# Background and Development of the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services

The development and operation of the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services are presented from its origin in 1968 through its status in 1975. This presentation includes a description of the predecessor of the National Reporting System—the Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services. A brief discussion of the legislative and historical background concerning the involvement of the Federal Government in the provision of family planning services is also given. The last section of the report includes a discussion of the growth of the reporting system during the first 6 full years of its operation as well as a brief description of the conversion of the 100-percent system to a sample survey during 1977.

DHEW Publication No. (PHS) 78-1313

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Public Health Service
National Center for Health Statistics
Hyattsville, Md. April 1978



#### Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

United States. National Center for Health Statistics.

Background and development of the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services.

(Vital and health statistics: Series 1, Programs and collection procedures; no. 13) (DHEW publication; (PHS) 78-1313)

"Data from the data evaluation and methods research"

1. Birth control clinics—United States—Statistical services—History. I. Title II. Series: United States. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital and health statistics: Series 1, Programs and collection procedures; no. 13. III. Series: United States. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare. DHEW publication; (PHS) 78-1313.

RA409.U44 No. 13

[HQ766.5.U]

312'.07'23s

[362.8'2] 77-17379

ISBN 0-8406-0117-4

#### NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

DOROTHY P. RICE, Director

ROBERT A. ISRAEL, Deputy Director

JACOB J. FELDMAN, Ph.D., Associate Director for Analysis

GAIL F. FISHER, Associate Director for the Cooperative Health Statistics System

ELIJAH L. WHITE, Associate Director for Data Systems

JAMES T. BAIRD, JR., Ph.D., Associate Director for International Statistics

ROBERT C. HUBER, Associate Director for Management

MONROE G. SIRKEN, Ph.D., Associate Director for Mathematical Statistics

PETER L. HURLEY, Associate Director for Operations

JAMES M. ROBEY, Ph.D., Associate Director for Program Development

PAUL E. LEAVERTON, Ph.D., Associate Director for Research

ALICE HAYWOOD, Information Officer

#### DIVISION OF HEALTH RESOURCES UTILIZATION STATISTICS

SIEGFRIED A. HOERMANN, Director

STEWART C. RICE, JR. Acting Chief, Family Planning Statistics Branch
W. EDWARD BACON, Ph.D., Chief, Hospital Care Statistics Branch
JAMES E. DeLOZIER, Chief, Ambulatory Care Statistics Branch
JOAN F. VAN NOSTRAND, Chief, Long-Term Care Statistics Branch
MANOOCHEHR K. NOZARY, Chief, Technical Services Branch

Vital and Health Statistics-Series 1-No. 13

DHEW Publication No. (PHS) 78-1313

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 77-17379

## **CONTENTS**

Int	troduction	1
Ba	Legislative Background	2 2 3
Th	The Report Form	5 5 7
Th	The Report Forms	8 8 9 12
Gr	owth and Future of the Reporting System	13
	I. Abbreviations Used in This Report	17 18 20 26 33 38
	LIST OF TEXT TABLES	
Α.	Comparison of items on the Provisional Report Form for Family Planning Services (PRF) and the Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services (CVR) by type of information obtained, according to whether item remained the same, was modified, deleted, or added	8
В.	Summary of major differences in operating procedures between the PRSFPS and the NRSFPS, by type of procedure	10
C.	Summary of major differences in the output tabulations between the PRSFPS and the NRSFPS	12
D.	Number of participating service sites, family planning patients seen, and clinic visits made for family planning services as reported to the NCHS family planning reporting systems: United States, 1970-75	13
E.	Percent increase in the number of participating service sites, family planning patients seen, and visits made for family planning services as reported to the NCHS family planning reporting systems: United States, 1970-75	13
F.	Percent of records submitted to the NRSFPS by automated and nonautomated submitters: United States, 1973-75	13

### BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

Barbara J. Haupt, Division of Health Resources Utilization Statistics

#### INTRODUCTION

The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) has been operating a reporting system for family planning clinic services since May 1969. Through this system, data are collected about persons who receive family planning services from participating family planning service sites throughout the United States and some of its territories. The information obtained is useful for research purposes as well as for program planning and evaluation, primarily at the national level.

The data are reported to NCHS by the participating family planning clinics and service sites. Although all of the sites that provide family planning services in the United States do not report to NCHS, most of the facilities that receive Federal funds for family planning services from the Bureau of Community Health Services (BCHS) in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (DHEW) do report.<sup>a</sup> In addition, many nonfederally funded family planning programs also report data to NCHS. Included in the latter category are some clinics and service sites that are affiliated with Planned Parenthood/World Population (PP/WP), are operated

by State health departments, or are privately owned and operated.

The information obtained through the National Reporting System may be divided into four general types: data about the participating facilities, data about the sociodemographic characteristics of the individuals being served, data about the types of services being provided, and data about the visits made.

Because the National Reporting System is mainly concerned with the *individuals* being served, the data collected about the participating facilities are very limited. The only facility information collected is that which is necessary for the participation of the clinics and service sites. This includes the name and address of each facility, each clinic director's name, a minimum amount of funding information, and the expected patient load.

Information collected about the individuals being served consists of identification information and the sociodemographic characteristics of each person. Since the name and address of an individual are never obtained by the National Reporting System, the identification information is limited to a unique nine-digit number assigned by the service site personnel. As long as the individual continues to receive services from the same family planning project, the information about that person should be reported to NCHS under the same number. The sociodemographic characteristics collected about the individual served include each person's date of birth, sex, ethnic designation, pregnancy history

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Refers mainly to sites receiving project grants for family planning services. Also included are some sites that receive other health services grants, such as maternal and child health services or comprehensive health services. Many sites also receive matching funds under the Medicaid program and the Aid to Families With Dependent Children program, both also operated by DHEW.

(for women only), and Medicaid and welfare status.

Data about the family planning services provided refer to the medical, counseling, and referral services that each person receives at a particular visit. Information about the type of contraceptive method adopted by each person, as well as any previous contraceptive use, is also obtained.

The visit data collected are limited to the date and type of visit made. Because each person is uniquely identified within a given family planning project, the number of visits made by an individual over time can also be determined.

The data gathered through the National Reporting System are used to provide baseline statistics on the status of family planning services in the United States. In addition, these data are used for program planning, evaluation, and monitoring, as well as for research purposes. However, NCHS never meant this system to be the sole source of family planning data; it was intended to provide only a part of the total picture. In order to obtain a comprehensive view of family planning activities and the effects of such activities in the United States, the data available from the National Reporting System must be used in conjunction with data from other sources.

