conditions. In each income group the proportion of white persons reporting three or more chronic conditions was roughly twice that of nonwhite persons. In each color group, the proportion reporting decreased with rising income. Within color groups the difference by income was greater than that for one or more chronic conditions. The proportion of persons reporting three or more chronic conditions was over twice as high among those with incomes of less than \$3,000 as among persons with higher incomes.

Family Size

Since the average number of persons per family is higher among the nonwhite than among the white population (4.4 contrasted with 3.6),⁵ the data have been tabulated holding family size constant. On the basis of mean family size for both groups, persons living in families of less than four members were compared with those in families of four or more members. When both income and family size were controlled, white persons exceeded nonwhite not only in the proportion of persons with chronic conditions but also in the proportion with limitation of activity (fig.3).

At each income level, the following rank order of persons with chronic conditions occurred. Small white families (one to three persons) had the highest proportion of persons with one or more chronic conditions followed by small nonwhite families, large white (four or more persons), and finally large nonwhite families. Thus, when income was held constant, families of the same size were more alike with respect to chronic conditions than were families of the same color.

The same rank order was observed with respect to multiple (three or more) chronic conditions: small white families, small nonwhite, large white, and large nonwhite (table G).

The data on limitation of activity showed the same trends. Persons in small families more often reported limitation of activity than did those in large families, and white families had a higher proportion of persons with activity limitation than did nonwhite families of the same size. Therefore, the apparently higher level of activity limitation among nonwhite persons which existed when persons of all incomes were considered did not prevail when comparisons were made between persons of the same income level.

Small families of one to three persons showed greater variation by income than did large families. In small families the proportion of persons



Figure 3. Percent of persons with one or more chronic conditions and with associated limitation of activity, by family income, color, and family size. with one or more chronic conditions was consistently and negatively related to income: the lower the income, the higher the proportion of persons with chronic conditions, with families earning less than \$3,000 accounting for most of the difference. Thus, in small white families with incomes of less than \$3,000, 76.0 percent of the persons had

Table G. Percent of persons with chronic conditions and with associated limitation of activity, by color, family size, and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Color, family size, and family	Chro condi	nic tions	Limita- tion of		
income	1+	3+	activity		
WHITE					
1-3 person family		Perce	ent		
All incomes ¹ -	65.3	24.3	18.9		
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	76.0 63.1 61.8	39.2 22.0 18.0	35.5 17.0 10.8		
4+ person family					
All incomes ¹ -	40.5	7.8	6.3		
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	40.3 38.2 42.2	10.5 7.4 7.8	13.0 6.6 5.4		
NONWHITE					
1-3 person family					
All incomes ¹ -	58.0	21.6	20.0		
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	67.9 50.0 49.2	31.6 14.0 10.6	31.2 11.8 7.1		
4+ person family					
All incomes ¹ -	31.6	5.4	7.1		
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	32.0 30.7 32.3	7.0 4.7 4.5	9.1 6.3 5.4		

¹Includes unknown family income.

one or more chronic conditions contrasted with 63.1 percent of those in families earning \$3,000-\$6,999, and 61.8 percent of those in families earning \$7,000 or more. Small nonwhite families showed the same trend--67.9 percent of those with incomes of less than \$3,000 had one or more chronic conditions contrasted with 50.0 percent and 49.2 percent, respectively, in the other two income groups.

Large families showed little variation by income. Differences between income groups were small and inconsistent, ranging from 4.0 percent for the white to 1.6 percent for the nonwhite. However, white persons in each income group had higher proportions of persons reporting chronic conditions than did the nonwhite at comparable income levels.

Health expenditures have been shown to vary by color, income, and family size.⁶ White families spend more for health care than nonwhite families; high income groups spend more than low; small families spend more per person than large. All three variables—color, income, and family size are interrelated in the data of this report, and they operate in the direction of less exposure to medical care for nonwhite families. Therefore, part of the observed differences between the white and the nonwhite in proportion of persons with chronic conditions can be attributed to less frequent diagnosis of conditions among the nonwhite.

An additional factor operating in the direction of less health care for nonwhite persons is the fact that fewer nonwhite persons have insurance coverage, and, of those who do, a lower proportion have full coverage. Income is directly and positively related to insurance coverage:⁷ the higher the income, the higher the proportion of persons covered. Family size, however, is negatively associated with coverage in income groups below \$5,000. Thus, the larger the size of the low-income family, the less likely that members will have insurance coverage.

Age-Adjusted Data

With adjustments made for age, the white population continued to have a higher proportion of persons with chronic conditions than did the nonwhite, but the percentage differences were substantially reduced (table H). Although the non-

Family income abyonic conditions and limitation	Unadj	usted	Age adjusted		
of activity	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
<u>All incomes¹</u>		Perc	ent		
1+ chronic conditions 3+ chronic conditions Limitation of activity	- 50.8 14.7 11.5	39.9 10.5 11.2	50.2 14.3 11.2	44.4 13.1 13.8	
<u>Under \$3,000</u>					
1+ chronic conditions 3+ chronic conditions Limitation of activity	66.7 31.7 29.6	46.5 16.9 18.1	53.3 19.3 18.2	49.1 17.7 18.6	
\$3,000-\$6,999					
1+ chronic conditions 3+ chronic conditions Limitation of activity	48.8 13.6 11.0	35.9 7.2 7.8	$49.5 \\ 14.1 \\ 11.4$	42.6 10.6 11.6	
\$7,000 and over					
1+ chronic conditions 3+ chronic conditions Limitation of activity	48.4 11.0 7.1	36.6 6.0 5.8	50.5 12.6 9.1	40.4 8.0 8.5	

Table H. Unadjusted and age-adjusted percent of persons with chronic conditions and with associated limitation of activity, by color and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967

¹Includes unknown family income.

white population of combined incomes showed a slightly higher proportion of persons with limitation of activity than did the white, when comparisons were made within income categories, there were no important differences between white and nonwhite persons in the proportion of persons with activity limitation.

Selected Chronic Conditions

Generally a higher proportion of white persons reported each of 10 selected chronic conditions, but the differences were small, at most 1.5 percent (table J). One condition, hypertension, was reported more frequently among the nonwhite, particularly among nonwhite females. With the exception of ulcer and hernia, the prevalence of each condition shown in table J was higher among females than among males in each color group. In each income group, white persons exceeded nonwhite in the proportion reporting each of the 10 selected chronic conditions (table 4).

DISABILITY DAYS

In the following sections, rates of bed disability, restricted activity, and work loss are discussed according to age, region, residence, and income. Work loss is shown also according to occupation. Finally, age-adjusted data are presented by income and region.

Bed Disability

Bed-disability days are collected for two different purposes. The respondent is asked to report the number of bed-days which occurred during the 12 months preceding interview, as well as the number which occurred during the 2 weeks

Selected chronic conditions ¹ Arthritis and rheumatism	Both	sexes	Ма	1e	Female	
	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
			Perc	ent		
Arthritis and rheumatism	8.8 6.0 4.1 2.0 1.9 1.8 1.5 1.0 1.0	7.3 6.8 3.0 1.3 0.6 1.1 1.5 0.2 0.5	6.4 4.3 2.6 0.5 2.6 1.4 0.8 0.4	5.3 4.4 2.6 1.8 1.4 1.1 * *	11.1 7.5 3.9 1.5 3.3 1.0 1.7 1.2 1.6	9.2 9.0 3.5 0.9 1.0 0.7 2.0 * 0.8

Table J. Percent of persons with selected chronic conditions, by sex and color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

¹For inclusions, see appendix II. NOTE: For population, see table 3.

prior to interview. The number of bed-days during a year is used only as a descriptive measure to indicate severity of conditions, whereas the number of days during 2 weeks is used for annual estimates of disability.

Bed-days during 12 months.— Approximately three-fourths of the persons with one or more chronic conditions experienced no bed-days during the 12 months prior to interview. White persons slightly more often than nonwhite reported no bed-days, and males more often than females.

	White	Nonwhite
Both sexes	77.8	72.1
Male	79.1	74.5
Female	76.7	70.2

Bed-days during 2 weeks.— The nonwhite population averaged 6.4 days of bed-disability per person per year compared with 5.9 days for the white (table K). Nonwhite persons had higher rates of bed disability at 17 years and over. They also generally had higher rates according to residence. However, white persons exceeded nonwhite in each income group.

By region there were no consistent patterns of bed disability according to color, but, within color groups, females had higher rates than males.

Restricted Activity

The average number of restricted-activity days for the white population was 15.6 per person per year and for the nonwhite, 14.6 days (table L). White persons had higher rates of restricted activity at ages under 17, but the nonwhite had higher rates at all other ages. This age pattern was characteristic of both males and females, with the age differential between color groups greatest at ages 65 and over, particularly among females.

By region, residence, and income, the white population also had higher rates of restricted activity than did the nonwhite. Only by residence were there variations by sex: rates for nonwhite males in farm areas and for nonwhite females in farm and in nonfarm areas outside SMSA's exceeded rates for white persons.

Work Loss

ì

Nonwhite persons aged 17 years and over averaged 6.6 work-loss days per currently employed person per year, approximately 1 day more than white workers, who averaged 5.5 days. Higher work loss was found among the nonwhite at all ages, for both males and females (table M).

The differences in work loss between white and nonwhite persons were greater among males than females, the greatest variation being found among males 65 and over. Whereas white males in this age group averaged 7.5 work-loss days per person, nonwhite males averaged 20.2 days. The range of variation by age within color groups was greater among males than among females.

The wide discrepancy in work loss between white and nonwhite males aged 65 and over can be attributed partly to the overrepresentation of nonwhite males in low-income occupations which have high work loss. The discrepancy may be associated also with the concentration of white and nonwhite males in different occupations with dissimilar retirement policies. For example, twice as many nonwhite as white males (53.9

Table K.	Number	of bed-disability	days per perso	n per	year, b	y sex,	color,	and	selected
		characteristics:	United States,	July	1965 - J	une 19	67		

Characteristic		Both sexes		.le	Female		
Characteristic	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
Age	Number of bed-disability days per per per year						
All ages	5.9	6.4	5.1	5.3	6.6	7.4	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	4.7 4.8 6.9 11.8	4.0 6.2 8.7 18.6	4.6 3.7 6.0 11.0	3.8 4.2 7.7 16.4	4.7 5.9 7.7 12.3	4.2 7.9 9.7 20.3	
Region							
Northeast North Central South	5.1 5.5 6.5 6.8	6.1 5.3 7.0 6.3	4.3 4.7 5.8 6.1	4.7 4.8 5.7 5.2	5.7 6.4 7.1 7.4	7.3 5.8 8.1 7.3	
Residence							
SMSA's	5.8	6.0	5.1	4.9	6.6	6.9	
NonfarmFarm	6.1 5.1	7:3 8.0	5.5 4.5	5.9 7.4	6.7 5.7	8.6 8.6	
Family income							
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	10.2 5.8 4.9	9.3 5.4 3.5	10.1 5.2 4.1	7.6 4.7 2.9	10.2 6.4 5.7	10.7 6.0 4.1	

and 25.2 percent, respectively) reported family incomes of less than \$3,000. The nonwhite males were most often laborers (27.5 percent), whereas the white males were employed primarily in managerial, clerical, and sales positions (34.3 percent). It is possible that relatively more nonwhite persons are required by financial need to work beyond the usual retirement age, regardless of health status, while white persons who continue to work after age 65 may be a somewhat healthier group who are working by preference rather than from necessity. In addition, a less than optimum state of health is less a handicap to a person in an office or sales position than to someone engaged in heavy labor. Both of these considerations would contribute to higher work loss for nonwhite males.

The number of days lost from work for both white and nonwhite persons was highest in the South and in farm areas, but this pattern varied by sex. Nonwhite males in the North Central Region and nonwhite females in nonfarm areas outside SMSA's had highest rates of work loss. For persons of all color and sex groups, work loss was greatest among those with incomes of less than \$3,000. At all income levels, work loss was higher among the nonwhite as a group, with only minor variations by sex. Greatest differ-

Table L.	Number of restricted-activity days per person per year, by sex,	color, and
	selected characteristics: United States, July 1965-June 1967	

	Both sexes		Ma	le	Female			
Age All ages 411 ages 6-16 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over Region Northeast North Central South Residence SMSA's Outside SMSA's: Nonfarm Family income	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white		
Age	Number of restricted-activity days per person per year							
All ages	15.6	14.6	14.4	13.1	16.7	16.0		
Under 6 years- 6-16 years- 17-44 years- 45-64 years- 65 years and over-	10.7 10.1 12.4 20.9 33.9	9.1 7.1 13.8 24.3 41.9	11.2 10.3 10.3 20.6 31.9	9.8 6.9 11.6 22.7 35.2	10.2 9.9 14.3 21.3 35.5	8.4 7.3 15.6 25.7 47.5		
Region								
Northeast	$ \begin{array}{c} 13.4 \\ 14.4 \\ 17.0 \\ 18.4 \end{array} $	13.3 13.3 15.5 15.2	12.0 13.2 16.0 17.5	11.6 12.5 13.5 14.5	14.8 15.6 17.8 19.3	14.8 13.9 17.3 16.0		
Residence								
SMSA's- Outside SMSA's: Nonfarm Farm	15.1 16.4 16.1	13.6 16.2 20.4	13.8 15.3 16.3	12.3 13.6 21.2	16.4 17.4 16.0	14.8 18.6 19:6		
Family income								
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	28.9 15.1 12.5	21.0 12.1 9.3	29.0 14.6 11.2	18.6 11.3 8.9	28.9 15.6 13.8	22.9 12.9 9.7		

ences were found among white and nonwhite males in the middle income group (\$3,000-\$6,999) and among females in the lowest (less than \$3,000). Males had higher work loss than females of the same color, except at the \$7,000 and over level. Work loss was higher among nonwhite males of all occupational groups except craftsmen and service workers. Among females, however, rates of work loss were higher among the nonwhite in household, service, and laboring occupations

Table M.	Number	of	days	lost	from	work	per	currentl	y emplo	yed	person	per	year,	Ьy	sex,
	color, ar	nd s	select	ed ch	naraci	terist	ics:	United	States,	Jul	y 1965-	-June	1967		

Charactoristic		sexes	Ma	le	Female		
Characteristic	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
Age	Num	ber of v	work-los per y	s days ear	per per	son	
All ages, 17 years and over	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.9	5.4	6.2	
17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	4.6 6.6 6.6	6.0 7.1 14.8	4.4 7.1 7.5	5.7 7.9 20.2	5.1 5.8 4.9	6.3 6.0 5.9	
Region							
Northeast North Central South	5.0 5.4 5.9 5.6	4.9 6.5 7.7 5.5	4.9 5.6 5.9 5.5	5.0 7.9 7.6 5.7	5.3 4.8 5.9 5.6	4.7 4.5 7.7 5.1	
Residence							
SMSA's	5.2	6.2	5.2	6.5	5.4	5.8	
Nonfarm	5.7 6.7	7.7 8.1	5.8 7.6	7.8 9.5	5.4 4.2	7.7 5.4	
Family income							
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	7.4 6.3 4.7	8.0 7.0 4.8	8.6 6.5 4.5	8.4 7.8 4.7	6.1 6.0 5.0	7.7 5.7 5.0	
Occupation							
Professional, technical, and kindred workers	4.0 7.9 4.8 6.2 6.5 4.0 6.1 6.1	4.8 12.9 4.8 5.1 6.8 6.9 6.1 9.6	3.7 8.2 4.5 6.2 6.4 5.8 6.3	4.7 14.7 4.8 5.1 21.3 5.0 9.7	4.5 2.4 5.0 7.1 6.7 4.1 6.4 3.5	4.9 4.7 6.2 6.3 6.7 7.0 8.3	

¹Includes officials and proprietors, except farm.

as well as in the professional and technical fields.⁸

Age-Adjusted Disability Days

When the data are age adjusted, the nonwhite population averaged one or more additional days of bed disability, restricted activity, and work loss than the white:

	White	Nonwhite
Bed-days	5.8	7.1
Restricted activity	15.4	16.5
Work loss	5.4	6,8

Although nonwhite persons as a group had higher rates of all types of disability, the higher rates did not exist in all income groups (table N). Nonwhite females with family incomes of less than \$3,000 had higher rates of all types of disability than white females, but nonwhite males had lower rates. In the middle income group, nonwhite males and females exceeded the white in bed-days and work loss. Nonwhite persons earning \$7,000 or more, both males and females, had lower rates of all types of disability than did the white.

After age adjustment the rates of disability days were negatively associated with income. The number of disability days per person per year

Table N. Age-adjusted¹ number of disability days per person per year, by sex, color, and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967

	Both	sexes	Ma	1e	Female	
Family income and disability days All incomes Bed disability	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
<u>All incomes</u>	Number of disability days per perso per year					
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	5.8 15.4 5.4	7.1 16.5 6.8	5.2 14.5 5.5	5.9 14.8 7.1	6.4 16.2 5.3	8.1 17.8 6.2
<u>Under \$3,000</u>						
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	8.4 22.4 7.4	9.5 21.7 7.8	8.7 24.6 8.8	7.7 19.8 7.8	$ \begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ 21.3 \\ 6.3 \end{array} $	10.7 23.0 7.8
<u>\$3,000-\$6,999</u>						
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	5.9 15.4 6.3	6.6 14.3 7.5	5.2 14.9 6.6	5.9 13.1 8.4	6.4 15.7 5.9	7.3 15.4 6.0
\$7,000 and over						
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	5.3 13.7 4.7	3.9 10.3 4.4	4.4 12.3 4.6	3.6 9.6 4.4	6.1 14.9 5.0	4.1 11.0 4.5

¹Adjusted to the age distribution of the civilian, noninstitutional population of the United States, July 1965-June 1967 for bed-disability and restricted-activity days and to the age distribution of the currently employed population for work-loss days.