#### BACKGROUND

#### Legislative Background

The involvement of the Federal Government in the provision of family planning services has grown rapidly since the 1960's. The first Federal grants designated specifically for family planning services were made available through the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 (Public Law 88-452) and administered by the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO). By the mid-1960's, DHEW also made a limited amount of money available for family planning services through the reimbursement programs under titles IV-A (Aid to Families With Dependent Children) and XIX (Medicaid) of the Social Security Act.<sup>b</sup> Later, the 1967 amendments to

the Social Security Act (Public Law 90-248) made more family planning service money available through DHEW. These amendments not only established categorical project grants for family planning services under title V (Maternal and Child Health Services) but also required that at least 6 percent of the total money appropriated under sections 503, 508, and 512 of title V be available for family planning services.c Three years later Public Law 91-572, the Family Planning Services and Population Research Act of 1970 (title X of the Public Health Service Act), was passed.d The purpose of this act was to assist in making comprehensive voluntary family planning services available to all persons desiring such services. Lastly, the passage of the 1972 amendments to the Social Security Act (Public Law 92-603) strengthened the family planning service aspects of titles IV-A and XIX. These amendments made it mandatory that all recipients of Aid to Families With Dependent Children (AFDC) be informed of the availability of family planning services and that such services be provided to all eligible persons desiring them. States failing to meet these requirements would be penalized by a reduction of 1 percent per vear of the Federal share of AFDC funds. In addition, the amendments increased the Federal share of matching funds for family planning services to 90 percent under both titles IV-A and XIX.e

the medical services received by their eligible clients. The available funds, however, were not used extensively for family planning services until the mid-1970's.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Under these titles, family planning service providers were able to obtain reimbursement for some of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Title V provided for both formula grants to States for Maternal and Child Health Services (section 503) and special project grants for Maternity and Infant Care (section 508). Title V specifically included family planning as part of the maternal health services to be provided and allowed the establishment of projects specifically for family planning services. Section 512 authorized research projects relating to maternal and child health services.

dThis act expired in 1975 but was extended for an additional 2 years under Public Law 94-63, the Family Planning Services and Population Research Act of 1975 (title II of the Public Health Service Act).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>The previous Federal share was 75 percent under title IV-A and a variable formula with a range from 50 to 83 percent under title XIX.

#### **Historical Background**

The DHEW family planning services system.—Although DHEW has had categorical family planning service grant money available for some time through titles V, X, and later, II of the Public Health Service Act, these grants have been administered by different agencies within the Department over the years. A brief history of the succession of these administering agencies is presented in this section.

Originally the Children's Bureau (CB) in DHEW was responsible for the programs funded through title V of the Public Health Service Act. However, during 1969 two new agencies were created in DHEW's Health Services and Mental Health Administration (HSMHA): the National Center for Family Planning Services (NCFPS) and the Maternal and Child Health Service (MCHS). These two agencies subsequently were made responsible for administering the title V programs: MCHS operated the service programs that concerned maternal and child health such as the children and youth projects, the crippled children programs, the maternal and child health programs, and the maternity and infant care projects. On the other hand, NCFPS was responsible for the development and operation of the categorical family planning service programs as well as the coordination of family planning service efforts in the United States. Therefore. NCFPS was responsible for administering the family planning service aspects of title X of the Public Health Service Act after it was passed in 1970.

During 1973, the OEO family planning service grants were transferred to NCFPS. This process was completed by September of that year.

In addition, 1973 also saw the reorganization of the Public Health Service, that segment of DHEW dealing with health. One result of this reorganization, still in effect, was the abolishment of HSMHA and the creation of the Health Resources Administration (HRA) and the Health Services Administration (HSA). The reorganization also abolished both NCFPS and MCHS; the programs operated by these agencies were incorporated into the newly created Bureau of Community Health Services in HSA, along with a number of other health service programs in

DHEW. Within BCHS the title V programs are coordinated by the Associate Bureau Director for Maternal and Child Health, and the title X (now title II) programs are coordinated by the Associate Director for Family Planning.

The family planning information system.— The rapid expansion of family planning service programs since the mid-1960's, especially in the public sector, made apparent the lack of current and accurate information on the extent to which family planning services were being provided. This information was urgently needed to provide a rational basis for the expansion of family planning service programs throughout the United States and would continue to be needed on a timely basis to provide data for the evaluation of the effectiveness of such programs.

Because these data needs were recognized by both Federal and private agencies involved in the delivery of family planning services, the Interagency Committee for the Development of a Uniform Data Collection System for Family Planning Services was established in February 1968. This Committee, which was chaired by the DHEW Deputy Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs, was made up of representatives of DHEW, OEO, PP/WP, and other interested parties. The Committee met throughout 1968 with the purpose of defining the requirements of a uniform national family planning services reporting system. After much hard work and frequently acrimonious debate, a set of basic data elements was agreed upon late in 1968. The Committee felt that these were the crucial data items for good program planning, evaluation, and monitoring, as well as for research purposes.

Meanwhile, in May 1968, the Bureau of the Budget in the Executive Office delegated focal responsibility for Federal Government family planning activities to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs, DHEW. This delegation gave the Assistant Secretary the following responsibilities:

To take the leadership in the development of a coordinated program of statistics on family planning.

To develop standard classifications and terminology.

To keep the Bureau of the Budget informed of relevant data collection plans of Federal agencies.

To obtain, as much as possible, the cooperation of both State and local governmental and private agencies.

To assure the assembly, analysis, and publication of statistical information on all aspects of family planning programs in the United States, covering all activities of the Federal Government and including, to the extent possible, those of other public and private organizations.

In the letter of acceptance from DHEW, it was specifically pointed out that the Interagency Committee, meeting since February 1968, had "actively participated" in the "first job undertaken in this direction... the development of a uniform reporting system for family planning services in the United States... we anticipate continuing the use of this satisfactory instrument and...later [including in the reporting system] other agencies who have responsibility for family planning services." f

In November 1968 the Office of the Assistant Secretary, DHEW, gave NCHS the responsibility for developing and operating a national statistical system for family planning services. This statistical system, when completed, was to provide data "on all aspects of family planning programs in the U.S., covering all activities of the federal government, and including to the extent possible those of other public and of private organizations." The Center was also told to "work ... [on] the development of standard classification and terminology" in the field of family planning. This entire assignment, however, was to be done "under the policy direction" and "together with" the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs.g

Because the need for family planning data had become extremely urgent, both NCHS and the Interagency Committee felt that some sort of interim data collection activity should be undertaken immediately, based on the data elements that had already been developed. It was, therefore, decided that a computer system, originally designed for the DHEW Children's Bureau programs, would be used as an interim system until the "final" system was developed. The operation of this interim system, therefore, met two needs: (1) It provided data for the immediate administrative and research needs of the major family planning programs, and (2) it developed a body of data and experience that were used in planning the national statistical system. This interim system became known as the Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services (PRSFPS).

In July 1970, NCHS sent a description of the proposed National Family Planning Services Statistical System to a number of family planning experts for comments. This description pointed out that the total statistical program would be made up of three different data collection mechanisms: an inventory of family planning clinics, a patient contact reporting program, and special ad hoc surveys.

The comments that were received were analyzed and used for revising the proposal. Besides the reviews received from experts in the field, NCHS solicited and obtained comments from the major Federal family planning funding agencies (OEO and DHEW) as well as from PP/WP.

In 1971 the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services (NRSFPS), the second of the three data collection mechanisms proposed, was completed and approved for use. Subsequently, on January 1, 1972, the NRSFPS began operation, replacing the PRSFPS.

During 1976, work was begun on developing a sample system to replace the 100-percent reporting system. The conversion to a sample

fCorrespondence between the Secretary of DHEW and the Assistant Director for Statistical Standards, Bureau of the Budget, Executive Office of the President, dated May 29, 1968, and June 13, 1968.

gMemorandum from the Secretary of DHEW to the Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs,

DHEW, dated October 18, 1968; and memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Health and Scientific Affairs, DHEW, to the Acting Administrator, Health Services and Mental Health Administration, DHEW, dated November 5, 1968.

survey became unavoidable because of the tremendous growth in the number of visits reported to the NRSFPS (from less than 1 million in 1970 to almost 6 million in 1975). Although the original target date for implementing the sample was January 1977, it soon became evident that this date did not allow enough lead time for the sample survey. As a result, it was decided that the NRSFPS would continue on a 100-percent basis through June 1977 and that the sample would be implemented in July.

#### THE PROVISIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM

The Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services operated from May 1969 through December 1971. The PRSFPS functioned as an interim data collection mechanism while NCHS worked on developing the "final" family planning reporting system, the NRSFPS. The PRSFPS also functioned as a learning mechanism for NCHS, since many of the modifications made for the National Reporting System were based on the experience and knowledge gained from operating the Provisional Reporting System.

#### The Report Form

The data collection form used by the PRSFPS, the Provisional Report Form for Family Planning Services (PRF), was designed by the Interagency Committee and was the basis for the system (see appendix III-A). This form consisted of 24 data items (some of which were optional) and was to be completed each time a person visited a participating service site for family planning services. All of the items were completed the first time a form was filled out for an individual; however, the questions asked during revisits were limited to those that changed over time.

Three different kinds of information were collected on this report form: identification information, demographic information, and service information.

Although there was a place on the form to collect the name and address of each patient, that portion of the form was for the service site's use only and remained at each site. For the

purposes of the Provisional Reporting System, the patients were identified only by a combination of the facility and patient numbers. The facility numbers were assigned by NCHS and were unique within the PRSFPS. The patient numbers, on the other hand, were assigned by the service site personnel, usually on a case basis, and were meaningful only to the facility providing the services.

Items of a demographic nature included each patient's date of birth, ethnic designation, education, pregnancy history, income and family size, h and welfare status. It was assumed that all of the patients were women.

Service and administrative data included the patient type; types of medical, counseling, and referral services given; the contraceptive method adopted; the date and session of the visit; and the date and type of the next appointment. Information about the individual's contraceptive history within the previous 2 years was also collected.

The PRF also included two items that were used at the discretion of the local agencies. One item pertained to the patient's current residence; the other item was open and allowed the participating facilities to obtain information that was relevant to their specific operations but was not required for the larger data system.

#### **Operational Procedures**

Enrollment and training of participants.— Lists of the organizations that were to participate in the PRSFPS were supplied to NCHS by OEO and DHEW, the two major Federal funding agencies. These organizations were called "projects" and received grants that were designated either specifically for family planning services or for other health services, but with the stipulation that a certain percent of the grant funds be spent on family planning services. Planned Parenthood-affiliated service sites were also invited to participate in the PRSFPS; however, PP/WP decided that its affiliates not receiving Federal funds for family planning services could

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup>This item was not completed at all service sites: It was mandatory only for the sites funded by OEO and optional for the rest of the sites.

postpone their participation until the "final" system was implemented. As a result, only one of these nonfederally funded affiliates decided to enroll and participate in the PRSFPS.

The projects that were to participate in the PRSFPS were contacted by both NCHS and their funding agencies, told of the need to report, and asked to complete the Project Enrollment Form (reproduced in appendix IV-A). This form provided NCHS with a list of each project's service sites, expected patient load, family planning funding data, and other necessary administrative information. Upon receipt of the completed enrollment forms and the assignment of the project and service site identification numbers, each site was sent a supply of report forms, instruction manuals, and all materials necessary for their participation in the Provisional Reporting System.

Training the site personnel began in May 1969; most of the classes were held during May, June, and July of that year. The training was conducted at each project site; a full day was allowed for each session. The report form and the instruction manual, as well as the administrative details about joining the system and obtaining the reporting materials, were discussed at each training session. Ideally, everyone who was to handle the report form was to be trained with respect to that person's specific function, but unfortunately, this objective was not always met. An additional problem encountered was the rapid turnover of personnel at the sites; because of this turnover, the person who attended a training session was not always the one who carried out the necessary reporting procedures. A very detailed, self-explanatory instruction manual was, therefore, developed in an attempt to solve this problem.

Because the PRSFPS operated for 2½ years, the enrollment process was an ongoing function. New enrollments consisted of service sites that were newly opened during this time period and those that had been previously operating but were not included in the first enrollment phase because they were not family planning service grantees at that time. Ongoing training of new site personnel was found to be totally impractical; the constant need for training due to the rapid turnover of clinic personnel would have

resulted in excessive costs for both the travel involved and the maintenance of a staff of trainers by NCHS. The training manual was, therefore, relied on to fill this gap. Clinic personnel were also encouraged to call or write to NCHS about any reporting problems they encountered. In addition, a second major training program of representatives of all the enrolled sites was carried out during 1970.

Data collection and processing.—The PRF, the contents of which were discussed in the section, "The Report Form," was the data collection instrument for the PRSFPS. This form was completed for each patient visit at which family planning services were provided, except for those visits for which the sole purpose was to pick up contraceptive supplies.

The PRF was a two-part form consisting of an original and one carbon copy. The original was sent to NCHS for processing; the carbon remained at the reporting service site. In this way, the service site would keep a record of the information that was sent to the PRSFPS; many service sites also used the carbon copy as a part of each patient's medical record.

The completed PRF's were sent to NCHS from each service site either weekly or biweekly, depending on the volume of patient visits to the site. In addition, personnel at the sites were asked to collect and send to NCHS, on the last working day of each month, all of the report forms for that month that had not yet been submitted. This procedure was adopted in order to ensure both timely data and an evenly distributed workload for data processing.

Upon receipt, the PRF's were logged in, counted, and sent on for data processing. After the data had been put onto computer tape they were edited for both the consistency and the validity of the information.

Two types of consistency checks were made: The data were edited for internal consistency (for example, the same patient should not have received both contraceptive services and infertility services) and for consistency with any previous data that had been submitted (for example, the highest grade of school completed by a person could not decrease over time). The second type of consistency check was possible because the PRSFPS was developed under the

"patient string" concept. According to this concept, all of the data reported for a patient were kept together on the computer file. This was possible as long as the patient continued to receive services from the same family planning project, since the service sites assigned each patient an identification number that was unique to their project. The maintenance of the patient string made it possible to collect certain sociodemographic information about each patient only once rather than at each visit, thus reducing the reporting burden of personnel at the service sites. In addition, it greatly enhanced the research potential of the data in the Provisional Reporting System by making longitudinal studies possible.