NOTE: For unadjusted data, see table 5.

decreased with rising income. The decrease occurred for both white and nonwhite persons, male and female. The greatest decrease occurred between persons earning less than \$3,000 and those earning \$3,000-\$6,999.

Color differentials in age-adjusted disability rates were not consistent among regions (table O). In all regions, nonwhite females had higher ageadjusted rates of bed disability and restricted activity than did white females. However, they had higher rates of work loss only in the South. Males had no consistent pattern of differences in disability by color among the regions.

PHYSICIAN VISITS

Age and Sex

White persons averaged more physician visits per person than did the nonwhite, regardless of age or sex (table P). White-nonwhite differences were greatest among children under 6

Table O. Age-adjusted¹ number of disability days per persons per year, by sex, color, and geographic region: United States, July 1965-June 1967

	Both sexes		Ma	le	Fem	ale
Region and disability days	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
<u>All regions</u>	Numb	er of d	isabilit per y	y days ear	per per	son
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	5.8 15.4 5.4	7.1 16.5 6.8	5.2 14.5 5.5	5.9 14.8 7.1	6.4 16.2 5.3	8.1 17.8 6.2
Northeast						
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	5.0 13.1 5.0	6.4 14.0 4.7	$4.3 \\ 11.8 \\ 4.8$	5.0 12.3 4.7	5.6 14.2 5.3	7.7 15.7 4.6
North Central						
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	5.5 14.2 5.3	6.1 15.6 6.7	4.7 13.2 5.6	5.5 14.2 8.4	6.2 15.2 4.8	6.7 16.6 4.4
South	ł					
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	6.5 17.0 5.9	7.6 17.3 7.8	5.9 16.5 6.0	6.2 15.3 7.7	6.9 17.5 5.8	8.8 19.0 7.8
West						
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss	6.8 18.7 5.6	7.2 17.8 5.5	6.2 18.0 5.6	6.0 16.2 5.8	7.4 19.3 5.6	8.4 19.6 4.9

¹Adjusted to the age distribution of the civilian, noninstitutional population of the United States, July 1965-June 1967 for bed-disability and restricted-activity days and to the age distribution of the currently employed population for work-loss days.

NOTE: For unadjusted data, see table 6.

A		Both sexes		1e	Female			
љде 	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white		
	Number of physician visits per person per year							
All ages	4.5	3.1	4.0	2.7	5.0	3.5		
Under 6 years- 6-16 years- 17-44 years- 45-64 years 65 years and over-	5.8 2.9 4.5 4.7 6.1	3.2 1.2 3.8 3.9 4.9	6.0 3.0 3.3 4.3 5.5	3.6 1.2 2.9 3.7 4.2	5.6 2.8 5.5 5.1 6.5	2.7 1.3 4.7 4.1 5.5		

Table P. Number of physician visits per person per year, by sex, color, and age: United States, July 1966-June 1967

years. The adult population (17-64 years) was most similar, but even among these persons, the white averaged from one-half to one additional physician visit per person per year. The magnitude of the white-nonwhite differences was similar for males and females.

Family Income

At all income levels, white persons had higher age-adjusted rates of physician visits than did nonwhite persons (table Q). For males the differences between the white and the nonwhite in the three income groups were essentially the same, but females showed some increased disparity with rising income.

Although the white population showed increased use of physicians with increased income, this trend was not apparent among the nonwhite (a possible intervening variable may be family size). Among the nonwhite, the middle income group had the lowest rate of physician visits, but the highest rate varied by sex. That is, nonwhite males with family incomes of \$7,000 or more reported the

Table Q. Age-adjusted number of physician visits per person per year, by sex, color, and family income: United States, July 1966-June 1967

Family income	Both sexes		Ma	1e	Female		
	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
All incomes	Number of physician visits per person per year						
Under \$3,000 \$3,000-\$6,999 \$7,000 and over	4.3 4.3 4.7	3.5 3.0 3.4	3.9 3.9 4.2	2.9 2.8 3.3	$4.7 \\ 4.8 \\ 5.1$	3.9 3.3 3.5	

NOTE: For unadjusted data, see table 7.

highest number of visits, whereas nonwhite females with incomes of less than \$3,000 most often visited the doctor. Nonwhite females with low incomes may be more likely than males to avail themselves of clinic facilities, many of which have only daytime hours. In particular, nonwhite females with low incomes frequently obtain prenatal and postnatal care in hospital clinics.

Place of Visit

The percent distribution of physician visits by family income and place of visit showed that relatively more white than nonwhite persons consulted with physicians in the home or in the office and by telephone, whereas relatively more nonwhite persons visited doctors in hospital clinics or emergency rooms (table 8).

Both white and nonwhite persons most often saw the doctor in his office. The next most frequent place of visit varied by color and income. For both nonwhite and white persons in the lowest income group, the second most frequent place of visit was a hospital clinic or emergency room; for white persons with family incomes of \$3,000 or more, the consultation was by telephone.

The proportion of total visits which were made to a physician's office increased slightly with income among white persons, and the proportion made to hospital clinics or emergency rooms decreased. A similar pattern was observed only among nonwhite persons with incomes in excess of \$7,000.

PERSONS INJURED

Age and Sex

More white persons (26.2 per 100 population) than nonwhite (19.1 per 100 population) were injured in accidents. White persons had a higher rate of persons injured in each age group except 45-64, but substantial differences between color groups occurred only at the youngest and oldest ages (table R).

By age white and nonwhite persons had entirely different patterns of persons injured. Among the white, the rate decreased steadily with age from 30.9 percent of persons under 17 to 15.7 percent of persons 65 and over. Among the nonwhite, however, there was a curvilinear relationship of persons injured by age, with those under 17 and those over 65 having the lowest proportions injured, 15.6 and 9.6 percent, respectively.

Males of each color were more often injured than females, the difference in frequency being greater among the nonwhite than the white. The proportion of nonwhite males injured was almost twice that of nonwhite females, whereas the proportion of white males injured was about 1½ times that of white females.

Class and Place of Accident

Relatively more nonwhite persons than white were injured in moving motor vehicle accidents, work accidents, and accidents at home (table 9). This was true for both males and females. White persons exceeded nonwhite in the proportion injured in "other" places (which includes schools,

Table R. Number of persons injured per 100 persons per year, by color, age, and sex: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Age and sex	White	Non- white
Age	Numbe person jured 100 pe per	r of s in- per rsons year
All ages	26.2	19.1
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	30.9 28.7 18.9 15.7	15.6 24.1 21.6 9.6
<u>Sex</u>		
Male Female	31.7 21.0	25.7 13.4

NOTE: Excluded from these statistics are all conditions involving neither restricted activity nor medical attention. recreation areas, and other public places, as well as nonmoving motor vehicle accidents and other unclassified mishaps). By place of accident, white persons also exceeded the nonwhite in the proportions injured at home, but outside rather than inside the house.

Thus, it appears that the nonwhite were somewhat more frequently injured in work environments, whereas, the white were more often injured in school and leisure activities and in other public places. Nonwhite persons have higher exposure to work injuries than do white, because nonwhite males are overrepresented in hazardous occupations such as operatives and laborers and because a higher proportion of nonwhite females 17 years and older are currently employed, 49.4 percent in contrast to 39.2 percent of the white females.

PERSONS HOSPITALIZED

Age and Sex

In each age group a larger proportion of white than nonwhite persons reported episodes in shortstay hospitals during the year prior to interview (table S). The differences between white and nonwhite persons were greater among males than females and for ages 45 and over.

Relative hospitalization for each age group was consistent among the white and nonwhite of the lower ages but varied by sex for those 17 years and over. In each sex-color group persons aged 6-16 years were least often hospitalized. Among males hospitalization was highest for those 65 and over, with 14.6 percent of the white and 9.6 percent of the nonwhite males being hospitalized some time during the year. Among females, however, hospitalization was highest for those of childbearing age, 17-44 years, with the rate of hospitalization about the same for the two color groups.

Number of Hospital Episodes

The distribution of the white and the nonwhite population by number of hospital episodes was quite similar. About 89.8 percent of the white and 91.7 percent of the nonwhite reported no short-stay hospital episodes during the year (table 11). Less than 2 percent of either group had two or more hospital episodes. The differences which existed were consistent: the white had relatively more hospital episodes than the

Table S. Percent of persons with short-stay hospital days in a year, by sex, color, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Age	Both sexes		Male		Female			
	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white		
	Percent							
All ages	10.2	8.2	8.2	5.9	12.1	10.3		
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years	7.5 4.8 12.8 11.3 14.0	6.4 2.9 13.0 8.5 8.5	8.2 5.1 7.0 11.1 14.6	6.8 2.8 6.2 8.4 9.6	6.7 4.4 18.1 11.4 13.4	6.1 3.0 18.6 8.7 7.5		

NOTE: For population, see table 10.

Table T. Percent distribution of persons with short-stay hospital days in a year, by number of hospital days according to sex and color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

		Both sexes		Male		Female			
Hospital days	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white			
	Percent distribution								
All days	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1-7 days 8-14 days 15 days or more	65.5 18.9 15.6	63.1 18.2 18.6	60.8 19.4 19.9	50.1 22.2 27.7	68.6 18.7 12.8	70.0 16.2 13.8			

nonwhite, and females had a higher rate of hospitalization than males in both color groups.

Length of Hospitalization

The majority of persons with short-stay hospitalizations were hospitalized for 1 to 7 days (table T). The percentage difference between the white and nonwhite of both sexes was small, but the difference between white and nonwhite males was substantial. Relatively more nonwhite (27.7 percent) than white males (19.9 percent) had hospitalizations of 15 days or more, and fewer had hospitalizations of a week or less (50.1 percent and 60.8 percent, respectively).

Although relatively fewer nonwhite persons had hospital episodes, once hospitalized, relatively more of them reported larger numbers of hospital days than did white persons of the same age and sex (table U). The differences between white and nonwhite males in annual number of hospital days were greater than between white and nonwhite females. Above age 17, nonwhite males consistently exceeded all other groups in the proportion of persons with 15 or more hospital days, followed in turn by white males, nonwhite females, and white females. Under age 17, however, males and females of the same color were more alike, with substantial differences between white and nonwhite persons.

Among males, the proportions hospitalized 15 or more days increased with age, with nonwhite males exceeding white males at each age level. The excess diminished with increasing age. Among females, the pattern was different. There was no consistent relationship with age: although persons 45 and over had the highest proportions hospitalized for 15 or more days, the group aged 17-44 had the lowest. Differences between white and nonwhite females above 17 were small. The main discrepancy was in the under 17 group where the proportion of nonwhite females hospitalized 15 or more days (18.0 percent) was over twice that of white females (7.9 percent), and, in fact, almost as high as nonwhite males (19.7 percent). Table U. Percent distribution of persons with short-stay hospital days in a year, by number of hospital days according to color, sex, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967

	Number of hospital days				
Color, sex, and age		1-7	8-14	15 and over	
WHITE					
Both sexes	Perc	ent di	stribu	tion	
All ages	100.0	65.5	18.9	15.6	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	100.0 100.0 100.0	80.6 76.1 44.5	11.5 15.0 27.6	7.9 8.8 27.9	
Male					
All ages	100.0	60.8	19.4	19.9	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	100.0 100.0 100.0	80.3 67.4 44.2	11.8 17.4 25.4	7.9 15.3 30.5	
Female					
All ages	100.0	68.6	18.7	12.8	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	100.0 100.0 100.0	81.0 79.2 44.8	11.1 14.2 29.6	7.9 6.6 25.6	
NONWHITE					
Both sexes					
A11 ages	100.0	63.1	18.2	18.6	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	$100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0$	65.7 72.4 38.5	15.1 15.5 27.9	18.9 12.2 33.6	
Male					
All ages	100.0	50.1	22.2	27.7	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	100.0 100.0 100.0	64.2 51.3 33.7	15.6 23.7 27.4	19.7 25.0 38.9	
Female					
All ages	100.0	70.0	16.2	13.8	
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	100.0 100.0 100.0	67.3 78.3 42.9	14.6 13.2 28.3	18.0 8.5 28.8	

NOTE: For population, see table 12.

¹Farley, R.: The quality of demographic data for nonwhites. *Demography*. 5(1):1-10. 1968.

²U.S. Bureau of the Census: Negro population, March 1967. Current Pop. Reports. Series P-20, No. 175. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Oct. 1968.

³National Center for Health Statistics: Medical care, health status, and family income, United States. *Vital and Health Statistics*. PHS Pub. No. 1000-Series 10-No. 9. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, May 1964.

⁴For more detailed information on limitation of activity due to chronic conditions, see National Center for Health Statistics, "Limitation of Activity and Mobility Due to Chronic Conditions, United States, July 1965-June 1966," *Vital and Health Statistics*, PHS Pub. No. 1000, Series 10, No. 45, Public Health Service, Washington, U.S. Government Printing Office, May 1968.

⁵U.S. Bureau of the Census: Household and family characteristics, March 1967, by Steve W. Rawlings. *Current Pop. Reports*. Series P-20. No. 173. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1968.

⁶National Center for Health Statistics: Family health expenses, United States, July-December 1962. *Vital and Health Statistics*. PHS Pub. No. 1000-Series 10-No. 41. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office. Nov. 1967.

⁷National Center for Health Statistics: Family hospital and surgical insurance coverage, United States, July 1962-June 1963. Vital and Health Statistics. PHS Pub. No. 1000-Series 10-No. 42. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Nov. 1967.

⁸For additional data, see National Center for Health Statistics, "Selected Health Characteristics by Occupation, United States, July 1961-June 1963," Vital and Health Statistics, PHS Pub. No. 1000, Series 10, No. 21, Public Lealth Service, Washington, U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1965.

-----000------

DETAILED TABLES

.

Page

Table 1.	Average number and percent distribution of the population, by selected demographic characteristics according to color: United States, July 1965-June 1967	25
2.	Average number and percent of persons with chronic conditions and with associated limitation of activity, by color and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967	27
3.	Average number of persons with selected chronic conditions, by sex and color: United States, July 1965-June 1967	27
4.	Average number and percent of persons in each family income group with selected chronic conditions, by color: United States, July 1965-June 1967	28
5.	Average annual number of disability days and number of disability days per person per year, by sex, color, and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967	29
б.	Average annual number of disability days and number of disability days per person per year, by sex,color, and geographic region: United States, July 1965-June 1967-	30
7.	Number of physician visits per person per year, by sex, color, family income, and age: United States, July 1966-June 1967	31
8.	Percent distribution of physician visits, by place of visit according to sex,color, and family income: United States, July 1966-June 1967	32
9.	Average annual number and percent distribution of persons injured, by age and class and place of accident according to sex and color: United States, July 1965-June 1967-	33
10.	Average annual number of persons with short-stay hospital days,by color, sex, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967	34
11.	Average annual number and percent distribution of persons with short-stay hospital episodes, by number of episodes according to color and sex: United States, July 1965-June 1967	34
12.	Average annual number of persons with one or more short-stay hospital episodes, by number of hospital days, color, sex, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967-	35

Table 1. Average number and percent distribution of the population, by selected demographic characteristics according to color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

Characteristic	Total	White	Non- white	Total	White	Non- white
	Average in	Percen	t distr	ibution		
All persons	191,537	168,592	22,946	100.0	100.0	100.0
Region						
Northeast	47,803	43,504	4,300	25.0	25.8	18.7
North Central	53,471	49,284	4,187	27.9	29.2	18.2
South	58,766	47,147	11,618	30.7	28.0	50.6
West	31,497	28,656	2,841	16.4	17.0	12.4
Residence						
SMSA's	123,183	107,287	15,896	64.3	63.6	69.3
Outside SMSA's:	57 647	51 020	5 907	20.1	30.7	25.3
Nontarm	10,707	9,465	1,242	5.6	5.6	5.4
Sov						
Malasses	92 566	81 635	10.930	48.3	48.4	47.6
Tamp 10	98,971	86,956	12.015	51.7	51.6	52.4
· · ·	,,,,,,	,	,			
Age		20, 200	2 9/5	12.6	12.0	16.8
Under 6 years	24,040	20,200	5,045	22.6	21.8	26.5
6-16 years	42,875	50,069	7 033	35 5	35.6	34.6
17-44 years	28 003	35 200	3 693	20 /	20.9	16.1
45-64 years	17 723	16 327	1 396	9.3	9.7	6.1
US years and over	17,725	10,027				
Education of head of family						16.1
Under 5 years	11,416	7,724	3,692	0.0	4.0	10.1
5-7 years	19,722	15,320	4,401	10.3	9.1	19.2
8 years	24,448	22,066	2,383	12.8	13.1	10.4
9-11 years	37,023	31,528	5,494	19.3	18.7	23.9
12 years	54,627	50,491	4,135	28.5	29.9	18.0
13 years or more	41,333	39,362	1,972	21.6	23.3	I 8.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1. Average number and percent distribution of the population, by selected demographic characteristics according to color: United States, July 1965-June 1967-Con.