Upon completion of the editing process, a processing report was produced for each service site (see appendix V-A). This report consisted of three parts: (1) the error report that provided a list of all of the "errors" (that is, invalid codes or inconsistent data) found during the editing procedures; (2) the summary report that presented a tabular summary of the records submitted, accepted, and rejected, the error conditions found, and the acceptance rates; and (3) the list of visit forms processed that was simply a list of the patient numbers for which forms were processed.

Each processing report, along with the applicable source documents, was reviewed by the data processing personnel; transcription, coding, and other errors were sent back to the computer for reprocessing. Each annotated processing report was then sent to the proper service site along with the forms that had been rejected and that NCHS had been unable to correct. Personnel at the service sites were instructed to examine their reports and the forms, make the necessary corrections, and resubmit the corrected forms to NCHS for reprocessing.

Files and maintenance.—There were two basic files in the PRSFPS:<sup>i</sup> (1) the Address File, which contained the name, address, and some funding information of each enrolled facility; and (2) the Patient Record File, which con-

tained the current sociodemographic information, a pregnancy history, and all of the service and visit information reported for each patient. Both files were updated periodically, either by adding new facility or patient records or by correcting information that had been incorrectly entered or had changed over time.

The Patient Record File was also kept current by periodically deleting all of the records of patients who had become inactive by not making a visit for family planning services for 15 months. The inactive patient records were not destroyed; rather, they were maintained on an Inactive Patient Record File so they would be available for longitudinal research studies.

#### **Output Tabulations**

The PRSFPS regularly produced monthly, quarterly, and annual tables, all at the service site, project, State, region, funding agency, and national levels. The tables showed summaries of the activities that had been reported to NCHS and that had occurred during the time period specified on each table. These tables were distributed to various levels of administration throughout the national family planning service program.

The eight table formats that were used are shown in appendix VI-A. Tables 1 and 2 gave basic patient and visit data by type of patient and medical services provided. These two tables were produced monthly, quarterly, and annually. They were distributed monthly to the service sites, projects, State agencies, Federal regional offices, and agency headquarters and quarterly and annually to the projects, State agencies, Federal regional offices, agency headquarters, and the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Population Affairs, DHEW.

Tables 3-6 were produced and distributed both quarterly and annually; they were sent to the projects, State agencies, Federal regional offices, agency headquarters, and the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Population Affairs. These tables included detailed cross-tabulations of se-

iOther files were created and used in the operation of the PRSFPS, but the Address File and the Patient Record File were the basic two.

jEach table recipient received only the tables that applied to the particular area or agency in question. However, NCHS retained copies of all tables.

lected sociodemographic characteristics of the patients served as well as the source of referral of the new patients. Information about the contraceptive method used at the last visit was also given.

Tables 7 and 8 were produced and distributed annually, and the distribution was the same as that used for tables 3-6. These tables showed additional cross-tabulations of selected sociodemographic characteristics of the patients served.

#### THE NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM

During the time in which the PRSFPS was operating, NCHS had begun to work on developing the "final" reporting system. This system, the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services, was implemented in January

1972. Since the NRSFPS is primarily a revised form of its predecessor, there are more similarities between the two systems than there are differences. The changes that were made were based on what was learned from operating the PRSFPS and were made in order to improve the collection, processing, and use of the data.

#### The Report Forms

The major data collection form used in the NRSFPS through 1976 was the Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services (CVR), shown in appendix III-B. This form contained 18 data items (2 of which were optional) and, like the Provisional Report Form, was to be completed each time a person made a visit to a participating service site for family planning services.

The information collected on the CVR was similar to that collected on the PRF; in fact,

Table A. Comparison of items on the Provisional Report Form for Family Planning Services (PRF) and the Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services (CVR) by type of information obtained, according to whether item remained the same, was modified, deleted, or added

Type of information and item		İt	em			
		Modified	Deleted <sup>1</sup>	Added <sup>2</sup>		
Identification information: Clinic/service site number Patient number	×					
Demographic information:  Birth date	x x	× × ×	x x	××		
Service and administrative information:  Visit date	×	× × × × ×	××	x		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Item was on the PRF but was not on the CVR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Item was on the CVR but was not on the PRF.

most of the items on the CVR were taken from the PRF either unchanged or slightly modified (see table A). Again, the entire CVR was completed the first time the form was filled out for a patient, and the items completed during revisits were limited to those that changed over time.

The CVR also contained a section for agency use only. This section greatly increased the flexibility of the NRSFPS because it allowed the participating agencies and service sites to record information relevant to their specific operations but not required for the national data system.

Summary information about the provision of nonmedical family planning services and medical services provided to nonfamily planning patients was collected on the Monthly Counts of Ancillary Services Sheet (MCAS), reproduced in appendix III-B. By using this mechanism, the participating family planning service sites were able to report and "get credit" for services they provided that could not be reported on the CVR.

#### **Operational Procedures**

The operating procedures of the NRSFPS were very similar to those of the PRSFPS; refinements and revisions were made where necessary in order to improve the efficiency and utility of the system. Table B summarizes the major differences between the operating procedures of the PRSFPS and the NRSFPS.

Enrollment and training of participants.— Any site providing family planning services was eligible to enroll and participate in the NRSFPS. A major effort in 1972, however, was made to enroll those programs that received family planning service funds from NCFPS, MCHS, or OEO or were affiliated with PP/WP because it was felt that these four agencies provided the bulk of the family planning clinic services in the United States. Later, when time and resources permitted, the other few remaining sites would be encouraged to enroll and participate in the NRSFPS.<sup>k</sup>

The primary list of participants in the NRSFPS was obtained from the PRSFPS; all of the service sites enrolled in the Provisional Reporting System were contacted and asked to "reenroll" in the National Reporting System. In addition, the names and addresses of new grantees were supplied to NCHS by MCHS, NCFPS, and OEO. Planned Parenthood also gave NCHS the names and addresses of its affiliates. All of these organizations were contacted by NCHS, and either their funding agency or PP/WP; informed of the necessity to participate in the National Reporting System; and asked to enroll their service sites that were not already in the system.

Upon receipt of the completed enrollment forms and the assignment of the project and service site identification numbers, NCHS sent each site a supply of the new report forms, instruction manuals, and the other administrative materials needed for reporting to the NRSFPS. In most cases, the sites that were "reenrolled" were reassigned the same numbers they had used in the PRSFPS.