				··		
Characteristic	Total	White	Non- white	Total	White	Non- white
Education of individual	Average in	Percen	t distr	ibution		
Under 9 years	32,871	27,823	5,048	17.2	16.5	22.0
9-11 years	26,103	22,722	3,381	13.6	13.5	14.7
12 years	40,209	37,338	2,872	21.0	22.1	12.5
13-15 years	13,107	12,296	810	6.8	7.3	3.5
16 years or more	10,638	10,099	538	5.6	6.0	2.3
Family income						
Under \$3,000	29,412	21,363	8,049	15.4	12.7	35.1
\$3,000-\$6,999	68,492	58,988	9,504	35.8	35.0	41.4
\$7,000 and over	85,612	81,383	4,229	44.7	48.3	18.4
Occupation						
All occupations ²	74,031	65,858	8,173	100.0	100.0	100.0
Professional, technical, and kindred workers	9,795	9,232	563	13.2	14.0	6.9
Farmers and farm managers	2,077	1,984	93	2.8	3.0	1.1
Managers, officials, and proprietors, except farm	7,690	7,500	190	10.4	11.4	2.3
Clerical, sales, and kindred workers	16,434	15,484	949	22.2	23.5	11.6
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	10,001	9,382	619	13.5	14.2	7.6
Operatives and kindred workers	13,939	11,988	1,951	18.8	18.2	23.9
Private household workers	1,956	919	1,037	2.6	1.4	12.7
Service workers	7,171	5,684	1,487	9.7	8.6	18.2
Laborers, except mine	4,571	3,378	1,193	6.2	5.1	14.6

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

¹Includes unknown education and family income.

²Based on currently employed population 17 years of age and over; total includes persons of unknown occupation.

NOTE: For official population estimates for more general use, see Bureau of the Census reports on the civilian population of the United States in <u>Current Population Reports</u>, Series P-20, P-25, and P-60. Table 2. Average number and percent of persons with chronic conditions and with associated limitation of activity, by color and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

Family income, chronic conditions, and limitation of activity	Total	White	Non- white	Total	White	Non- white
All incomes ¹	Average number of persons in thousands				Percent	
14 chronic conditions	94,853 27,122	85,707 24,720	9,147 2,402	49.5 14.2	50.8 14.7	39.9 10.5
Limitation of activity	21,984	19,425	2,560	11.5	11.5	11.2
<u>Under \$3,000</u>						
1+ chronic conditions	17,984 8,127	14,239 6,764	3,745 1,363	61.1 27.6	66.7 31.7	46.5 16.9
Limitation of activity	7,773	6,320	1,453	26.4	29.6	18.1
\$3,000-\$6,999						
1+ chronic conditions	32,170 8,731	28,761 8,045	3,410 685	47.0 12.7	48.8 13.6	35.9 7.2
Limitation of activity	7,231	6,492	739	10.6	11.0	7.8
\$7,000 and over						
1+ chronic conditions	40,975 9,229	39,430 8,975	1,546 254	47.9 10.8	48.4 11.0	36.6 6.0
Limitation of activity	6,031	5,784	247	7.0	7.1	5.8

¹Includes unknown family income.

Table 3. Average number of persons with selected chronic conditions, by sex and color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II

Selected chronic conditions!	Both sexes		Ma	le	Fem	Female	
Selected chronic conditions ¹	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
	Avera	ge numb	er of pe	rsons i	n thous	ands	
Arthritis and rheumatism	14,830 10,054 6,922 3,445 2,987 2,578 1,763 1,713 1,256	1,684 1,560 697 136 243 354 51 109 199	5,185 3,498 3,513 2,147 377 2,087 1,115 685 335 627	579 478 282 202 * 155 116 * * 91	9,645 6,555 3,409 1,298 2,828 901 1,463 1,079 1,378 629	1,105 1,082 415 105 115 88 238 33 100 108	

For inclusions, see appendix II.

Table 4. Average number and percent of persons in each family income group with selected chronic conditions, by color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

	Family income					
Selected chronic conditions	Under \$3,000		\$3,000- \$6,999		\$7,000 and over	
	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
	Avera	ige numb	er of p	ersons	in thou	isands
Arthritis and rheumatism	4,569	992	4,809	449	4,759	167
Hypertension without heart involvement	2,660	803	3,324	503	3,616	177
Heart conditions	2,266	393	2,162	200	2,158	74
Peptic ulcer	600	127	1,205	113	1,524	51
Diseases of the thyroid gland	446	59	949	41	1,687	30
Hernia	755	111	1,038	96	1,062	*
Diabetes	720	176	854	115	868	46
Malignant neoplasms	441	*	556	*	686	*
Diseases of the gallbladder	521	68	560	*	558	*
Vascular lesions of the central nervous system	550	128	371	43	279	*
			Perc	ent		
Arthritis and rheumatism	21.4	12.3	8.2	4.7	5.8	3.9
Hypertension without heart involvement	12.5	10.0	5.6	5.3	4.4	4.2
Héart conditions	10.6	4.9	3.7	2.1	2.7	1.7
Peptic ulcer	2.8	1.6	2.0	1.2	1.9	1.2
Diseases of the thyroid gland	2.2	0.7	1.6	0.4	2.1	0.7
Hernia	3.5	1.4	1.8	1.0	1.3	*
Diabetes	3.4	2.2	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1
Malignant neoplasms	2.1	*	0.9	*	0.8	*
Diseases of the gallbladder	2.4	0.8	0.9	*	0.7	*
Vascular lesions of the central nervous system	2.6	1.6	0.6	0.5	0.3	*

Table 5. Average annual number of disability days and number of disability days per person per year, by sex, color, and family income: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

Rent la des and	Both s	sexes	Mal	.e	Fema	=
disability days	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
All incomes ¹	Av	verage numb	er of disabi	lity days	in thousand	ls
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ²	992,036 2,625,267 359,018	147,184 336,031 53,966	420,071 1,177,308 234,806	57,782 143,381 32,350	571,965 1,447,958 124,211	89,402 192,650 21,616
Under \$3,000 Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ²	217,278 618,172 44,296	75,175 168,961 17,973	92,323 265,569 27,627	27,479 67,036 9,480	124,955 352,603 16,669	47,696 101,925 8,493
\$3,000-\$6,999 Bed disability	341,469	51,223	147,169	21,731	194,300	29,492
Work loss ²	140,398	24,370	94,202	16,553	46,196	7,817
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ²	396,737 1,019,582 162,899	14,746 39,208 9,560	165,815 456,977 105,128	6,291 19,001 5,478	230,921 562,605 57,771	8,455 20,207 4,082
All incomes ¹	Nu	mber of dia	sability day	s per pers	on per year	
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ²	5.9 15.6 5.5	6.4 14.6 6.6	5.1 14.4 5.5	5.3 13.1 6.9	6.6 16.7 5.4	7.4 16.0 6.2
Under \$3,000 Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ²	10.2 28.9 7.4	9.3 21.0 8.0	10.1 29.0 8.6	7.6 18.6 8.4	10.2 28.9 6.1	10.7 22.9 7.7
\$3,000-\$6,999 Bed disability	5.8	5.4	5.2	4.7	6.4	6.0
\$7,000 and over	6.3	7.0	6.5	7.8	6.0	5.7
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ²	4.9 12.5 4.7	3.5 9.3 4.8	4.1 11.2 4.5	2.9 8.9 4.7	5.7 13.8 5.0	4.1 9.7 5.0

¹Includes unknown family income. ²Based on currently employed persons 17 years of age and over.

Table 6. Average annual number of disability days and number of disability days per person per year, by sex, color, and geographic region: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II

Poston and disability down	Both se	exes	Male	2	Female		
Region and disability days	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	
All regions	Ave	erage numbe	r of disabil	lity days i	in thousands		
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	992,036 2,625,267 359,018	147,184 336,031 53,966	420,071 1,177,308 234,806	57,782 143,381 32,350	571,965 1,447,958 124,211	89,402 192,650 21,616	
Northeast							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss1	220,096 584,674 88,565	26,178 57,108 8,166	90,164 249,889 54,792	9,630 23,762 4,737	129,932 334,785 33,773	16,548 33,345 3,429	
North Central							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	273,008 711,873 103,492	22,296 55,488 9,885	112,254 317,720 71,227	9,354 24,294 6,972	160,754 394,153 32,265	12,943 31,194 2,913	
South							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss1	305,101 800,227 105,982	80,843 180,124 30,408	132,438 364,971 68,951	31,420 74,878 17,140	172,664 435,257 37,031	49,423 105,246 13,268	
West							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	193,830 528,492 60,978	17,867 43,311 5,508	85,215 244,728 39,835	7,378 20,447 3,501	108,615 283,764 21,142	10,489 22,864 2,006	
All regions	Nu	mber of dis	ability day:	s per perso	n per year		
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss1	5.9 15.6 5.5	6.4 14.6 6.6	5.1 14.4 5.5	5.3 13.1 6.9	6.6 16.7 5.4	7.4 16.0 6.2	
Northeast							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	5.1 13.4 5.0	6.1 13.3 4.9	4.3 12.0 4.9	4.7 11.6 5.0	5.7 14.8 5.3	7.3 14.8 4.7	
North Central					1		
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	5.5 14.4 5.4	5.3 13.3 6.5	4.7 13.2 5.6	4.8 12.5 7.9	6.4 15.6 4.8	5.8 13.9 4.5	
South							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	6.5 17.0 5.9	7.0 15.5 7.7	5.8 16.0 5.9	5.7 13.5 7.6	7.1 17.8 5.9	8.1 17.3 7.7	
West							
Bed disability Restricted activity Work loss ¹	6.8 18.4 5.6	6.3 15.2 5.5	6.1 17.5 5.5	5.2 14.5 5.7	7.4 19.3 5.6	7.3 16.0 5.1	

¹Based on currently employed persons 17 years of age and over.

Table 7.	Number	of	physician	visits	per	person	per	year,	by	sex,	color,	family	income,	and	age:
				United	l Sta	ites, Ju	ıly	1966-j	une	1967		•			

		sexes	Male		Female	
Family income and age	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
All incomes ¹	Numb	er of p	hysician per y	visits ear	per pe	rson
All ages	4.5	3.1	4.0	2.7	5.0	3.5
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	5.8 2.9 4.5 4.7 6.1	3.2 1.2 3.8 3.9 4.9	6.0 3.0 3.3 4.3 5.5	3.6 1.2 2.9 3.7 4.2	5.6 2.8 5.5 5.1 6.5	2.7 1.3 4.7 4.1 5.5
Under \$3,000 All ages	5.0	3.4	4.3	2.8	5.5	3.8
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	4.5 2.0 4.6 5.2 6.1	3.6 1.1 3.6 5.1 5.1	3.7 1.9 3.9 5.5 5.1	4.1 * 2.4 4.5 4.5	5.4 2.1 5.3 5.0 6.7	3.0 1.3 4.5 5.4 5.5
<u>\$3,000-\$4,999</u> All ages	4.4	2.8	4.0	2.3	4.8	3.2
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	4.9 2.3 4.4 5.3 5.7	2.4 1.1 4.0 3.3 *	5.7 2.0 3.6 4.5 5.3	2.2 * 2.8 4.0 *	4.1 2.5 5.1 5.8 6.1	2.7 * 5.0 2.8 *
\$5,000-6,999						
All ages	4.3	2.9	3.9	2.9	4.8	2.9
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	5.4 2.8 4.4 4.4 7.2	2.5 1.4 3.6 3.5 *	5.3 3.1 3.1 4.4 6.3	* 3.1 4.2 *	5.5 2.5 5.5 4.3 7.8	* 4.0 *
\$7,000 and over						
All ages	4.5	3.3	4.0	3.1	5.0	3.5
Under 6 years 6-16 years 17-44 years	6.5 3.1 4.5 4.8 6.4	4.2 1.5 3.9 3.2 *	6.7 3.2 3.2 4.3 6.7	5.5 1.8 3.0 2.6 *	6.3 3.0 5.7 5.3 6.1	* 4.8 3.8 *

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

¹Includes unknown family income.

Table 8. Percent distribution of physician visits, by place of visit according to sex, color, and family income: United States, July 1966-June 1967

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

Fortily income and place of wight		Both sexes		Male		Female	
Family income and place of visit	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
All incomes ¹		Perc	cent dis	tributi	on	<u> </u>	
All visits	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Home	3.4 72.9 7.7 0.8 12.0 3.1	2.2 60.3 25.8 * 4.0 6.3	3.0 71.3 8.6 1.5 11.5 4.0	60.7 26.2 * 3.6 5.6	3.6 74.1 7.1 0.3 12.4 2.5	2.5 60.0 25.5 4.3 6.9	
Under \$3,000							
All visits	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Home	5.8 71.1 10.4 * 7.0 5.2	* 60.3 26.0 * * 6.6	5.1 70.3 10.4 * 4.9 8.4	62.3 27.3 * *	6.2 71.5 10.5 * 8.3 3.4	59.1 25.2 * 6.7	
\$3,000-\$6,999						ļ	
All visits	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Home	3.4 72.5 9.3 0.6 11.3 3.0	* 54.5 28.4 * 4.8 8.0	2.8 71.2 10.6 1.0 10.6 3.8	* 54.5 28.4 * *	3.8 73.4 8.3 * 11.8 2.4	* 54.6 28.4 * 8.9	
\$7,000 and over							
All visits	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Home Office (including prepaid group) Hospital clinic or emergency room Company or industry health unit Telephone	2.6 73.5 6.3 1.0 13.8 2.7	* 70.3 18.8 * *	2.6 71.7 7.2 2.0 13.3 3.1	* 70.4 18.2 * *	2.6 74.9 5.5 * 14.2 2.4	* 70.2 19.4 * *	

¹ Includes unknown family income.

Table 9. Average annual number and percent distribution of persons injured, by age and class and place of accident according to sex and color: United States, July 1965-June 1967

	Both a	exes	Mal	.e	Fema	.1e
Age and class and place of accident		Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
Age	Av	verage n	umber of in thou	persons sands	injured	[
All ages	44,098	4,385	25,870	2,772	18,228	1,613
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	17,629 17,236 9,233	1,546 1,909 930	10,975 10,455 4,439	1,006 1,185 582	6,653 6,781 4,794	540 725 348
Class of accident Moving motor vehicle	3,317 8,631 18,447 14,422	419 1,209 1,960 948	1,614 7,554 8,732 8,513	234 1,020 1,004 624	1,703 1,077 9,714 5,909	185 189 956 324
Place of accident	[
Home (inside) Home (outside)	9,056 9,407 5,835 7,347 6,623 2,690	1,091 869 778 824 340 *	3,274 5,458 3,005 6,545 4,485 1,628	520 484 482 725 243 146	5,782 3,949 2,830 802 2,138 1,062	571 385 296 * *
Age		Pe	rcent dis	tributi	on	
All ages	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	40.0 39.1 20.9	35.3 43.5 21.2	42.4 40.4 17.2	36.3 42.7 21.0	36.5 37.2 26.3	33.5 44.9 21.6
Class of accident						
Moving motor vehicle While at work Home Other	7.5 19.6 41.8 32.7	9.6 27.6 44.7 21.6	6.2 29.2 33.8 32.9	8.4 36.8 36.2 22.5	9.3 5.9 53.3 32.4	11.5 11.7 59.3 20.1
Place of accident						
Home (inside) Home (outside) Street and highway Farm and industrial place	20.5 21.3 13.2 16.7 15.0 6.1	24.9 19.8 17.7 18.8 7.8 *	12.7 21.1 11.6 25.3 17.3 6.3	18.8 17.5 17.4 26.2 8.8 5.3	31.7 21.7 15.5 4.4 11.7 5.8	35.4 23.9 18.4 * *

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

NOTE: Excluded from these statistics are all conditions involving neither restricted activity nor medical attention. The sum of data for the four classes of accidents may be greater than the total because the classes are not mutually exclusive. Table 10. Average annual number of persons with short-stay hospital days, by color, sex, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

	White Nonwhite					
Age		Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female
A11 ages	Ave	rage num	ber of p	ersons i	n thousan	uds
	17,225	6,729	10,496	1,891	649	1,242
Under 6 years	1,510	847	663	247	133	115
6-16 years	1,761	959	802	176	85	91
17-44	7,698	1,993	5,705	1,034	224	810
45-64 years	3,978	1,891	2,087	315	147	169
65 years and over	2,278	1,038	1,239	118	61	57

Table 11. Average annual number and percent distribution of persons with short-stay hospital episodes, by number of episodes according to color and sex: United States, July 1965-June 1967

	A11	Number of episodes			
Color and sex	persons	None	1	2+	
White	Number	of persons	in thou	sands	
Both sexes	168,592	151,330	14,762	2,499	
MaleFemale	81,635 86,956	74,894 76,437	5,706 9,056	1,036 1,463	
Nonwhite Both sexes	22,946	21,051	1,648	247	
MaleFemale	10,930 12,015	10,280 10,771	565 1,083	85 161	
White	Pe	rcent dist	ribution	ı	
Both sexes	100.0	89.8	8.8	1.5	
Male Female	100.0 100.0	91.7 87.9	7.0 10.4	1.3 1.7	
Nonwhite	}				
Both sexes	100.0	91.7	7.2	1.1	
MaleFemale	100.0 100,0	94.1 89.6	5.2 9.0	0.8	

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II] Table 12. Average annual number of persons with one or more short-stay hospital episodes, by number of hospital days, color, sex, and age: United States, July 1965-June 1967

Color, sex, and age		Number	pital	
		1-7	8-14	15 or more
WHITE	Averag	o number	of pers	000
Both sexes	Averag	in thous	ands	0113
All ages	17,225	11,285	3,261	2,680
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	3,271 7,698 6,256	2,638 5,862 2,785	375 1,158 1,728	258 678 1,743
Male				
A11 ages	6,729	4,088	1,303	1,339
Under 17 years- 17-44 years- 45 years and over-	1,806 1,993 2,930	1,451 1,343 1,294	213 346 743	142 305 893
Female				
All ages	10,496	7,197	1,959	1,340
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over	1,465 5,705 3,326	1,187 4,519 1,491	162 812 985	116 374 851
NONWHITE				
Both sexes				
All ages	1,891	1,194	345	352
Under 17 years	423 1,034 434	278 749 167	64 160 121	80 126 146
Male				
All ages	649	325	144	180
Under 17 years 17-44 years 45 years and over-	218 224 208	140 115 70	34 53 57	43 56 146
Female				,
A11 ages	1,242	869	201	172
Under 17 years 17-44 years and over	205 810 226	138 634 97	30 107 64	37 69 65

[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian, noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in appendix II]

APPENDIX I TECHNICAL NOTES ON METHODS

Background of This Report

This report is one of a series of statistical reports prepared by the National Health Survey. It is based on information collected in a continuing nationwide sample of households in the Health Interview Survey, a major part of the program.

The Health Interview Survey utilizes a questionnaire which obtains, in addition to personal and demographic characteristics, information on illnesses, injuries, chronic conditions and impairments, and other health topics. As data relating to each of these various broad topics are tabulated and analyzed, separate reports are issued which cover one or more of the specific topics. The present report is based, for the most part, on the consolidated sample for 104 weeks of interviewing ending June 1967.

The population covered by the sample for the Health Interview Survey is the civilian, noninstitutional population of the United States living at the time of the interview. The sample does not include members of the Armed Forces, U.S. nationals living in foreign countries, or crews of vessels. It should also be noted that the estimates shown do not represent a complete inventory of injuries for the specified calendar period since no adjustment has been made for persons who incurred injuries during the 2-week-recall period but who died prior to the interview.

Statistical Design of the Health Interview Survey

General plan.—The sampling plan of the survey follows a multistage probability design which permits a continuous sampling of the civilian population of the United States. The first stage of this design consists of drawing a sample of 357 from about 1,900 geographically defined primary sampling units (PSU's) into which the United States has been divided. A PSU is a county, a group of contiguous counties, or a standard metropolitan statistical area.

With no loss in general understanding, the remaining stages can be combined and treated in this discussion as an ultimate stage. Within PSU's, then, ultimate stage units called segments are defined in such a manner that each segment contains an expected nine households. A segment consists of a cluster of neighboring households or addresses. Two general types of segments are used: (1) area segments which are defined geographically, and (2) B segments which are defined from a list of addresses from the Decennial Census and the Survey of Construction. Each week a random sample of about 90 segments is drawn. In the approximately 800 households in these segments, household members are interviewed concerning factors related to health.

Since the household members interviewed each week are a representative sample of the population, samples for successive weeks can be combined into larger samples. Thus the design permits both continuous measurement of characteristics of high incidence or prevalence in the population and, through the larger consolidated samples more detailed analysis of less common characteristics and smaller categories. The continuous collection has administrative and operational advantages as well as technical assets since it permits field work to be handled with an experienced, stable staff.

Sample size and geographic detail.—The national sample plan for the 24-month period ending in June 1965 included about 268,000 persons from about 84,000 households in about 9,400 segments.

The overall sample was designed in such a fashion that tabulations can be provided for each of the major geographic regions and for urban and rural sectors of the United States.

Collection of data.— Field operations for the household survey are performed by the U.S. Bureau of the Census under specifications established by the National Center for Health Statistics. In accordance with these specifications the Bureau of the Census selects the sample, conducts the field interviewing as an agent of the Center, and performs a manual editing and coding of the questionnaires. The Health Interview Survey, using Center electronic computers, carries outfurther editing and tabulates the edited data.

Estimating methods.—Each statistic produced by the survey—for example, the number of days of bed disability occurring in a specified period—is the result of two stages of ratio estimation. In the first of these the control factor is the ratio of the 1960 decennial population count to the 1960 estimated population in the National Health Survey's first-stage sample of PSU's. These factors are applied for some 25 color-residence classes.

Later, ratios of sample-produced estimates of the population to official Bureau of the Census figures for current population in about 60 age-sex-color classes are computed and serve as second-stage factors for ratio estimating,

The effect of the ratio-estimating process is to make the sample more closely representative of the population by age, sex, color, and residence, thus reducing sampling variance.

As noted, each week's sample represents the population living during that week and characteristics of this population. Consolidation of samples over a time period, say a calendar quarter, produces estimates of average characteristics of the U.S. population for that calendar quarter. Similarly, population data for a year are averages of the four quarterly figures.

General Qualifications

Nonresponse.—Data were adjusted for nonresponse by a procedure which imputes to persons in a household which was not interviewed the characteristics of persons in households in the same segment which were interviewed. The total noninterview rate was 5 percent: 1 percent was refusal, and the remainder was primarily due to the failure to find any eligible household respondent after repeated trials.

The interview process.— The statistics presented in this report are based on replies secured in interviews of persons in the sampled households. Each person 19 years of age and over available at the time of interview was interviewed individually. Proxy respondents within the household were employed for children and for adults not available at the time of the interview, provided the respondent was closely related to the person about whom information was being obtained.

There are limitations to the accuracy of diagnostic and other information collected in household interviews. For diagnostic information, the household respondent can, at best, pass on to the interviewer only the information the physician has given to the family. For conditions not medically attended, diagnostic information is often no more than a description of symptoms. However, other facts, such as the number of disability days caused by the condition, can be obtained more accurately from household members than from any other source, since only the persons concerned are in a position to report this information.

Rounding of numbers.—The original tabulations on which the data in this report are based show all estimates to the nearest whole unit. All consolidations were made from the original tabulations using the estimates to the nearest unit. In the final published tables the figures are rounded to the nearest thousand, although these are not necessarily accurate to that detail. Devised statistics, such as rates and percent distributions, are computed after the estimates on which these are based have been rounded to the nearest thousand.

Population figures .- Some of the published tables include population figures for specified categories. Except for certain overall totals by age and sex, which are adjusted to independent estimates, these figures are based on the sample of households in the National Health Survey. These are given primarily to provide denominators for rate computation and for this purpose are more appropriate for use with the accompanying measures of health characteristics than other population data that may be available. In some instances these will permit users to recombine published data into classes more suitable to their specific needs. With the exception of the overall totals by age and sex mentioned above, the population figures differ from corresponding figures (which are derived from different sources) published in reports of the Bureau of the Census. For population data for general use, see the official estimates presented in Bureau of the Census reports in the P-20, P-25, and P-60 series.

Reliability of Estimates

Since the estimates are based on a sample, they will differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same schedules and instructions and interviewing personnel and procedures. As in any survey, the results are also subject to measurement error.

The standard error is primarily a measure of sampling variability, that is, the variations that might occur by chance because only a sample of the population is surveyed. As calculated for this report, the standard error also reflects part of the variation which arises in the measurement process. It does not include estimates of any biases which might lie in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate from the sample would differ from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard error and about 99 out of 100 that it would be less than 2½ times as large.

The relative standard error of an estimate is obtained by dividing the standard error of the estimate by the estimate itself and is expressed as a percentage of the estimate. Included in this appendix are charts from which the relative standard errors can be determined for estimates shown in this report. In order to derive relative errors which would be applicable to a wide variety of health statistics and which could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. As a result, the charts provide an estimate of the approximate relative standard error rather than the precise error for any specific aggregate or percentage. Three classes of statistics for the health survey are identified for purposes of estimating variances.

Narrow Range. — This class consists of (1) statistics which estimate a population attribute, e.g., the number of persons in a particular income group, and (2) statistics for which the measure for a single individual for the period of reference is usually either 0 or 1, on occasion may take on the value 2, and very rarely is 3.

Medium range.— This class consists of other statistics for which the measure for a single individual for the period of reference will rarely lie outside the range 0 to 5.

Wide range.— This class consists of statistics for which the measure for a single individual for the period of reference frequently will range from 0 to a number in excess of 5, e.g., the number of days of bed disability experienced during the year.

In addition to classifying variables according to whether they are narrow-, medium-, or wide-range, statistics in the survey are further defined as:

- Type A. Statistics on prevalence and incidence data for which the period of reference in the questionnaire is 12 months.
- *Type B.* Incidence-type statistics for which the period of reference in the questionnaire is 2 weeks.
- *Type C.* Statistics for the reference period is 6 months.

Only the charts on sampling error applicable to data contained in this report are presented,

General rules for determining relative sampling errors.-- The "guide" on page 39 together with the following rules, will enable the reader to determine approximate relative standard errors from the charts for estimates presented in this report.

Rule 1. *Estimates of aggregates:* Approximate relative standard errors for estimates of aggregates such as the number of persons with a given characteristic are obtained from appropriate curves on pages 40, 41, and 43. The number of persons in the total U.S. population or in an age-sex class of the total population is adjusted to official Bureau of the Census figures and is not subject to sampling error.

- Rule 2. Estimates of percentages in a percent distribution: Relative standard errors for percentages in a percent distribution of a total are obtained from appropriate curves on pages 42 and 44. For values which do not fall on one of the curves presented in the chart, visual interpolation will provide a satisfactory approximation.
- Rule 3. Estimates of rates where the numerator is a subclass of the denominator: (Not required for statistics presented in this report.)
- Rule 4. Estimates of rates where the numerator is not a subclass of the denominator: This rule applies where a unit of the numerator often occurs more than once for any one unit in the denominator. For example, in the computation of the number of persons injured per 100 persons per year, it is possible that a person in the denominator could have sustained more than one of the injuries included in the numerator. Approximate relative standard errors for rates of this kind may be computed as follows:
 - (a) Where the denominator is the total U.S. population or includes all persons in one or more of the age-sex groups of the total population, the relative error of the rate is equivalent to the relative error of the numerator which can be obtained directly from the appropriate chart.
 - (b) In other cases, obtain the relative standard error of the numerator and of the denominator from the appropriate curve. Square each of these relative errors, add the resulting values, and extract the square root of the sum. This procedure will result in an upper bound and often will overstate the error.

Guide to Use of Relative Standard Error Charts

The code shown below identifies the appropriate curve to be used in estimating the relative standard error of the statistic described. The four components of each code describe the statistic as follows: (A) =

x

aggregate, P = percentage; (2) the number of calendar quarters of data collection; (3) the type of the statistic; and (4) the range of the statistic as described in *Vital* and *Health Statistics*, Series 10, No. 25.

		Use:	
Statistic	Rule	Code on	page
Persons: Persons in the U.S. population, or total number in any age-sex category thereof	Not subj	ect to sampling error	
Persons in any other population group: Based on 2 years of data collection	1	A8AN	40
Based on 1 year of data collection	1	A4AN	41
Percent distribution by a population characteristic-	2	P8AN-M	42
Disability days: Number of disability days per year	1	A8BW	40
Number of disability days per person per year	4(b)	{Numer.: A8BW Denom.: A8AN	40 40
Persons injured: Number of persons injured per year	1	A8BN	40
Number of persons injured per 100 persons per year	4(b)	Numer.: A8BN Denon.: A8AN	40 40
Physician visits: Number of visits per person per year	4(b)	{Numer.: A4BM {Denom.: A4AN	41 41
Percent distribution by place of visit	2	P4BN-M	44

39

.





Size of estimate (in thousands)

Example of use of chart: An aggregate of 5,000,000 (on scale at bottom of chart) for a Narrow range type A statistic (code: A8AN) has a relative standard error of 1.9 percent, read from scale at left side of chart, or a standard error of 95,000 (1.9 percent of 5,000,000). For a Wide range type B statistic (code: A8BW), an aggregate of 10,000,000 has a relative error of 9.3 percent or a standard error of 930,000 (9.3 percent of 10,000,000).



Relative standard errors for aggregates based on four quarters of data collection for data of all types and ranges

Size of estimate (in thousands)

Example of use of chart: An aggregate of 2,000,000 (on scale at bottom of chart) for a Narrow range Type A statistic (code: A4AN) has a relative standard error of 3.6 percent, (read from scale at left side of chart), or a standard error of 72,000 (3.6 percent of 2,000,000). For a Wide range Type B statistic (code: A4BW), an aggregate of 6,000,000 has a relative error of 16.0 percent or a standard error of 960,000 (16 percent of 6,000,000).



Relative standard errors for percentages based on eight quarters of data collection for type A data, Narrow and Medium range

(Base of percentage shown on curves in millions)

Estimated percentage

Example of use of chart: An estimate of 20 percent (on scale at bottom of chart) based on an estimate of 10,000,000 has a relative standard error of 2.8 percent (read from the scale at the left side of the chart), the point at which the curve for a base of 10,000,000 intersects the vertical line for 20 percent. The standard error in percentage points is equal to 20 percent X 2.8 percent or 0.56 percentage points.



Example of use of chart: An aggregate of 1,000,000 (on scale at bottom of chart) for a Narrow range type C statistic (code: A8CN) has a relative standard error of 5.6 percent, read from scale at left side of chart, or a standard error of 56,000 (5.6 percent of 1,000,000).



(Base of percentage shown on curves in millions)



Estimated percentage

Example of use of chart: An estimate of 20 percent (on scale at bottom of chart) based on an estimate of 10,000,000 has a relative standard error of 17.0 percent (read from scale at the left side of the chart), the point at which the curve for a base of 10,000,000 intersects the vertical line for 20 percent. The standard error in percentage points is equal to 20 percent X 17.0 percent or 3.4 percentage points.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CERTAIN TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

Terms Relating to Chronic Conditions

Condition.—A morbidity condition, or simply a condition, is any entry on the questionnaire which describes a departure from a state of physical or mental well-being. It results from a positive response to one of a series of "illness-recall" questions. In the coding and tabulating process, conditions are selected or classified according to a number of different criteria, such as, whether they were medically attended; whether they resulted in disability; whether they were acute or chronic; or according to the type of disease, injury, impairment, or symptom reported. For the purposes of each published report or set of tables, only those conditions recorded on the questionnaire which satisfy certain stated criteria are included.

Conditions, except impairments, are coded by type according to the International Classification of Diseases with certain modifications adopted to make the code more suitable for a household-interview-type survey.

Selected conditions	ICD code number				
Arthritis and rheumatism	720-727				
Hypertension without heart involvement	444-447				
Heart conditions	410-443, 782.1, 782.2 782.4				
Peptic ulcer	540-542				
Diseases of the thyroid gland	250-254				
Hernia	560,561				
Diabetes	260				
Malignant neoplasms	140-205				
Diseases of the gallbladder	584-586				
Vascular lesions of the central nervous system	330-334				

Chronic condition.—A condition is considered to be chronic if (1) it is described by the respondent in terms of one of the chronic diseases on the "Check List of Chronic Conditions" or in terms of one of the types of impairments on the "Check List of Impairments" or (2) the condition is described by the respondent as having been first noticed more than 3 months before the week of the interview.

Impairments.— Impairments are chronic or permanent defects, resulting from disease, injury, or congenital malformation. They represent decrease or loss of ability to perform various functions, particularly those of the musculoskeletal system and the sense organs. All impairments are classified by means of a special supplementary code for impairments. Hence, code numbers for impairments in the International Classification of Diseases are not used. In the Supplementary Code impairments are grouped according to type of functional impairment and etiology.

Persons with chronic conditions.—The estimated number of persons with chronic conditions is based on the number of persons who at the time of the interview were reported to have one or more chronic conditions.

Prevalence of conditions.—In general, prevalence of conditions is the estimated number of conditions of a specified type existing at a specified time or the average number existing during a specified interval of time. The prevalence of chronic conditions is defined as the number of chronic cases reported to be present or assumed to be present at the time of the interview; those assumed to be present at the time of the interview are cases described by the respondent in terms of one of the chronic diseases on the "Check List of Chronic Conditions" and reported to have been present at some time during the 12-month period prior to the interview.

Terms Relating to Disability

Chronic activity limitation. -- Persons with chronic conditions are classified into four categories according to the extent to which their activities are limited at present as a result of these conditions. Since the usual activities of preschool children, school-age children, housewives, and workers and other persons differ, a different set of criteria is used for each group. There is a general similarity between them, however, as will be seen in the descriptions of the four categories below:

1. Persons unable to carry on major activity for their group (major activity refers to ability to work, keep house, or go to school)

Preschool children: inability to take part in ordinary play with other children.