Training for the NRSFPS was conducted late in 1971 and was carried out a little differently from what had been done in the past. For the Provisional Reporting System, an attempt had been made to personally train every individual who was to come into contact with the form. Because this procedure was found to be impractical, it was decided, for the National Reporting System, to train "trainers." That is, the intent was to train a few selected people, probably from the larger projects, in each area. These people, in turn, would be available to help others in the same region, State, or local area with their participation in the National Reporting System. Therefore, NCHS contacted each

this survey see Development of the National Inventory of Family Planning Services, by Gloria H. Kapantais and Donna Morrow, *Vital and Health Statistics*, Series 1, No. 12, DHEW Pub. No. (HRA) 76-1312, Jan. 1976.

kOther sites were identified through the National Inventory of Family Planning Service Sites, another survey conducted by NCHS. For more information on

Only those service sites receiving funds through title X were required to participate in the NRSFPS. All other sites were urged to participate in order that the National Reporting System would reflect the actual state of family planning clinic services in the United States. In addition, participating service sites did receive feedback from the NRSFPS that could be used for their own administrative and evaluative purposes.

Table B. Summary of major differences in operating procedures between the PRSFPS and the NRSFPS, by type of procedure

Operating procedure	PRSFPS	NRSFPS
Enrollment and training	Only certain family planning service programs eligible to participate—those funded by DHEW title V or X, those funded by OEO, or those affiliated with PP/WP. Emphasis on training each individual who was to handle the report form.	All family planning service programs in the United States and territories eligible to participate regardless of funding or affiliation status. Emphasis on training a few select individuals who would then be able to help others learn how to participate in the system.
Data collection and processing	Reporting done primarily on hard copy (Provisional Report Form).	Reporting done on both hard copy (Clinic Visit Record) and machine-readable form (computer cards or tape), with increasing emphasis on machine-readable form.
•	Very little imputation done resulting in many forms being rejected for incomplete or inconsistent reporting.	Many imputations done resulting in an increase in the acceptance rate. Forms no longer rejected for incomplete responses to noncritical items.
Files and maintenance	Two basic data files. Inactive patient records removed from file on an irregular basis.	Five basic data files. Inactive patient records removed from file on a regular basis.

regional office (MCHS, NCFPS, OEO, and PP/WP), informed them of the type of training that was to be provided, and asked them to get in touch with their grantees or affiliates to help in assuring that the appropriate people were sent to the training sessions.

The training procedure used for the National Reporting System was similar to that used for the Provisional Reporting System—the definitions, report forms, and instruction manual were reviewed, as well as other administrative details about joining and participating in the NRSFPS. The most valuable sessions were those which were attended by clinic personnel who had previously participated in the Provisional Reporting System. These people had experience in reporting to NCHS and were able to share this experience with the group.

Data collection and processing.—The patient visit data were submitted to the NRSFPS in one of two ways: on the CVR itself (hard copy) or on computer cards or tape (machine-readable form). The data collection and processing procedures used in the NRSFPS for the submitters of hard copy were very similar to those used in the PRSFPS; for example, the CVR's were submitted weekly or biweekly, and all of the remaining forms for a given month were sent in on the last working day of the month. As with the PRF, the CVR was a two-part form consist-

ing of an original, which was sent to NCHS, and a carbon copy, which was retained at the service site. The receipt and control procedures, as well as the conversion of the data into machinereadable form, were also very similar in the two systems.

The family planning programs that reported their data in machine-readable form through local computerized systems were instructed to report monthly. As the cards and tapes were received by NCHS, they were logged in and sent directly for computer processing. Such data, however, were accepted for the NRSFPS only after NCHS had determined that the definitions, data collection and processing procedures, and record formats of the local systems accorded with the standards and requirements of the NRSFPS. This clearance procedure was necessary because most of the local computerized systems used their own forms rather than the CVR. In these cases, the data items required by NCHS were incorporated into the local report form; only the required data items were submitted to NCHS.

The MCAS, the other data collection instrument used by the NRSFPS, was completed and submitted to NCHS monthly along with the last shipment of CVR's for the applicable month. Initially, card and tape submitters also had to report their ancillary services on the MCAS; this

was later changed, however, to allow these sites to report the ancillary services data, as well as the patient visit data, in machine-readable form.

All data, regardless of the form in which they were submitted, were subject to the same editing procedures once they were received by NCHS. As with the Provisional Reporting System, one result of the editing procedure was a processing report, similar to the one obtained from the Provisional Reporting System, for each site (a sample of this report is shown in appendix V-B). Each report that was produced for the submitters of hard copy was reviewed, annotated if necessary, and returned to the applicable site with any rejected records that could not be reprocessed by NCHS. Again, personnel at the sites were instructed to examine the reports for accuracy, make the necessary corrections, and resubmit any corrected forms to NCHS for reprocessing.

The processing reports for those sites reporting on cards or tape were sent back to the submitters without review by NCHS. This was necessary because NCHS did not have the original source documents with which to verify the data.

Although the general kinds of edits for the NRSFPS were the same as those used for the PRSFPS, specific edits had been modified where necessary. One major modification, for example, was the change that allowed the NRSFPS to make imputations and accept records that had failed selected edits. This is in contrast with the procedure that was used in the PRSFPS where every edit failure resulted in the total Visit Record being rejected; the valid information in the record, therefore, was not added to the data file until the record had been manually corrected and resubmitted by the service site or, more rarely, by NCHS. For example, in the Provisional Reporting System the pregnancy history information, such as the number of live births, could not decrease over time. If a decrease was reported, the record with that information on it would be rejected and returned to the reporting service site for correction and resubmission. In the National Reporting System, on the other hand, it would be assumed that the larger of the two numbers was correct; an "error" message would be printed on the processing report giving the patient number,

the edit, and the imputation made; the record would be accepted, and all of the information on it would be added to the data file. The service site would then be sent the processing report and, if the imputation made by NCHS was incorrect, could submit a "correction form" to the NRSFPS so the data file could be corrected. If the imputation was valid, no further action on the part of the service site was necessary.

Files and maintenance.—The following were the basic files used by the NRSFPS:<sup>m</sup>

The Facility Master File

The Skeleton Master File

The Patient Master File

The Purged Patients Master File

The Activity Master File

The Facility Master File was similar to the Address File in the PRSFPS; this was the file that contained the name, address, funding and Planned Parenthood affiliation data, the ancillary services data, and some other administrative data for each facility enrolled in the NRSFPS. This File was updated monthly by adding records for new facilities, deleting records of inactive facilities, or correcting information that had been incorrectly entered or had changed over time.

The Skeleton Master File consisted of one record for each active patient in the NRSFPS. Each record contained the most current information about each patient in terms of the individual's sociodemographic characteristics, most recent contraceptive method used, contraceptive usage before enrollment in the reporting family planning project, and the most recent visit date. This File was used primarily in the editing process and was updated constantly as new or corrected patient visit records were received and processed by the NRSFPS. In addition, the inactive patient records were deleted from this File every 3 months.

The Patient Master File contained all of the information on each CVR submitted to and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup>Again, as with the PRSFPS, other files were created and used in the operation of the NRSFPS, but these five were the basic files.

accepted by the NRSFPS for the active patients. These records were kept in a consecutive "visit string" for each patient; therefore, as long as a patient remained active, all visit records for each patient were stored together in this File. This File was updated quarterly by adding new records, changing incorrect data, and deleting the records of the patients who had become inactive. The deleted records were stored on the Purged Patients Master File.