School-age children: inability to go to school.

Housewives: inability to do any housework.

Workers and all other persons: inability to work at a job or business,

- Persons limited in the amount or kind of major activity performed (major activity refers to ability to work, keep house, or go to school)
 - Preschool children: limited in the amount or kind of play with other children, e.g., need special rest periods, cannot play strenuous games, cannot play for long periods at a time.
 - School-age children: limited to certain types of schools or in school attendance, e.g., need special schools or special teaching, cannot go to school full time or for long periods at a time.
 - Housewives: limited in amount or kind of housework, i.e., cannot lift children, wash or iron, or do housework for long periods at a time.

Workers and all other persons: limited in amount or kind of work, e.g., need special working aids or special rest periods at work, cannot work full time or for long periods at a time, cannot do strenuous work. Persons not limited in major activity but otherwise limited (major activity refers to ability to work, keep house, or go to school)

Preschool children: not classified in this category.

School-age children:	not limited in going to school but limited in par- ticipation in athletics or other extracurricular ac- tivities.
Housewives:	not limited in housework but limited in other ac- tivities such as church, clubs, hobbies, civic proj- ects, or shopping.
Workers and all other persons:	not limited in regular work activities but limited in other activities such as church, clubs, hobbies, civic projects, sports, or games.

4. Persons not limited in activies Includes persons with chronic conditions whose activities are not limited in any of the ways described above.

Disability.—Disability is the general term used to describe any temporary or long-term reduction of a person's activity as a result of an acute or chronic condition.

Disability days are classified according to whether they are days of restricted activity, bed-days, or workloss days. All days of bed disability are, by definition, days of restricted activity. The converse form of this statement is, of course, not true. Days lost from work are also days of restricted activity for the working populations. Hence, restricted activity is the most inclusive term used in describing disability days.

Condition-days of restricted activity, bed disability, etc.— Condition-days of restricted activity, bed disability, and so forth are days of the various forms of disability associated with any one condition. Since any particular day of disability may be associated with more than one condition, the sum of days for conditions may add to more than the total number of person-days.

Restricted-activity day.—A day of restricted activity is one on which a person substantially reduces the amount of activity normal for that day because of a specific illness or injury. The type of reduction varies with the age and occupation of the individual as well as with the day of the week or season of the year. Restricted activity covers the range from substantial reduction to complete inactivity for the entire day.

Bed-disability day.—A day of bed disability is one on which a person stays in bed for all or most of the day because of a specific illness or injury. All or most of the day is defined as more than half of the daylight hours. All hospital days for inpatients are considered to be days of bed disability even if the patient was not actually in bed at the hospital.

Work-loss day.—A day lost from work is a normal working day on which a person did not work at his job or business because of a specific illness or injury. If the person's regular work day is less than a whole day and the entire work day was lost, it would be counted as a whole work day lost. The number of days lost from work is determined only for persons 17 years of age or over who reported that at any time during the 2-week period covered by the interview they either worked at or had a job or business. (See "Currently employed persons.")

Person-days of restricted activity, bed disability, etc.—Person-days of restricted activity, bed disability, and so forth are days of the various forms of disability experienced by any one person. The sum of days for all persons in a group represents an unduplicated count of all days of disability for the group.

Terms Relating to Persons Injured

٦

)

Injury condition. —An injury condition, or simply an injury, is a condition of the type that is classified to the nature of injury code numbers (N800-N999) in the International Classification of Diseases. In addition to fractures, lacerations, contusions, burns, and so forth, which are commonly thought of as injuries, this group of codes includes: effects of exposure, such as sunburn; adverse reactions to immunizations and other medical procedures; and poisonings. Unless otherwise specified, the term injury is used to cover all of these.

Since a person may sustain more than one injury in a single accident, e.g., a broken leg and laceration of the scalp, the number of injury conditions may exceed the number of persons injured.

Statistics of acute injury conditions include only injuries which involved at least 1 full day of restricted activity or medical attendance.

Person injured. —A person injured is one who has sustained an injury in an accident, or in some type of nonaccidental violence. (See definition of "Injury condition," above.) Each time a person is injured he is included in the statistics as a separate "person injured"; hence, one person may be included more than once.

The statistics of persons injured include only persons sustaining injuries which involved at least 1 full day of restricted activity or medical attendance. The number of persons injured is not equivalent to the number of "accidents" for several reasons: (1) the term "accident," as commonly used, may not involve injury at all; (2) more than one injured person may be involved in a single accident so that the number of accidents resulting in injury would be less than the number of persons injured in accidents; and (3) the term "accident" ordinarily implies an accidental origin, whereas "persons injured," as used in the National Health Survey, includes persons whose injury resulted from certain nonaccidental violence.

The number of persons injured in a specified time interval is always equal to or less than the incidence of injury conditions, since one person may incur more than one injury in a single accident.

Class of accident. — This is a broad classification of the types of events which resulted in persons being injured. Most of these events are accidents in the usual sense of the word; but some are other kinds of mishap, such as overexposure to the sun or adverse reactions to medical procedures, and other are nonaccidental violence, such as attempted suicide. The classes of accidents are (1) motor vehicle accidents, moving and nonmoving, (2) accidents occurring while at work, (3) home accidents, and (4) other accidents. These categories are not mutually exclusive. For example, a person may be injured in a motor vehicle accident which occurred while he was at work. In this report, accidents which could be assigned to more than one class have been so classified. Therefore, the summation of events by class of accident will exceed the total number of persons injured.

Motor vehicle accident.— The class of accident is "motor vehicle" if a motor vehicle was involved in any way. Thus, it is not restricted to moving motor vehicles or to persons riding in motor vehicles. A motor vehicle is any mechanically or electrically powered device, not operated on rails, upon which or by which any person or property may be transported or drawn upon a land highway. Any object, such as a trailer, coaster, sled, or wagon, being towed by a motor vehicle is considered a part of the motor vehicle. Devices used solely for moving persons or materials within the confines of a building and its premises are not counted as motor vehicles.

Moving motor vehicle. — The accident is classified as "moving motor vehicle" if at least one of the motor vehicles involved in the accident was moving at the time of the accident. The vehicle was moving if the wheels were in motion at the time of the accident.

Nonmoving motor vehicle.— The accident is classified as "nonmoving motor vehicle" if the motor vehicle was not moving at the time of the accident.

Accident while at work.— The class of accident is "while at work" if the injured person was 17 years of age or over and was at work at a job or a business at the time the accident happened. Home accident. — The class of accident is "home" if the injury occurred either inside the house or outside the house. "Outside the house" refers to the yard, buildings, and sidewalks on the property. "Home" includes not only the person's own home but also any other home in which the injury might have occurred.

Other.—The class of accident is "other" if the occurrence of injury cannot be classified in one or more of the first three class-of-accident categories. This category therefore includes persons injured in public places (e.g., tripping and falling in a store or on a public sidewalk), and also nonaccidental injuries such as homicidal and suicidal attempts. The survey does not cover the military population, but current disability of various types resulting from prior injury occurring while the person was in the Armed Forces is covered and is included in this class. The class also includes mishaps for which the class of accident could not be ascertained.

Terms Relating to Place of Accident

Place of accident.—Persons injured are classified according to the type of place where the injury occurred. The places of accidents are: (1) home, (2) street or highway, (3) farm, (4) industrial place, (5) school, (6) place of recreation, and (7) other.

Home.—The place of accident is considered as "home" if the injury occurred either inside or outside the home but within the property boundaries of the home. "Home" includes not only the person's own home but also any other home (vacant or occupied) in which he may have been when he was injured. "Home" includes any structure that has the primary function of a dwelling unit and includes the structure and premises of such places as apartment houses and house trailers.

Inside the house.—"Inside the house" includes any room, attic, cellar, porch, or steps leading to an entrance of the house. However, inside the garage is not considered as inside the house.

Outside the house.— "Outside the house" includes the yard, driveway, garage, patio, gardens, or walks. On a farm, only the premises adjacent to the house are considered as part of the home. Injuries due to accidents occurring on cultivated land, in barns, or other similar farm buildings would not be considered home injuries.

Street or highway.—"Street or highway" means the entire area between property lines of which any part is open for the use of the public as a matter of right or custom. It includes the roadway, shoulder, curb, or public sidewalk; excluded are private driveways, lanes, or sidewalks.

Farm.—"Farm" as a place of accident refers to accidents occurring in farm buildings or on cultivated land, but does not include accidents occurring in the farm home or premises. A ranch is considered a farm.

Industrial place.—"Industrial place" is the term applied to accidents occurring in an industrial place or premises. Included are such places as factories, railway yards, warehouses, workshops, logging camps, shipping piers, oil fields, shipyards, sand and gravel pits, canneries, and auto repair garages. Construction projects, such as houses, buildings, bridges, and new roads, are included in this category. Buildings undergoing remodeling, with the exception of private homes, arc classified as industrial places or premises.

School.—"School" as a place of accident includes all accidents occurring in school buildings or on the premises. This classification includes elementary schools, high schools, colleges, and trade and business schools.

Place of recreation.—"Place of recreation" is used to describe accidents occurring in places organized for sports and recreation other than recreational areas located at a place already defined as "home," "industrial place," or "school." Bowling alley, amusement park, football stadium, and dance hall are examples of "place of recreation." In "place of accident" classification of injuries, the place is significant rather than the activity in which the person was engaged at the time of accident. Hence, an injury sustained by a person at a dance hall while he was at work is classified as a "place of recreation" injury. Likewise, an injury occurring while a person was engaged in a sport in an industrial place is classified as an "industrial place" injury.

Other.—Accidents which cannot be classified in any of the above groups or for which the place is unknown are classified as "other." Included in the classification are such places as restaurants, churches, business and professional offices, and open or wooded country.

Terms Relating to Hospitalization

Hospital episode.—A hospital episode is any continuous period of stay of one or more nights in a hospital as an inpatient, except the period of stay of a well, newborn infant. A hospital episode is recorded for a family member whenever any part of his hospital stay is included in the 12-month period prior to the interview week.

Hospital.—For this survey a hospital is defined as any institution meeting one of the following criteria: (1) named in the listing of hospitals in the current Guide Issues of Hospitals, the Journal of the American Hospital Association; (2) named in the listing of hospitals in the Directories of the American Osteopathic Hospital Association; or (3) named in the annual inventory of hospitals and related facilities submitted by the States to the Division of Hospital and Medical Facilities of the U.S. Public Health Service in conjunction with the Hill-Burton program, Short-stay hospital. —A short-stay hospital is one for which the type of service is general; maternity; eye, ear, nose, and throat; children's; osteopathic hospital; or hospital department of institution.

Hospital day.—A hospital day is a day on which a person is confined to a hospital. The day is counted as a hospital day only if the patient stays overnight. Thus, a patient who enters the hospital on Monday afternoon and leaves Wednesday noon is considered to have had 2 hospital days.

Hospital days during the year.—The number of hospital days during the year is the total number for all hospital episodes in the 12-month period prior to the interview week. For the purposes of this estimate, episodes overlapping the beginning or end of the 12month period are subdivided so that only those days falling within the period are included.

Terms Relating to Physician Visits

Physician visit.— A physician visit is defined as consultation with a physician, in person or by telephone, for examination, diagnosis, treatment, or advice. The visit is considered to be a physician visit if the service is provided directly by the physician or by a nurse or other person acting under a physician's supervision. For the purpose of this definition "physician" includes doctors of medicine and osteopathic physicians. The term "doctor" is used in the interview, rather than "physician," because of the need to keep to popular usage. However, the concept toward which all instructions are directed is that which is described here.

Physician visits for services provided on a mass basis are not included in the tabulations. A service received on a mass basis is defined as any service involving only a single test (e.g., test for diabetes) or a single procedure (e.g., smallpox vaccination) when this single service was administered identically to all persons who were at the place for this purpose. Hence, persons passing through a tuberculosis chest X-ray trailer, by this definition, are not included as physician visits. However, a special chest X-ray given in a physician's office or an outpatient clinic is considered to be a physician visit. Furthermore, regardless of the number of doctors seen at the clinic it is considered as only one visit.

Physician visits to hospital inpatients are not included.

If a physician is called to the house to see more than one person, the call is considered to be a separate physician visit for each person about whom the physician was consulted.

A physician visit is associated with the person about whom the advice was sought, even if that person did not actually see or consult the physician. For example, if a mother consults a physician about one of her children, the physician visit is ascribed to the child.

Place of visit.— The place of visit is a classification of the types of places at which a physician visit took place. The definitions of the various categories are as follows:

- 1. *Home* is defined as any place in which the person was staying at the time of the physician's visit. It may be his own home, the home of a friend, a hotel, or any other place the person may be staying (except as an overnight patient in a hospital).
- 2. Office is defined as the office of a physician in private practice only. This may be an office in the physician's home, an individual office in an office building, or a suite of offices occupied by several physicians. For purposes of this survey, physicians connected with prepayment group practice plans are considered to be in private practice.
- 3. *Hospital clinic* is defined as an outpatient clinic or emergency room in any hospital.
- 4. Company or industry health unit refers to treatment received from a physician or under a physician's supervision at a place of business (e.g., factory, store, office building). This includes emergency or first-aid rooms located in such places if treatment was received there from a physician or trained nurse.
- 5. *Telephone contact* refers to advice given in a telephone call directly by the physician or transmitted through the nurse. (Calls for appointments are excluded.)
- 6. Other refers to advice or treatment received from a physician or under a physician's general supervision at a school, at an insurance office, at a health department clinic, or any other place at which a physician consultation might take place.

Location of Residence Terms

Residence.—The place of residence of a member of the civilian, noninstitutional population is classified as inside a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA) or outside an SMSA, according to farm or nonfarm residence.

Standard metropolitan statistical areas.—The definitions and titles of SMSA's are established by the U.S. Bureau of the Budget with the advice of the Federal Committee on Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas. There were 212 SMSA's, as defined for the 1960 decennial census, for which data may be provided for places of residence in the Health Interview Survey.

The definition of an individual SMSA involves two considerations: first, a city or cities of specified population which constitute the central city and identify the county in which it is located as the central county; second, economic and social relationships with contiguous counties (except in New England) which are metropolitan in character, so that the periphery of the specific metropolitan area may be determined. SMSA's are not limited by State boundaries.

Farm and nonfarm residence.—The population residing outside SMSA's is subdivided into the farm population, which comprises all non-SMSA residents living on farms, and the nonfarm population, which comprises the remaining non-SMSA population. The farm population includes persons living on places of 10 acres or more from which sales on farm products amounted to \$50 or more during the previous 12 months or on places of less than 10 acres from which sales of farm products amounted to \$250 or more during the preceding 12 months. Other persons living in non-SMSA territory were classified as nonfarm if their household paid rent for the house but their rent did not include any land used for farming.

Sales of farm products refer to the gross receipts from the sale of field crops, vegetables, fruits, nuts, livestock and livestock products (milk, wool, etc.), poultry and poultry products, and nursery and forest products produced on the place and sold at any time during the preceding 12 months.

Region.—For the purpose of classifying the population by geographic area, the States are grouped into four regions. These regions, which correspond to those used by the Bureau of the Census, are as follows.

Region	States Included
Northeast	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island,
	Connecticut, New York,
North Central	Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa,
	Missouri, North Dakota,
	South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas
South	Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West
	Virginia, North Carolina, South
	Carolina, Georgia, Florida,
	Kentucky, Texas, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas,
	Louisiana, Oklahoma

West	Montana, Idaho, Wyoming,
	Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona,
	Utah, Nevada, Washington, Alaska,
	Oregon, California, Hawaii

Demographic, Social, and Economic Terms

Age. — The age recorded for each person is the age at last birthday. Age is recorded in single years and grouped in a variety of distributions depending upon the purpose of the table.

Color.—Color is recorded as "white," or "nonwhite." "Nonwhite" includes Negro, American Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and so forth. Mexican persons are included with "white" unless definitely known to be Indian or of another nonwhite race.

Family income.—Each member of a family is classified according to the total income of the family of which he is a member. Within the household all persons related to each other by blood, marriage, or adoption constitute a family. Unrelated individuals are classified according to their own income.

The income recorded is the total of all income received by members of the family in the 12-month period prior to the week of interview. Income from all sources is included, e.g., wages, salaries, rents from property, pensions, help from relatives, and so forth.

Education of individual.— Each person aged 17 or older is classified by education in terms of the highest grade of school completed. Only grades completed in regular schools, where persons are given a formal education, are included. A "regular" school is one which advances a person toward an elementary or high school diploma, or a college, university, or professional degree. Thus, education in vocational, trade, or business schools outside the regular school system is not counted in determining the highest grade of school completed.

Education of head of family.— Each member of a family is classified according to the education of the head of the family of which he is a member. Within the household all persons related to each other by blood, marriage, or adoption constitute a family. Unrelated individuals are classified according to their own education.