The Activity Master File contained a historical record of facility participation in terms of its submission of patient visit data to the NRSFPS for each enrolled service site. This File was used for administrative and monitoring purposes and was updated monthly, based on each site's submission of patient visit data to the NRSFPS.

#### **Output Tabulations**

As table C indicates, the regular output tabulations from the NRSFPS were much more extensive than those of the PRSFPS (see appendix VI-B for the NRSFPS table formats). The output was again produced on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis; however, unlike the Provisional Reporting System, different sets of tables were developed for the different time periods. In addition, different types of tabulations were produced for each level of participant—service site, project, State, region, and funding agency or Planned Parenthood—and they were intended to be useful for program planning and evaluation at each level. Tables

Table C. Summary of major differences in the output tabulations between the PRSFPS and the NRSFPS

PRSFPS	NRSFPS
Eight different table formats	Twenty-seven different table formats
Some of the same table for- mats were used in producing the monthly, the quarterly, and the annual tabulations	Different table formats were used in producing the monthly, the quarterly, and the annual tabulations
Service sites received only monthly tabulations	Service sites received monthly, quarterly, and annual tabulations
No tables were regularly available for the general public	Some of the tables were pro- duced quarterly and annual- ly for the general public

were not sent to service sites and projects that reported through automated systems, however, because it was felt that such systems had been developed originally to meet the data needs of their family planning programs and, therefore, already provided them with the necessary tables. By eliminating feedback to these projects and service sites, unnecessary duplication of both effort and expense was avoided.

Both the monthly and the quarterly tabulations were designed for administrative and management purposes rather than research purposes. The monthly tables contained only basic patient counts and service information and were distributed to the participating service sites and projects, States, and regional offices. Distribution was usually completed within 4 weeks after the end of the given month.

The quarterly tables contained, in addition to basic patient and service counts, some demographic information about the patients served during the particular quarter. These tables were sent to the participating service sites, projects, States, regional offices, and national offices. Because an additional month was allowed for data collection for the quarterly tables, these tables were mailed within 2 months after the end of the particular quarter.

More detailed information about the demographic characteristics of the persons served and the services they had received was available from the annual tabulations. Because both research and management needs were considered in designing these tables, a much larger set of tabulations was distributed to the service sites, projects, States, regional offices, and national offices. Distribution of these tables was completed within 3 months after the end of the year.

Some of the tabulations from the NRSFPS were also regularly available for public distribution to persons requesting information about family planning clinic services and patients. Although no monthly data were distributed to the general public, basic patient counts by quarter were sent. In addition, since the annual tabulations were heavily oriented toward research needs, a much larger set of tables, containing both national and State data, was available to the general public.

Besides the regular tabulations, special tabulations from the NRSFPS have been produced and used for both research and management needs. Such tabulations have been requested by Federal, State, and local agencies for their own research, administrative, or management use. Costs for these tabulations, unless minimal, have generally been borne by the requester. All such requests are reviewed by NCHS to assure that the confidentiality of the data is protected before the tabulations are released.

## GROWTH AND FUTURE OF THE REPORTING SYSTEM

The 100-percent family planning reporting system<sup>n</sup> has grown rapidly during the 7 full years of its operation. As can be seen in table D, during 1970 some 890 service sites reported serving 415,000 patients who made 640,000 visits for family planning services; these figures have increased to 4,940 service sites reporting 3,248,000 patients who made 5,853,000 visits during 1975.

Table E shows the percent change from one year to the next of the number of participating service sites, patients served, and visits made as reported to NCHS. Although the increase in the number of participating sites seems to have leveled off, the number of patients served has consistently grown 20 to 30 percent each year since 1973. In addition, the number of family planning visits made by these persons has concurrently increased 30 to 40 percent each year over the same period of time.

The percent of records submitted to the NRSFPS through local automated data systems has also continued to increase over the 4 years for which this information is available. As can be seen in table F, approximately 65 percent of the records were received through these participating systems during 1975, up from the 47 percent submitted during 1973.

During 1976, work was undertaken to revise both the basic data collection document used by NRSFPS (the CVR) and the National Reporting

Table D. Number of participating service sites, family planning patients seen, and clinic visits made for family planning services as reported to the NCHS family planning reporting systems: United States, <sup>1</sup> 1970-75

Year	Service sites	Patients	Visits
		Number	
19702 19712 1972 <sup>3</sup> 1973 <sup>3</sup> 1974 <sup>3</sup> 1975 <sup>3</sup>	390 1,800 3,270 4,090 4,830 4,940	415,000 798,000 1,633,000 2,138,000 2,608,000 3,248,000	640,000 1,268,000 2,480,000 3,469,000 4,414,000 5,853,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam.

Table E. Percent increase in the number of participating service sites, family planning patients seen, and visits made for family planning services as reported to the NCHS family planning reporting systems: United States, 1 1970-75

Year	Percent increase from prior year			
i eai	Service sites	Patients	Visits	
1970 <sup>2</sup>	(3)	(3)	(3)	
19712	102.2	92.3	98.1	
1972 <sup>4</sup>	81.7	104.6	95.6	
1973 <sup>4</sup>	25.1	30.9	39.9	
1974 <sup>4</sup>	18.1	22.0	27.2	
1975 <sup>4</sup>	2.3	24.5	32.6	
		1		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam. <sup>2</sup>PRSFPS.

Table F. Percent of records submitted to the NRSFPS by automated and nonautomated submitters: United States, 1973-75

Year	8	Submitter	
	Total	Auto- mated	Non- auto- mated
		ent of rec submitted	
1973 1974 1975	100.0 100.0 100.0	47.2 56.5 65.4	52.8 43.5 34.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup>Includes both the PRSFPS and the NRSFPS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>PRSFPS. <sup>3</sup>NRSFPS.

Base year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>NRSFPS.

System itself. This revision was necessitated by the decision to convert the 100-percent National Reporting System to a sample survey in 1977. A sample survey would allow DHEW to take advantage of the benefits of sampling in terms of the efficiency, economy, and better quality control of the data and the data collection. In addition, sampling would allow resources previously directed toward 100-percent reporting to be redirected toward obtaining data from sample family planning service sites not previously in the NRSFPS. Since these sites are mostly those which are neither federally funded nor affiliated with PP/WP, the data from the National Reporting System would thus become representative of the total picture of family planning services in the clinic setting in the United States and its territories.

Although the data collected through the sample survey will be submitted to NCHS mainly in machine-readable form (punched cards or magnetic tape), sample sites may use the NCHS report form to collect the information required. As with the 100-percent NRSFPS, data will be accepted from local automated systems that are not using the NCHS report form only if their definitions, data collection and processing systems, and record formats are in accordance with the standards and requirements of the national survey.

Sampling will be done in two stages. First, a representative sample of about 1,500 service sites will be drawn from the total universe of all family planning service sites that could be identified in the United States and its territories. Second, a subsample of family planning visits made to these sites will be drawn, and the size of each subsample will depend on the size and location of each service site. Automated systems could submit all of the visit data for their sample service sites to NCHS; NCHS would then draw

these subsamples. Alternatively, each subsample could be drawn at the site by use of a log attached to the CVR's. The log will not be submitted to NCHS but will remain at the service site.

4

ķ

1

Since the new CVR is based on the old form, many of the items on the two forms are the same or similar (see appendix III-C for a sample of the new report form). The items on the new form can be categorized into three types: identification information, social and demographic information, and family planning service or clinical information.

The identification information consists only of two numbers—the service site number assigned to the site by the NCHS and the patient number assigned to the patient by the service site. Both of these numbers will be used only for purposes of quality control. Moreover, the only link between the patient number and the name of the patient will exist at the service site, as was previously the case.

Social and demographic information includes data on each person's birth date, race, sex, education, pregnancy history, income, and family size.

Family planning service information refers to patient status, date of the visit, and the medical services provided at the service sites. Information pertaining to the contraceptive usage of the patient, both prior to and as a result of the particular visit, is also collected.

Estimates at the national, regional, and State levels will be produced from the sample NRSFPS. Although the tabulation plans have not yet been finalized, it is anticipated that the estimates produced from the sample data will be used for the overall planning and evaluation of the family planning program at the national level. In addition, the data collected also will be useful for research purposes.

## **APPENDIXES**

#### **CONTENTS**

III. Sample Report Forms	I.	Abbreviations Used in This Report	17
A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services: Provisional Report Form for Family Planning Services.  B. National Reporting System for Family Planning Services (100-Percent Survey)  Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services (HRA-63) Rev. 1-76	II.		18
A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services: Project Enrollment Form	III.	A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services: Provisional Report Form for Family Planning Services	20 20 22 23 24
A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services  Sample: Error Conditions or Possible Oversights	IV.	A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services: Project Enrollment Form  B. National Reporting System for Family Planning Services (100-Percent Survey)  Project Data Sheet	26 26 29 31
Sample: Error Conditions or Inconsistencies	v.	A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services  Sample: Error Conditions or Possible Oversights	33 34 34
A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services: Tables 1-8  1 and 2. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by type of patient and type of service provided and number and percent of visits for family planning services, by type of service provided during visit		Sample: Error Conditions or Inconsistencies	35 36 37
and highest grade of school completed	VI.	<ul> <li>A. Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services: Tables 1-8 <ol> <li>and 2. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by type of patient and type of service provided and number and percent of visits for family planning services, by type of service provided during visit</li></ol></li></ul>	38 39 40 41 42 43

B.	National Rep	oorting System for Family Planning Services (100-Percent Survey)		
	Monthly Tables: M-1—M-3			
	M-1.	Family planning services and contraceptive method by type of visit and summary reports	45	
	340	Number of family planning patient visits by type of visit: each service site and project		
	М-2.	within State	46	
	3.4.0	Number of family planning patient visits by type of visit, by funding agency: each		
	М-3.	project and State within region	46	
	Ossantanly	Tables: Q-1—Q-9		
		Activity status of patients by selected patient characteristics	47	
	Q-1.	New female contraceptive patients by selected characteristics	49	
	Q-2.	New remaie contraceptive patients by selected characteristics	50	
	Q-3.	Patient visits by type of visit and type of services provided	50	
	Q-4.	Number and percent distribution of all patients by selected characteristics: each	51	
		State, project, and service site	91	
	Q-5.	Number and percent distribution of new patients by selected characteristics: each	51	
		State, project, and service site	91	
	Q-6.	Number of sterilization patients by selected characteristics: each State, project, and	¥ 0	
		service site	52	
	Q-7.	Number of infertility patients by selected characteristics: each State, project, and	<b>r</b> 0	
		service site	52	
	Q-8.	Number and percent distribution of female patients by type of patient and live births:		
		each State, project, and service site	53	
	Q-9.	Number of patients and visits for each project, State, and region, United States	53	
	Annual 7	Tables: A-1-A-7 and A-20-A-90 series		
	A-1.	Number of patients and visits for the United States, each region and State	54	
	A-2.	Number of patients and visits by State and funding source for each participating		
		project	54	
	A-3.	Number of patients and visits for each project and its service sites	54	
		Number of patients and visits for each Planned Parenthood affiliate	55	
	A-4.	Number of service sites, patients, and visits by project funding source for the United		
		States	55	
	A-5.	Number of service sites, patients, and visits by project funding source for each		
		region	55	
	A-6.	Number of service sites, patients, and patient visits by funding agency, each region,		
		and the United States	56	
	A-7.	Number of service sites, patients, and patient visits by region, State, and project for		
	12.74	each funding agency	56	
	A-20	Number of female patient visits by type of service provided for the United States	57	
	A-30	(N). Number of (new) female patients by age according to selected characteristics for		
		the United States	58	
	A-40	(N). Number of (new) patients by patient characteristics according to sex and age for		
		the United States	59	
	A-50	. Characteristics of patients receiving public assistance by age and parity for the United		
	1100	States	60	
	A-60	. Number of method changes by type of method after change according to type of		
		method before change and type of patient: female patients for the United States	61	
	A-70	-1. Number of new female patients by method prior to clinic enrollment and method		
	12.0	at last visit, and source of method prior to clinic enrollment for the United States	62	
	A-70	-2. Number of female patients by method prior to clinic enrollment, method at end of		
	11-70	initial visit, and method at end of last visit according to type of patient and type of		
		method for the United States		
	A 0A	Female contraceptive patients by contraceptive use prior to clinic enrollment accord-		
	A-00	ing to age and number of live births for the United States		
	A 00	Number of new female patients who are under 19 years by selected characteristics for		
	A-30	the United States		
		HIC OTHECH DERECOMMISSION		

#### **APPENDIX I**

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS REPORT

AFDC Aid to Families With Dependent Children

BCHS Bureau of Community Health Services

CB Children's Bureau

CVR Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services

DHEW Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

HRA Health Resources Administration

HSA Health Services Administration

HSMHA Health Services and Mental Health Administration

MCAS Monthly Counts of Ancillary Services Sheet

MCHS Maternal and Child Health Service

NCFPS National Center for Family Planning Services

NCHS National Center for Health Statistics

NRSFPS National Reporting System for Family Planning Services

OEO Office of Economic Opportunity

PP/WP Planned Parenthood/World Population

PRF Provisional Report Form for Family Planning Services

PRSFPS Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services

#### APPENDIX II

## AGENCIES AND PERSONS FROM WHOM COMMENTS AND INPUT WERE RECEIVED REGARDING THE FAMILY PLANNING REPORTING SYSTEMS

Although this list may not be complete, it is representative of the agencies and persons contacted who had input into the development of both the Provisional Reporting System for Family Planning Services and the National Reporting System for Family Planning Services.