Occupation.—A person's occupation may be defined as his principal job or business. For the purposes of this survey, the principal job or business is defined in one of the following ways. If the person worked during the 2-week-reference period of the interview, or had a job or business, the question concerning his occupation (or what kind of work he was doing), applies to his job during that period. If the respondent held more than one job, the question is directed to the one at which he spent the most time. For an unemployed person, this question refers to the last full-time civilian job he had. A person who has a job to which he has not yet reported. and has never had a previous job or business, is classified as a "new worker,"

The occupational classes presented in this report are listed below with the Census Code (Classified Index of Occupations and Industries).

Occupational Category	Census Code
Frofessional, technical, and kindred workers	000-195
Managors, officials, and proprietors, except farm	250-285, R
Clerical, sales, and kindred workers	301-360, 380-395, S, Y, Z
Farmors and farm managers	222, N
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	401-545, Q
Operatives and kindred workers	601-721, T, W
Frivate household workers	801-803, P
Service workers	810-890
1 aborers, except mine	901, 905, 960-973, U, V, X

Currently employed includes persons 17 years of age or over who reported that at any time during the 2-week period covered by the interview they either worked at or had a job or business. Current employment includes paid work as an employee of someone else, self-employment in business, farming, or professional practice, and unpaid work in a family business or farm. Persons who were temporarily absent from their job or business because of a temporary illness, vacation, strike, or bad weather are considered as currently employed if

3

-000----

they expected to work as soon as the particular event causing their absence no longer existed.

Free-lance workers are considered as having a job if they had a definite arrangement with one or more employees to work for pay according to a weekly or monthly schedule, either full time or part time. Excluded from the currently employed population are such persons who have no definite employment schedule but who work only when their services are needed.

Also excluded from the currently employed population are (1) persons who were not working, even though having a job or business, but were on layoff or looking for work, (2) persons receiving revenue from an enterprise in whose operation they did not participate, (3) persons doing housework or charity work for which they received no pay, and (4) seasonal workers during the unemployment season.

The number of currently employed persons estimated by the National Health Survey (NHS) will differ from the estimates prepared by the Current Population Survey (CPS), Bureau of the Census, for several reasons. In addition to sampling variability they include three primary conceptual differences, namely: (1) NHS estimates are for persons 17 years of age or over; CPS estimates are for persons 14 years of age or over. (2) NHS uses a 2-week-reference period while CPS uses a 1-week-reference period. (3) NHS is a continuing survey with separate samples taken weekly, while CPS is a monthly sample taken for the survey week which includes the 12th of the month.

APPENDIX III. QUESTIONNAIRE

F

Ļ

The items below show the exact content and wording of the basic questionnaire used in the nationwide household survey of the U.S. National Health Survey. The actual questionnaire is designed for a household as a unit and includes additional spaces for reports on more than one person, condition, accident, or hospitalization. Such spaces are omitted in this illustration.

	NOTICE	All info	rmation whi	ch would pe	ermit iden ihe survey	tification o	f the indi	vidual wil	i beheld released	in strict confidenc	e, will be us	sed only	by persons eng	iged		
	Form NHS-	HIS_1 (I	=Y67)		U.S.	DEPARTA	AENT OF	COMMI	RCE-BU	REAU OF THE CENSU	15		1.		. <u> </u>	1
	Budget Bur	9-30- eau No.	68-R1600	ACI	ING AS	COLLECT	NG AG	ENT FOR	THE U.S	. PUBLIC HEALTH	H SERVICE					
ł	Za. STREE	T ADDRI	-31-68 SS House N	o., Street, A	pt. No. of	U.S. 1 other ident.	FOR	REA	2b, MAII	ING ADDRESS 1/1	different from	20	Same as 2a	<u></u>	Hot	<u> %\$</u>
							SEGNE ENTER Sheet	ENTS, R:	City		St	ote	- 	Zip Co	de	
	City			State	z	ip Code	Line		2c. SPE	CIAL DWELLING P	LACE - Norra	and Sa	mple Number			
	, 		UCN WAS T	US STRUCT			No		Name					Sa	mple No.	1
	3. ∐As	Not	Before 4	1-1-60-Con	inue interi	ien Sinalli Di	VIL1?		4a. SAM	PLE Circle One B	-38 B-39	B-40	B-41 B-42	B-43		4
ł	1. [1	sk em 3	After 4	1-60-Go 10	Q. 10c, as	k if require	i, and end	interview.	4b. PSU							
	10.	a. As	k: ARE TH	ERE ANY O	CCUPIED	OR VACAN	RIFIEW T LIVING		L	Write in and mark						
1	Do Nos		QUAF	TERS BESI	DES YOUR	R OWN IN TH	IIS BUILD	ING?	5a. SEG }	MENT NUMBER Write in and mark						- -
1	ltem 10- Go To	h. ∏.4s		ERE ANY C	CCUPIED	OR VACAN			h SEG			:np				
Í	Item L		QUAR	TERS BES	DES YOUR	R OWN ON T	HIS FLOC)R?	6. SER	IAL NUMBER						-
		c. [] As	k: IS THER	Yes-Fill Ta	IER BUILD	LI NO NING ON TH	IS PROPE	RTY FOR		Write in and mark						
		_	PEOF	LE TO LIV	E IN - EIT	HER OCCU	PIED OR	VACANT?	7. SPE	CIAL DWELLING PI	LACE – Type	and Cod	le Nark type cod			
				Yes-Fill Ta	ble X	No			l ype			000				
	ltem L		Ruro!-Ask	items 11 an	a 12	All other	(1)— Go	to 13 	8. NON	INTERVIEW REASO	N	Type	Ref NG	TA TA	OTH	-
		n - Ask	12a 🗍	Rent - Au	k 19h	Rent F	ren — Ask	12a	'	f "other" is marked	4-	· ype /	00	0 	0 	
ł	12a. DOES	THIS PL/	CE HAVE 1	O OR MORE	ACRES?		I 🗌 Yes	- Ask 12c		space.	13C	Type I	3 _0 0	0 O	0 94	0
	b. DOES	THE PLA	CE YOU RE	NT HAVE I	OR MORI	E ACRES?	N⊡ No•	Ask 12d	ļ			Type (Dem Mis	E	Atter 55 4-1-00 C	хн.
	LIV	ESTOCK.	AND OTHE	R FARM PR	ODUCTS	FROM	/ 🗋 Yes	(2) (4)	9. TYP	E OF LIVING QUAR	TERS		How	ung Unit	Ciher Una	-
	d. DURIN	S PLACE G THE P	AMOUNT 1 Ast 12 Mon'	U \$50 DR M Ths did sa	LES OF CI	ROPS,	/ 🗆 Yes	: (3)		ark one circle				0	0	
	LIV THI	ESTOCK, S PLACE	AND OTHE	R FARM PR 0 \$250 or I	ODUCTS F Nore?	ROM	№	(5)	IZe. LAN	D USAGE ark code from Item L	. or 12e or 12	d	1.3	·		
	13. HOW MA COU	NY ROOI	AS ARE IN T NTCHEN BU	HIS 70. T NOT THE	NIT)? BATHRO	ом. ^{П. г.}	te in and n	nark		Total Rooms						+
;	14. HOW MA 1/ *N	NY BEDI one" desc	ROOMS ARE ribe in footn	IN THIS	- (UMT)?	Wri	te in and n	nark	,	No. of Bedrooms]			_	-
2 2	15. WHAT I	S THE TE	LEPHONE	UMBER HE	RE?	R ri:	te in and n	nark					Yes O	Na O	е» Ф	-
, ,	IG. INTERV	IEWER C	HECK ITEM:	Check que Is a llome	stions 22a Care Supp	-22d & 23c c lement requ	in pages 4 ired?	& 5.		Yes-Fill Home Can No - Leave Thank	re Supplement 1 ou Letter an	ıd depart				
) 2	17. RECOR	DOFCA	LLS AT HOU	<u>Sehold</u>				1	18. NUME	ITENS 18-23 A	<i>RE TO BE F</i> HOUSFHOLD	ILLED A Mark fro	FTER THE INTL	RVIE		-
	TIME CF		te				·	L	19. DAT	E OF COMPLETION	1	ilem Ii	Jan O Ap	· 0 Jul.	/ 0 Cer	0
•	CALL	Tir	ne						-	nter from item 17		Month	n FebONa MarOJu	0 Au	j⊖ Nov t⊖ Per	0
Ī	LENGTH O)F N Minut	es]			Day				
	200.NAME	OF OBSE	RVER If	20b marked	"Yes"	لـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		<u> </u>	20b. WAS	THIS INTERVIEW O	BSERVED?	<u> </u>	Yes	No		-
	210. INTER	VIEWER	NAME Frit	e-in					216. INT	RVIEWER NUMBER	?					-
	FOOTNOT	EŠ							22. IDE	TIFICATION CODE	NO.				<u></u>	-
									23. REG	IONAL OFFICE NU	MBER			:		4
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WASHIN	IGTON I	 JSE			\dashv
									Boo	k Number See item	1					1
									Tot	al Number of Condi	itions this H	.н.	· · · ·	••••••	·····	-1
									Tot	al Number of Hospi	talizations t	his H.H	043 043		an d a ta a t	
ų.									Tot	al Number of Docto	x Visits this	. н.н.	0 1 2 3		:	1
									Tot	al Number of Perso	ins this H.H.		6 1 C 2 1 C			
Male as									Toto t	al Persons Requiri his Household	ng Home Ca	e	1.3	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		
												•	<u> </u>			
													1 3	0	1	1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	NI		<u>11</u>			Te			02		
1a. WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD?	r irst	Nome		л			1 - 11	st Nan	ne	02		
C. I HAVE LISTED read names. IS THERE ANYONE FILSE STAYING HERE NOW? /			-									
d. HAVE I MISSED ANYONE WHO <u>USUALLY</u> LIVES HERE BUT IS NOW AWAY FROM HOME? Apply household	Last	Name					Los	st Nam	e			
e. DO ANY OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD HAVE A HOME ANYWHERE ELSE?	Relat	ionship	>			Age	Rel	lations	hip			Age
f. ARE ANY OF THE PERSONS IN THIS HOUSEHOLD ON Yes No FULL - TIME ACTIVE DUTY IN THE ARMED FORCES? If "yes", delete	ŀ	HEAD										4 8 9 1
2. HOW IS RELATED TO (head of household)?									_			
3. PERSON NUMBER First column should have person 01. second column person 02, etc.	Per, No.	÷		2			Per No.		÷			
4a. HOW OLD WAS ON HIS LAST BIRTHDAY Frite in next to "relationship" and mark	Age						Age				• .	
b. SEX Mark without asking unless sex is not abvioux from name		N. (ole)	F	emole O		1		Ma	le)	Femole	
C. RACE Mark without asking	•	 Wi	 -ille D		kegro O	Cther O			 Жы С	 14e)	Negro	0:+
If 17 years old or over, ask:	Nar.	Wid.	Dıv.	Sep.	N.M.	Und, 17	1	Mor.	Wid.	0 5	-p. N.M	Under
5. IS NOW MARRIED, WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, OR NEVER MARRIED?	0	0	•			0			0	<u> </u>		
6. WHAT WAS DOING MOST OF THE PAST 12 MONTHS (for males) WORKING OR DOING SOMETHING ELSE? (for females) KEEPING HOUSE, WORKING OR DOING SOMETHING ELSE?	WK O	кн О	s 	e D	Under 1 O	7 V 0		WK O	кн О	SE O	Under O	17 V
If "SE" marked in Q. G and person is 45 years old or over. usk: 7. IS RETIRED?		Y. (es D		No O	v 0			Ye C	5	No O	۱ د
If related persons 19 years old or over are listed in addition to the resp., say: WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ALL ADULTS WHO ARE AT HOME TAKE PART IN THE INTERVIEW. IS YOUR, ETC., AT HOME NOW? (WOULD YOU PLEASE ASK,		Under M	9 Ai I	 	Nai hon O	• •			Under 19 O	At hom	e Notho	
ETC., TO JOIN US?) THIS SURVEY COVERS ALL KINDS OF ILLNESSES. THESE FIRST QUESTIONS REFER TO LAST WEEK AND THE WEEK BEFORE, THAT IS, THE 2-WEEK PERIOD OUTLINED IN	Y€	es				No No		0	es	•		N []
RED ON THIS CALENDAR. Hand calendar to respondent and ask 8a. 3a. WAS – – SICK AT ANY TIME LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE (THE 2 WEEKS												
SHOWN ON THAT CALENDAR)? b. WHAT WAS THE MATTER? c. DID HAVE ANYTHING ELSE DUDING THAT 2-WEEK REDIOD?												
 a. <u>LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE</u>, DID TAKE ANY MEDICINE OR TREATMENT FOR ANY CONDITION (BESIDES WHICH YOU TOLD ME ABOUT)? b. FOR WHAT CONDITION? 	C Ye	 s				□ No		۵Ÿ	'es			∏ Ne
c. DID TAKE ANY MEDICINE FOR ANY OTHER CONDITION?												
D3. <u>LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE</u> , DID – – HAVE ANY ACCIDENTS OR INJURIES? b. WHAT WERE THEY? c. DID – – HAVE ANY OTHER ACCIDENTS OR INJURIES DURING THAT 2-WEEK PERIOD?	U Ye	:5				∏ No		Ωv	'es			□ N
 IDID <u>EVER</u> HAVE AN (ANY OTHER) ACCIDENT OR INJURY THAT STILL BOTHERS HIM OR AFFECTS HIM IN ANY WAY? IN WHAT WAY DOES IT BOTHER HIM? Record present effects. 	. Ye	:5				[] No		ĽΥ	'es			U N
 Open your Flashcard booklet to Card A and read both sides of Card A (A-1, A-2) condition by condition; record in his column any conditions mentioned for the person. 	Ye	25				∏ No		ΩY	'es			N []
 Turn to Card B and read both sides of Card B (B-1, B-2), condition by condition; record in his column any conditions mentioned for the person. 	Ye	25				N₀		D٢	es			N D
	<u>Γ</u> γ ₂	rs				□ No		۵Y	es			□ N
12. DOES HAVE ANY OTHER AILMENTS, CONDITIONS, OR PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH?												
 a. DOES HAVE ANY OTHER AILMENTS, CONDITIONS, OR PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? b. WHAT IS THE CONDITION? Record condition itself if still present: otherwise record present effects. 												
 a. DOES HAVE ANY OTHER AILMENTS, CONDITIONS, OR PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? b. WHAT IS THE CONDITION? Record condition isself if still present: otherwise record present effects. c. ANY OTHER PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? 												_
 A. DOES HAVE ANY OTHER AILMENTS, CONDITIONS, OR PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? b. WHAT IS THE CONDITION? Record condition itself if still present: otherwise record present effects. c. ANY OTHER PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? For persons 19 years old or over, show who responded for for was present during the ushing of Q. 8-11. If persons responded for self, show whether entirely or portly. 	Re Re	sponde	d for d for	self-	entire partly	ly		Respo	nded f	or self	-entirely -partly	,
 A. DOES HAVE ANY OTHER AILMENTS, CONDITIONS, OR PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? b. WHAT IS THE CONDITION? Record condition itself if still present: otherwise record present effects. c. ANY OTHER PROBLEMS WITH HIS HEALTH? For persons 19 years old or over, show who responded for for was present during the using of Q. 8-14. If persons responded for self, show whether entirely or portly. For persons under 19 show who responded for them. If eligible respondent is the persons during the respondent is respondent is respondent. 	Re Re Person	sponde sponde	d for d for	self- self- wa	entire partly s resp	ly	Pers	Respon Respon	nded f	or self	-entirely -partly was res	ponder

15a. HAS BEEN IN A HOSPITAL AT ANY TIME SINCE A YEAR AGO?	Yes	No	🗍 Yes	
b. HOW MANY TIMES WAS IN A HOSPITAL DURING THAT PERIOD?	Times		Times	
16a. HAS ANYONE IN THE FAMILY BEEN IN A NURSING HOME, CONVALESCENT HOME, REST HOME, OR SIMILAR PLACE SINCE A YEAR AGO? If "Yea," ask: b who?	🗌 Yes	∏ No	Yes Yes	🗍 Na
C. HOW MANY TIMES WAS IN A NURSING HOME OR SIMILAR PLACE DURING THAT PERIOD?	Times		Times_	
Examine ages in question 1 for babies 1 year old or under. For each child 1 year Old or under, ask 17a. 17a. WHEN WAS BORN? 1 fon or after the date stamped in 15a, ask 17b.	Month	Day Year	Month	Day Year
b. WAS BORN IN A HOSPITAL? If "Yes" and no hospitalizations entered in his column, enter "1" in 15. If "Yes" and a hospitalization is reported for the mother and baby ask 17c.	Yes]Nо	Yes	D Ne
c. IS THIS HOSPITALIZATION INCLUDED IN THE NUMBER YOU GAVE ME FOR ~ -? If "No," correct entry for mother and baby.	Yes	No	[] Yes	C No
THESE NEXT QUESTIONS ARE ABOUT RECENT VISITS TO OR FROM A MEDICAL DOCTOR. 18. DURING THE PAST 2 WEEKS (THE 2 WEEKS QUTLINED IN RED ON THAT CALENDAR) HOW MANY TIMES HAS SEEN A DOCTOR EITHER AT HOME OR AT A DOCTOR'S OFFICE OR CLINIC?	Dr. Visits	None None	Er. Visr	None None
19a. (BESIDES THOSE VISITS) DURING THAT 2 WEEK PERIOD HAS ANYONE IN THE FAMILY BEEN TO A DOCTOR'S OFFICE OR CLINIC FOR SHOTS, X-RAYS, TESTS, OR EXAMINATIONS? If "Yes," ask.	Tes Yes	[] No	C Yes	No
b. WHO WAS THIS? / Mark "Yes," in person's column. c. ANYONE ELSE? }				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
For each "Yee" marked, ask: d. HOW MANY TIMES DID - VISIT THE DOCTOR? EXCLUDE visits made on "mass" basis.	Visits		Visits	
 20a. DURING THAT PERIOD, DID ANYONE IN THE FAMILY GET ANY MEDICAL ADVICE FROM A DOCTOR OVER THE TELEPHONE? if "Yes" ask: b. WHO WAS THE PHONE CALL ABOUT? / c. ANY CALLS ABOUT ANYONE FLSE? \ Mark "Yes" in person's column. 	🗍 Yes	[] No	Yes	
For each "Yes" marked, ask: d. HOW MANY TELEPHONE CALLS WERE MADE TO GET MEDICAL ADVICE ABOUT - ~?	Telephone calls to Dr.		Telephone calls to Dr	
Visits reported in questions 18-20 for this person, Mark here		Visits rep*d in Q. 18-20 O Go to 215		Visits tep'd m Q. 18-20 O Co te 216
lf no visits reported in questions 18-20 Ask: 21a, <u>ABOUT</u> HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN SINCE - ~ SAW OR TALKED TO A DOCTOR? Estimate is acceptable, If less than 1 year, mark appropriate circle; if more than 1 year, mark number of whole years.	During post 2 wi	eeks/not previously reported O 2 Weeks - 6 Months O 7 - 11 Months O 1 D D 4 S a 7 5 4 1 D D 4 S a 7 5 4 D K Never O O	During post 2 wi	esks/not previously reported 2 Neeks - 6 Months 7 - 11 Months 1 1
<i>If the last visit was within the past 12 months ask;</i> b. IN TOTAL, ABOUT HOW MANY TIMES HAS SEEN OR TALKED TO A DOCTOR <u>DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS</u> ?	i Lines 0 0	DX Nore O O 1 2 0 4 5 5 7 4 9 1 2 0 4 5 5 7 7 9 1 2 0 4 5 5 7 8 9 1 2 0 4 5 5 7 8 9	Lines 1	DK rte O C
If person is 55 years old or over, ask; THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS REFER TO DIFFERENT KINDS OF PERSONAL CARE SOME PEOPLE NEED AT HOME;		Under 55 - Step () 55 or over - Ask 22e. ()	_;	Under 55 - Srop – C 55 or over - Aek 22e. – C
 22a. DOES NEED ANY HELP IN BATHING, DRESSING OR PUTTING ON HIS SHOES? b. DOES NEED ANY HELP AT HOME WITH INJECTIONS, SHOTS OF OTHER TERATHENES? 	Yes	: 5109 O No O DK O : 5109 O No O DK O	Yes Yes	5109 () No () []K () : 5109 () No () []K ()
	Yes	Stop O No O DK O	Yes	Stop O No O DK C
C, DOES NEED ANY ONE'S HELP WHEN WALKING UP STAIRS OR GETTING FROM ROOM TO ROOM?			Yes	stop ⊖ No ⊖ DK ⊂
C. DOES NEED ANY ONE'S HELP WHEN WALKING UP STAIRS DR GETTING FROM ROOM TO ROOM?	Yes			
C. DOES NEED ANY ONE'S HELP WHEN WALKING UP STAIRS OR GETTING FROM ROOM TO ROOM? d. DOES NEED ANY HELP AT ALL IN CARING FOR HIMSELF? 233. DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS, HAS RECEIVED ANY CARE AT HOME FROM A NURSE? b. DURING THIS 12 MONTH PERIOD, ABOUT HOW MANY VISITS DURING THIS 12 MONTH PERIOD, ABOUT HOW MANY VISITS DURING THIS 12 MONTH FOR CARE FOR2	Yes-daž	235 ± c O Step O DK O	Yes-Ask	Blake © Step © DK
C. DOES NEED ANY ONE'S HELP WHEN WALKING UP STAIRS OR GETTING FROM ROOM TO ROOM? d. DOES NEED ANY HELP AT ALL IN CARING FOR HIMSELF? 238. DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS, HAS RECEIVED ANY CARE AT HOME FROM A NURSE? b. DURING THIS 12 MONTH PERIOD, ABOUT HOW MANY VISITS DID A NURSE MAKE TO CARE FOR?. c. WERE ANY OF THESE VISITS DURING THE PAST 2-WEEKS?	Yes-dek 1	224 & c O Step O DK O	Yes-4.6	224 & r O Step O DY:

CONDITION NO. 1	1. Person number	Frite in and mark		Person number	
			J	<u></u>	
Enter person number and "name of condition" and ask question 2.	Name of condition				
Ask for all conditions	2. DID EVER AT ANY TIME T	ALK TO A DOCTOR ABOUT H	IS?	Yes O	No 1
Examine "Name of condition" entry in Item 1 and mark one box.	Accident or Cond injury-Go to 4 Cor	lition on Neither d C-Go to 9 □ Go to 3a.	Question number	WASHINGTON USE 8 9 10 11 12 13 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	н н соунсо 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
If "Doctor talked to", ask: If "Doctor not talked to" record adaquate description of condition or illness.	38. WHAT DID THE DOCTOR SAY IT MEDICAL NAME?	TWAS? DID HE GIVE IT A	Cond		
	3b. WHAT WAS THE CAUSE OF?			182	. 5 8 7 8 9
	Co to 4		Mark one	Chronic O	Acute O
If the entry in 30 or 35 includes the words:	3c. WHAT KIND OF IS IT?	······································	Total conditions	i	1973
Asthma "Ailment" "Disease" Cyst "Attack" "Disorder" Growth "Condition" "Trouble"			Accident First injury code Required hospitalizat	Yes O Yes ion	No ○ No ○
Measles "Defect") Tumor			Other Acc.	T.Mas.	Cth.
For ALLERGY OR STROKE, Ask:	- 3d. HOW DOES THE ALLERGY (STR	OKE) AFFECT HIM?	IC or dum		
For conditions on Cord B-2 and for any entry	36. WHAT PART OF THE BODY IS /	AFFECTED?	Person days o	of disability	
that includes the words: Abscess Cyst Paralysis	1		R.A.		(
Ache (except Growth Sore headache) Hemorrhage Soreness	SHOW THE FOLLOWING DETAI	L:	2Wks, B.D.	.}	
Bleeding Infection Tumor /	Ear or eyeone or both				Under 6
Boil Neuralgia Weak Cancer Neuritis Weakness	Backshoulder, upper, middle, Armshoulder, uppe	lower r, elbow, lower, wrist,	T.L	<u>.</u>	• • • • • • •
Cramps (except Pain menstrual) Palsy	hand; one or Leghip, upper, kni one or both	both se, lower, onkle, foot;	12 Months B.D.	y	· · · ·
FILL QUESTIONS 4	B FOR ALL ACCIDENTS OR INJURIES			<u> </u>	
DID THE ACCIDENT HAPPEN DURING THE PAST 2 YEARS OR BEFORE THAT TIME?	During past 2 years-Ask 40 Before 2 years-Go to 5a	6a. WAS A CAR, TRUCK, BL MOTOR VEHICLE INV ACCIDENT IN ANY W	IS, OR OTHER OLVED IN THE AY?	Yes O	No-Ge je 1
Ib. WHEN DID THE ACCIDENT HAPPEN? Enter 1 Month Year	nonth and year; mark one box	b, WAS MORE THAN ONE V	'EHICLE	Yes O	No O
	2 weeks - 3 months 3 - 12 months	C. WAS IT (EITHER ONE) N THE TIME?	OVING AT	Yes O	No O
Ask for all accidents or injurles: 5a. AT THE TIME OF THE ACCIDENT WHAT PAI	T OF THE BODY WAS HURT?	7. WHERE DID THE ACCID	ENT HAPPEN?	At horse/invide konse)	
WHAT KIND OF INJURY WAS IT? ANYTH Part(s) of body Kir	ING ELSE? d of injury(injuries)	Specify place		At hore (adjacent premiers Street and highway (necked Farm Industrial place (include School (include school p Place of recreation and s) Chase (recreation and s)	l
		8. WAS – – AT WORK AT F BUSINESS WHEN THE	IIS JOB OR ACCIDENT	Ciner (specify side a ware Under 1 Yes No at time	7 While in .
If accident happened BEFORE 3 months, ask: 5b, WHAT PART OF THE BODY IS AFFECTED N HOW IS HIS AFFECTED?	?WC	HAPPENED? Footnotes		0 0 0	o .
Part(s) of body	Present effects				
		·		n a a franciska se	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	•		

Ask question 9a for all conditions.	9a. LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE DID HISCAUSE HIM TO CUT DOWN ON THE THINGS HE USUALLY DOES?	Yes ta:-Cutetta v ⊖
	b. DID HE HAVE TO CUT DOWN FOR AS MUCH AS A DAY?	Yes the Galadia y
Ask questions 10 and 11 if "Yes" marked in question 9b.	10. HOW MANY DAYS DID HE HAVE TO CUT DOWN DURING THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD? Write in and mark Days {	2
	11. DURING THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD, HOW MANY DAYS DID HIS KEEP HIM IN BED ALL OR MOST Write in OF THE DAY? Days Days	Nisse V
Ask question 12 if person is 6-16 years old.	12. HOW MANY DAYS DID HIS KEEP HIM FROM SCHOOL DURING THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD? and mark Days {	Under & N.me V . Cr
Ask question 13 if person is 17 years old or over.	13. HOW MANY DAYS DID HIS KEEP HIM FROM WORK DURING THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD? (For fenales add) Trite in NOT COUNTING WORK AROUND THE HOUSE? and mark Days {	Nime V
Ask question 14 for all conditions.	14a. WHEN DID HE FIRST NOTICE HIS? WAS IT DURING THE PAST 3 MONTHS OR BEFORE THAT TIME?	During 3 most Balate 3 month Constants V
	b. DID HE FIRST NOTICE IT DURING THE PAST TWO WEEKS OR BEFORE THAT TIME?	Post 2 miles Before 2 miles Constants V
	c. WHICH WEEK, LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE?	Lost week American V
Ask question 15 only if condition		3-12 mos. Before 12 min. V
was first noticed "Before 3 months."	15. DID FIRST NOTICE IT DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS OR BEFORE THAT TIME?	0
Ask for person 6 years old or over for whom an eye condition	Not an eye condition Not first eye condition Under 6	Yes- Ask 168 150-Ann 168, e
cataracts and glaucoma) has been reported.	b. CAN - SEE WELL ENOUGH TO RECOGNIZE A FRIEND WALKING ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STREFT?	Yes-Omit 16c No-Ack 16c
	C. HOW MUCH TROUBLE WOULD YOU SAY THAT HAS IN SEEING: A GREAT DEAL, Some, or hardly any at All?	Pro Generated Some are
		· · · ·
AR: IF THIS IS A CONDITION	IN CARD A OR B, OR STARTED "BEFORE 3 NONTHS," ASK O. 17, OTHERVISE CO TO ITEN BB.	Normal and a state of the
days in question 176 if To impre days in question 17a and question 11 is blank or marked "None."	12 MONTHS HAS HIS KEPT HIM IN BED ALL OR MOST OF THE DAY? Days	្មាំ ខេត្តជាវត្ត ហ្គ្
	b. WERE ANY OF THESE DAYS DURING LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE?	Yes No+ <i>Ge to 88</i> ⊖ ⊙
	c. HOW MANY? Trite in and mark Days {	
BB: Is this the LAST condition for this person?	Yes — Ask 18-21 if person has "1" or more conditions past AA No — Go to next condition	
Show Card D, E, F, or G, as appropriate based on activity status or age.	18. PLEASE LOOK AT EACH STATEMENT ON THIS CARD (CARD D, E, F, G). THEN TELL ME WHICH STATEMENT FITS BEST IN TERMS OF HEALTH. Mark statement number	i 2 3 4-<i>6- 1</i>/20 i OCOU
If 1, 2, or 3 marked in 18 ask:	19. IS THIS BECAUSE OF ANY OF THE CONDITIONS YOU HAVE TOLD ME ABOUT?	WASHINGTON USE
lf 4 marked in 18 go to 20.	□ Yes → WHICH? Enter condition numbers	Yes No V O O O
		Age Gen Cih B O O U C
	□ No → WHAT DOES CAUSE THIS LIMITATION? <u>Enter souse</u> 20. PLEASE LOOK AT THE BLUE CARD, CARD H. WHICH ONE OF THOSE STATEMENTS FITS - BEST IN TERMS OF HEALTH? Mark statement number →	Age Gen Citi D O O (1 (1 2 3 4 5 6.5kep) O O O O O O
If 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 marked	 □ No → WHAT DOES CAUSE THIS LIMITATION? Enter cause 20. PLEASE LOOK AT THE BLUE CARD, CARD H. WHICH ONE OF THOSE STATEMENTS FITS - BEST IN TERMS OF HEALTH? Mark statement number → 21. IS THIS BECAUSE OF ANY OF THE CONDITIONS YOU HAVE TOLD ME ABOUT? 	Aya Gon Cih D O O ''' (1 2 3 4 5 6.5km) O O O O O O WASHINGTON USE
If 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 marked in 20, ask: If 6 marked, omit 21 and go to next person.	No → WHAT DOES CAUSE THIS LIMITATION? <u>Enter souse</u> 20. PLEASE LOOK AT THE BLUE CARD, CARD H. WHICH ONE OF THOSE STATEMENTS FITS - BEST IN TERMS OF HEALTH? Mark statement number → 21. IS THIS BECAUSE OF ANY OF THE CONDITIONS YOU HAVE TOLD ME ABOUT? I yes → WHICH?	Age Gen Crh D 1 2 3 4 5 6.5kp V 0 0 0 0 0 0 WASHINGTON USE Yes No V 0 0 0 0 4 2 6en Crh D
If 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 marked in 20, ask: If 6 marked, omis 21 and go to next person.	 No → WHAT DOES CAUSE THIS LIMITATION? Enter cause 20. PLEASE LOOK AT THE BLUE CARD, CARD H. WHICH ONE OF THOSE STATEMENTS FITS - BEST IN TERMS OF HEALTH? Mark statement number → 21. IS THIS BECAUSE OF ANY OF THE CONDITIONS YOU HAVE TOLD ME ABOUT? Yes → WHICH? Enter condition numbers 	Age Gen Crh B 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

.

HUSPITAL PAGE	I. Person number	ite in and mark					
Enter month, day, year; if the exact date is not known, obtain the best estimate.	YOU SAID THAT WAS IN THE (HOSPITA Home) during the past year:	AL/NURSING]	WASHINGTO		ul. 0 0	
	2. WHEN DID ENTER THE (HOSPITAL HOME) (THE LAST TIME)?	/NURSING Write in	Month	Juni⊖ Feb⊙ Mor⊙	May⊖ June ⊖ 1	Aug O No Sept O Do	67 C 64 C
USE YOUR CALENDAR	Make sure the YEAR is corr		Day	:			
			Year			1.1.1	
Do not include any nights in interview week. If the exact number is not known, accept the best estimate.	(HOSPITAL/NURSING HOME)?	Total nights in hospital — nursing home	Nights	:		· .	: .
Complete question 4 from entries in questions 2 and 3; if not clear, ask the questions.	4a.HOW MANY OF THESE NIGHTS Were in the past 12 Months?	Nights past 12 months	Q. No.	: 15 16 0 0	17 O	Hosp.	Crhe
Do not include any nights in interview week.	D.HOW MANY OF THESE NIGHTS Were last week or the Week before?	Nights past 2 weeks	Diog.				
USE YOUR CALENDAR	C.WAS – – STILL IN THE (HOSPITAL/NUI Home) Last sunday night for This Hospitalization (Stay)?	RSING	Diagnosis surgically treated				
If medical name not known.	5. FOR WHAT CONDITION DID ENTER Do you know the medical name	THE (HOSPITAL/NURSING HOME)	Operation 1				
enter an adequate description.	For delivery ask: WAS THIS A NORMAL DEL For newborn, ask: WAS THE BABY NORMAL	IVERY? If "No" ask: WHAT WAS THE MATTER AT BIRTH? Record in "Condition" box	Cperation 2				
	Condition		Operation 3				
entry must show CAUSE, KIND, and PART OF BODY in some detail as required for the Condition page.	Kind	·	Service				
	Part of body		Cwnership				
			IC or dum.				
11	6a. WERE ANY OPERATIONS PERFORMED (THIS STAY AT THE (HOSPITAL/NUR	DN DURING SING HOME.)? Yes No-Go to	7 Footnotes:		. <u></u>	<u></u>	<u>å</u>
known, describe what was done.	Operation						
	c. ANY OTHER OPERATIONS ?	Yes – Describe above 🔲 No	_				
Enter the full name of the	7. WHAT IS THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF T	HE (HOSPITAL/NURSING HOME)?					
hospital or nursing home; the street or highway on which it is located, and the city and State; if the city is	Name of Hospital						
not known, enter the county.	Street						
	City (or county)	State					
		······································	• 🔳	Sie New Lan	an an an		i verça
i			J	0	0	<u> </u>	

,			ircle>	
Ask if "No" marked in guession 4c: 8. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE (HOSPITAL/NUR DO NOT INCLUDE DOCTORS' OR SUR(SING HOME) BILL FOR THIS STAY?	Dollars	Cents	Tot. Amount
9a. DID (WILL) HEALTH INSURANCE PAY ANY PART OF				
	Name of Insurance Plan	Dollars	Cents	N L S V
				10, Source 1
PART OF THIS (HOSPITAL/NURSING HOME) BILL?				ABCDEFGHID 0000000000 Amount BLO
I - Teer Keaky 90 For each Health Insurance Plan named, esk: d. WHAT WAS (WILL-BE) THE AMOUNT PAID BY (Name of Plan)?				
Enter total amount paid by health insurance in line A	_ _	Dollars	Cents	
Enter ANY amount paid by Social Security Medicare in line B	A 🔲 Health insurance - All plans-exclude Medicare			10. Source 2
Oa. WHO PAID (WILL PAY) THE (REMAINDER OF THE) HOSPITAL BILL? Mark each casegory mentioned	B 🔲 Social Security Medicare			Amount BUD
D. DID ANY OTHER PERSON OR AGENCY PAY ANY OTHER PART OF THE HOSPITAL BILL?	C 🗋 Self and/or Family			
Yes-Ask 10c No-Go to 10d	D 🗋 Relative not in household			10. Source 3
C. WHO WAS THIS? Mark each category mentioned	E [] Friend			ABCDEFGHID COCCOCOCOC Amount BLD
d. WHAT WAS THE AMOUNT PAID BY? Enter amount paid opposite appropriate category.	F 🔲 Kerr Mills or other Fed. Plans			
INTERVIEWER: Add amounts entered (include any amount paid by health	G 🗋 Armed Forces Medicare	<u> </u>		10. Source 4
insurance) and enter in TOTAL box, then mark one of the following boxes.	H 🗂 State or Local Welfare Agency	<u> </u>		
amount of hospital bill + Ge te Q. 11	I Conter Specify			
with amount of hospital bill - Resolve difference with respondent.	paid by health insurance			
ASK QUESTIONS 11 - 13 IF PERSON	15 55 YEARS OLD OR OVER Mark one circle -		>	Under SS - Go to 14 55 or over - Ask II. O O
18.WHEN → - LEFT (Name of hospital/nursing home), DID HE RETURN HOME OR GO SOME OTHER PLACE?	Hame - Go to Question 12 Some other place - Ask Question 11b			WASHINGTON USE
b. WHAT KIND OF PLACE DID GO TO? Specify				Black (not 53) (Lines) (Home (
INTERVIEWER:	Hospital page filled-Stop			in an
lf the "Place" in 11b is a Hospital, Nursing Home or a similar place, was a Hospital Page filled for that stay? Wark one bax.	Hospital page not filled- <i>Fill Hosp.</i> page f	or unreported	stay.	
2. AFTER LEAVING THE (HOSPITAL/NURSING HOME,) HOW W DID HAVE TO REMAIN IN BED ALL OR MOST OF THI	ANY DAYS E DAY? Hark entry	Şr.	ll in bed - Go to	Reconstruction of the second sec
 (ALTOGETHER) HOW MANY DAYS WAS CONFINED TO RETURNING HOME FROM THE (HOSPITAL/NURSING HO 	THE HOUSE AFTER ME.)? Mark entry	5	ill confined to ho	se O Name Di Vice Di Vice Co Vice Co Vice Co
4. NOTE TO INTERVIEWER:				
Robe condition in mantice 6 and in an Pred 4 14 1 4 23 as B	(B-1. B-2) or there is "1" or more nights in que	stion 4b, the	ł	

•

Record each date on which a Doctor was visited in a separate Question 2a of the Doctor Visite Questions. EARLIER YOU TOLD ME THAT DOCTOR DURING THE PAST DOCTOR DURING THE PAST Doctor Visite Questions. 2a. ON WHAT DATES DURING TH PERIOD DID - VISIT OR the last set of Doctor Visite Questions for each person. b. WERE THERE ANY OTHER DID - VISIT OR DID - VISIT OR THE PERIOD DID - VISIT OR THE PERIOD DID - VISIT OR the last set of Doctor Visite Questions for each person. Item D: Interviewer Check Item Enter the number of Doctor Visits reported for each persons, check here Dipersons, check here Diperson OI 02 03 04 05 06 3. WHERE DID - SEE THE DO No. Visits Doctor Visits of the other of Doctor Visits reported for all persons, ohe check here 3. WHERE DID - SEE THE DO	HAD SEEN OR TALKED TO A 2 WEEKS. Write in and mark AT 2-WEEK TALK TO A DOCTOR? Day; DOTOR VISITS FOR DURING THAT PERIOD? Dowy; D
Ask and record ine answer to Question 20 on b. WELE THERE AN OTHER D the last set of Doctor Visits Questions Image: Constraint of the	CTOR ON THE (Date)? Mark one circle To hypon T
Item D: Interviewer Check Item Enter the number of Doctor Visits reported for each person in question 18-21a on pages 4 and 5. If "None" reported for alt persons, check here None reported Go to Person pages Porson 01 02 03 04 05 06 Visits	CTOR ON-THE (Date)? Mark one circle Telpton Te
No. 01 02 03 04 03 06 Visits	MACLINICTON LICE
Fill and Dented Matter continue for early while	#ASHING UN USE
or calls reported in question 2b. FOOTNOTES:	VS BILL FOR THAT VISIT (CALL)?
BILL TO BE FOR THAT VI	PRACTITIONER OR A SPECIALIST? Dum.
General Pract itioner If "Specialiss" ask: WHAT KIN	Code D OF SPECIALIST IS HE? First: Yes No Visit? 0 Visit? 0 Kind of Spec. Spec. Visit?
	Person number
1. Person number	Frite in and mark
Record each date on which a Doctor was visited in a separate Question 2a of the Doctor Visits Questions. 2a, ON WHAT DATES DURING TH PERIOD DID VISIT OR	HAD SEEN OR TALKED TO A 2 WEEKS. Are or july or certon 2 WEEKS. Are or july or certon Feb or Mary or Away or Narro Month Feb or Mary or Away or Narro Marro July or Certon Feb or Mary or Away or Narro Marro July or Certon Feb or Mary or Away or Narro Marro July or Certon Feb or Mary or Away or Narro Marro July or Certon Marro July o
Ask and record the answer to Question 26 on D. WERE THERE ANY OTHER D the last set of Doctor Visits Questions USS-Reask Q. 2a	DCTOR VISITS FOR DURING THAT PERIOD?
FOOTNOTES:	CTOR ON THE (Date)? Mark one circle Hore
	WASHINGTON USE
4. HOW MUCH WAS THE DOCTO If bill not received, ask: HOW MUCH DO YOU EXPECT BILL TO BE FOR THAT V	R'S BILL FOR THAT VISIT (CALL)? THE DOCTOR'S SIT (CALL)? Cents Cents
5. IS THE DOCTOR A GENERAL General Practitioner If "Specialist" ask: WHAT KI	PRACTITIONER OR A SPECIALIST? Dum. Specialist Code VD OF SPECIALIST IS HE? Y*** Visit? 0
	Kind of Spec. •■

		·····	None - G	o 20 25a		Nort Gol	. 23.	
Ask for all persons I 24a. WHAT IS THE HIGHE	7 years old or over. EST GRADE (YEAR)ATTENDED IN	Elementary SCHOOL? High school College	EI Ö Hi Co ·	Under 17 O	EI Hi Co	•	Under 17	
b. DIDFINISH THE-	-GRADE (YEAR)?		Ye	is No) Ŭ		Yes ث	Bo O	
Ask for all persons 17 years old or over. 25a, DIDWORK AT ANY TIME <u>LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE</u> ? For females add: NOT COUNTING WORK AROUND THE HOUSE? b. EVEN THOUGHDID NOT WORK DURING THOSE 2 WEEKS, DOES HE HAVE A JOB OR BUSINESS? c. WAS HE LOOKING FOR WORK OR ON LAYOFF FROM A JOB?		Ye Ge to C	rs No 26a Ark Jork Jaad O O	· 🔳	Yes Gate 2 O	tia In Art Last Band o Je		
		Ye C	ns Na V O		Ye s ن	Na O		
		Yes*Ask d No+Omit d C O			fost data Ba-Oniud O O			
d. WHICH - LOOKING FOR WORK OR ON LAYOFF FROM A JOB?			Lood C	king Layoff Bo) ○ ○	, 🔳	Lookun O	g Loyaff Br ⊖ ⊖	
If "Yes" in 25c only, questions 26a through 26d apply			Employer		Emplo	yer		
to this person's LAST full-time civilian job.	b. WHAT KIND OF BUSINESS OR INDUSTRY IS THIS? c. WHAT KIND OF WORK IS (WAS)-DOING?		Industry Occupation		Industr	Industry Cccupation		
					Occup			
	Fill 26d from entries in 26a-26c:	if not clear, ask.	/ Pvlpaid	Gov't Fed. Gov't G	er l	Pvtsoud	Gov't-Fed. Gov'tCt	
	d. CLASS OF WORKER	,,) Cwn	O O Non-poid Nev-Work O O	.a ■ 	O Cwn O	O O Non-paid Nev-Work O O	
Ask for all males 17 j 7a. DIDEVER SERVE	rears old or over. IN THE ARMED FORCES OF THE UNI	TED STATES?	Yes (N3 - 60 to 28	- <u> </u>	Yes N	ං Ge to 28 ි	
h WAS ANY OF HIS SEI			Yes · Stop	Na DK		Yes-Stop	No Dr.	
		°	0 0		0	0 0		
		Yes - Stop	No DK ⊖ ⊖		Yes - Stop	No DK O O		
(*************************************			Yes	No DK	-+	Yes	No DK	
d. WAS ANY OF HIS SERVICE AFTER JANUARY 31, 1955?		0	0 0		0	o ô		
8. WHICH OF THESE IN INCOME FOR THE SHOW CARD I. II SALARIES, SOCIA RELATIVES, REN Mark income gro	COME GROUPS REPRESENTS YOUR E PAST 12 MONTHS - THAT IS, YOUR NCLUDE INCOME FROM ALL, SOURCE I, SECURITY OR RETIREMENT BENE TS FROM PROPERTY, AND SO FORT. IN IN SECTIONE RELATED FORT	TOTAL COMBINED FAMILY S, YOUR'S, ETC.? S SUCH AS WAGES, FITS, HELP FROM H.	A B C D E	Г ГС НІЈ (1000000000000000000000000000000000000		4 8 C 5 E 000 000	F G H I J 1	
OCTNCTES	WASHINGTO	ON USE	WASHINGTON USE			WASHINGTON USE		
	*Transcribe codes for	Respondent				i		
	Item R (Respondent) 0 - Self-entirely	Age of respondent	6.1.* 0.1.*	1.5 M = 7.1 *				
	1 — Self-partly		51 AL AC	SF			SE	
	2 – Spouse	Family relationship			• ■ '			
	3 - Mother	, only recordination	Head 1 Head 2+	Wife Child Cth.relate	. е на	rod I Herod 2+ ₩	life Child City refor	
	4 — Father	r 1 1	0 0	0 0 0		o o	<u> </u>	
	5 — Other female family member	Education of head	- V - © 1 0 1 1	Und. 17 Nome O O 1. 12 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13		Un 	OO	
	6 – Other male family <i>m</i> ember							
	7 – Other	Industry						
			A B C D E 00 000	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		A B C D E 0 0 0 0 0 0	F G H J K L H	
		Occupation	N P Q R S	TU VWXY2		N P Q R S	TU VWXY 60 00000	
		 1 			• 📕	A P G B (P)		
		<u> </u>	L					

I

60

.

Card A		Card D	Card F	Card H
A1 Now I'm going to read a list of conditionsPlease tell me if you, your , etc., have had any of these conditions DUR- ING THE PAST 12 MONTHS?	A2 Have you, your , etc., had any of these conditions DUR- ING THE PAST 12 MONTHS?	For: Workers and other persons except Housewives and Children	For: Children from 6 through 16 years old	For: Mobility
 Asthma? CHRONIC bronchitis? REPEATED attacks of sinus trouble? TROUBLE with varicose veins? Hemorrhoids or piles? Hay fever? Tumor, cyst, or growth? CHRONIC gallbladder or liver trouble? Stomach ulcer? Any other CHRONIC stomach trouble? Kidney stones or CHRONIC kidney trouble? 	 Thyroid trouble or goiter? Any allergy? CHRONIC nervous trouble? CHRONIC skin trouble? Palsy? Paralysis of any kind? REFEATED trouble with back or spine? Cleft palate? Any speech defect? Hernia or rupture? Prostate trouble? 	 Not able to work at all. Able to work but limited in amount of work or kind of work. Able to work but limited in kind or amount of other activities. Not limited in any of the above ways. 	 Not able to go to school at all. Able to gr to school but limited to certain types of schools or in school attendance. Able to go to school but limited in other activities. Not limited in any of the above ways. 	 Must stay in bed all or most of the time. Must stay in the house all or most of the time. Need the help of another person in getting around inside or outside the house. Need the help of some special aid, such as a cane or wheelchair, in getting around inside or outside the house. Does not need the help of another person or a special aid but has trouble in getting around freely. Not limited in any of the above ways.
Card B		Card E	Card G	Card
 B1 Have you, your , etc., EVER had any of these conditions? 1. Tuberculosis? 2. Emphysema? 3. Hardening of the arteries? 4. High blood pressure? 5. Cancer? 6. Heart trouble? 7. Stroke? 8. Rheumatic fever? 9. Arthritis or rheumatism? 10. Mental illness? 11. Diabetes? 12. Epilepsy? 	 B2 Do you, your , etc., HAVE any of these conditions? 1. Deafness or SERIOUS trouble hearing with one or both ears? 2. SERIOUS trouble seeing with one or both eyes even when wearing glasses? 3. Missing fingers, hand or arm toes, foot or leg? 4. Missing lung or kidney (or breast)? 5. Club foot? 6. PERMANENT stiffness or any deformity of foot, leg, fingers, arm or back? 	 For: Housewife Not able to keep house at all. Able to keep house but limited in amount or kind of housework. Able to keep house but limited in kind or amount of other activities. Not limited in any of the above ways. 	 For: Children under 6 years old Not able to take part at all in ordinary play with other children. Able to play with other children but limited in amount or kind of play. Not limited in any of the above ways. 	Which of the following income groups represents your total combined family income for the past 12 months? In- clude income from all sources such as wages, salaries, social security or retirement benefits, help from rela- tives, rents from property, and so forth. Under \$500

Y U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE - 1969 - 396-230 P. O. 7

:

6]

OUTLINE OF REPORT SERIES FOR VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS

Public Health Service Publication No. 1000

- Series 1. Programs and collection procedures.—Reports which describe the general programs of the National Center for Health Statistics and its offices and divisions, data collection methods used, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.
- Series 2. Data evaluation and methods research.—Studies of new statistical methodology including: experimental tests of new survey methods, studies of vital statistics collection methods, new analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, contributions to statistical theory.
- Series 3. Analytical studies.—Reports presenting analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics, carrying the analysis further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
- Series 4. Documents and committee reports.—Final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics, and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
- Series 10. Data from the Health Interview Survey.—Statistics on illness, accidental injuries, disability, use of hospital, medical, dental, and other services, and other health-related topics, based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.
- Series 11. Data from the Health Examination Survey.—Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement of national samples of the population provide the basis for two types of reports: (1) estimates of the medically defined prevalence of specific diseases in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics; and (2) analysis of relationships among the various measurements without reference to an explicit finite universe of persons.
- Series 12. Data from the Institutional Population Surveys.—Statistics relating to the health characteristics of persons in institutions, and on medical, nursing, and personal care received, based on national samples of establishments providing these services and samples of the residents or patients.
- Series 13. Data from the Hospital Discharge Survey.-Statistics relating to discharged patients in short-stay hospitals, based on a sample of patient records in a national sample of hospitals.
- Series 14. Data on health resources: manpower and facilities.—Statistics on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources including physicians, dentists, nurses, other health manpower occupations, hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient and other inpatient facilities.
- Series 20. Data on mortality.—Various statistics on mortality other than as included in annual or monthly reports—special analyses by cause of death, age, and other demographic variables, also geographic and time series analyses.
- Series 21. Data on natality, marriage, and divorce. Various statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce other than as included in annual or monthly reports—special analyses by demographic variables, also geographic and time series analyses, studies of fertility.
- Series 22. Data from the National Natality and Mortality Surveys. --Statistics on characteristics of births and deaths not available from the vital records, based on sample surveys stemming from these records, including such topics as mortality by socioeconomic class, medical experience in the last year of life, characteristics of pregnancy. etc.

For a list of titles of reports published in these series, write to: Office of Information

National Center for Health Statistics U.S. Public Health Service Washington, D.C. 20201