#### Agencies

Office of Economic Opportunity

Planned Parenthood/World Population

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Office of the Secretary

Children's Bureau

Center for Disease Control

Food and Drug Administration

Indian Health Service

Maternal and Child Health Service

National Center for Family Planning Services

National Center for Health Statistics

#### Persons

David T. Allen, M.D., Tennessee State Department of Health

Samuel Baum, Bureau of the Census

Joseph Beasley, M.D., Louisiana Family Planning Program

Donald Bogue, Ph.D., University of Chicago

Arthur Campbell, Public Health Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Leslie Corsa, M.D., University of Michigan

Edwin Daily, M.D., New York City Health Department

Ralph Frankowski, Ph.D., Tulane University School of Medicine

Roberto Fuentes, District of Columbia Health Department

Louis M. Hellman, M.D., Downstate Medical Center, New York

Frederick S. Jaffe, Planned Parenthood/World Population

Schuyler Kohl, M.D., Downstate Medical Center, New York

Stephen Polgar, Ph.D., Planned Parenthood/World Population

Margaret Pratt, George Washington University

Jack Reynolds, Ph.D., Columbia University

Jeannie Rosoff, Planned Parenthood/World Population

Carl S. Shultz, M.D., Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of the Secretary

Eleanor Snyder, Ph.D., Planned Parenthood/World Population

Sheri Tepper, Rocky Mountain Planned Parenthood

Christopher Tietze, M.D., Population Council

George Varkey, Planned Parenthood/World Population

H. Bradley Wells, Ph.D., University of North Carolina

Charles Westoff, Ph.D., Princeton University

Gooloo ·S. Wunderlich, Ph.D., Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of the Secretary

#### APPENDIX III

#### SAMPLE REPORT FORMS

#### A. PROVISIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS, PHS, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE PROVISIONAL REPORT FORM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES PHONE NAME ADDRESS. PATIENT NO AGE All information which would permit identification of an individual or Ten Continuation Patients: Shaded Items Should be of a clinic will be held in strict confidence, will be used only by per-sons engaged in and for the purpose of the survey and will not be disclosed or released to others except for statistical purposes. Completed Every Visit. Other Items (except Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9) Should be Completed Only at "Annual" Medical Examination. (DETACH THIS PART AND RETAIN AT THE CLINIC) ON WHAT DATE DID YOUR LAST PREGNANCY TERMINATE? CLINIC NUMBER 15 MO. (NUMBER) DAY WHAT WAS THE OUTCOME OF YOUR LAST PREGNANCY? PATIENT NUMBER (CODE) (NUMBER) 17. TYPES OF MEDICAL SERVICE PATIENT TYPE 3. (CODES) (CODE) 18. CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD ADOPTED AT THIS VISIT DATE AND SESSION OF VISIT SESSION (CODE) MO. DAY INFERTILITY SERVICES BIRTH DATE 5. (CODES) MO. COUNSELING & REFERRALS (Except Infertility Services) ETHNIC DESIGNATION 6. (CODES) a. Counseling (CODES) 7. WHO REFERRED YOU TO US? b. Referrals DATE OF NEXT APPOINTMENT HAVE YOU RECEIVED FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES FROM A DOCTOR OR CLINIC WITHIN THE LAST TWO YEARS? YR. 8. (YES OR NO) TYPE OF NEXT CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD USED IN THE LAST TWO YEARS (CODE) 9. (CODE) 23. CURRENT RESIDENCE (CODES) WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED? a. County Code 10. (CODE) (CODES) b. Location Code 11. INCOME AND FAMILY SIZE 24. LOCAL USE (WHOLE DOLLARS) a. How Much is Your Family's Weekly Income? (NUMBER) b. How Many People In Your Family Are Supported By This Income? 24. b. 12. IS YOUR FAMILY RECEIVING ASSISTANCE FROM A PUBLIC WELFARE AGENCY? (CODES) 24. с. 13. ARE YOU REGISTERED FOR MEDICAID? (YES OR NO) 24. d. (YES OR NO) 14. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN PREGNANT? If Yes, Provide: (NUMBER) REMARKS: a. Number of Children Born Dead (Anytime After Conception) (NUMBER) b. Number of Live Births (NUMBER) c. Number of Children Who Were Born

(NUMBER)

Bureau of the Budget Approval 68-R-1137

Live But Died Before One Month

d. Number of Children Now Living

## CODES FOR COMPLETING PROVISIONAL REPORT FORM FAMILY PLANNING SERVICE

3	Patient Type:         (code)         (code)         (code)           New	16)	What was the Outcome of Your Last Pregnancy? BORN LIVE: BORN DEAD: (Code) (Code)
<b>④</b> <b>⑥</b>	Session of Visit:       (Code)       (Code)         Morning       5       Afternoon       7         Evening       9       6       (Code)       (Code)	100	Term (5½ lbs. or more). 1   Term (5½ lbs. or more). 6   Low Weight 4   Other 9
	Puerto Rican 4 Other 9	_	
7)	Who Referred You to Us?	18	${\it Contraceptive Method\ Adopted\ at\ this\ Visit:}$
$\omega$	(Select Only One Code) (Code)		(Select Only One Code) (Code) No Change From Previous Method
	Program Personnel		Code   Code   Code
	Continue and in Advantage of the set in the	19	Infertility Services: (Code) (Code)
9	Contraceptive Method Used in the Last Two Years: (Solect Code for Most Frequently Used Method)		Treatment         1         Referral         3           Counseling         2         No Infertility Services Provided         0
	(Code)         (Code)           None         1         Diaphragm         5           Oral         2         Foam         6           IUD         3         Rhythm         7           Condom         4         Other         8	20	Counseling and Referrals: (Except Infertility)  Contraceptive Counseling 1  Other Counseling 2
110	What is the Highest Grade of School  Completed?  None Elementary School High School (Code) 0 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 9, 10, 11, or 12  College More Than 4 Years College (Code) 13, 14, 15, or 16 17		No Counseling Provided         3           Referral From This Clinic for:         5           Medical Services         5           Social Services         6           No Referrals         7           Type of Next Appointment:
12)	Is Your Family Receiving Assistance from a Public Welfare Agency?  (Code) (Code)  No	22)	Annual Medical

## B. NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES (100-PERCENT SURVEY)

#### CLINIC VISIT RECORD FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

S.DEPARTMENTOPHEALTH, EDUCATION	NAME			PREPARED	CHECKED
AND WELFARE	(FIRST)	(MIDDLE)	(LAST) (MAIDEN)		
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION	ADDRESS			,	
HATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS	ADDRESS	(STREET)			NO.
name in a minimum	· (CIMV)	/8'	TATE) (ZIP CODE) (PHONE NO.)		
	(CITY)		identification of an individual will be held in strict confidence, will		
be used only by perse	ons engaged in and for the	purpose of the survey, and	deministration of an individual willocated in struct communication will not be disclosed or released by NCHS to others except for the patient's providing any information on this form.		
• • •			ETAIN AT SERVICE SITE)		
1. SERVICE SITE NUMBER	R		Has a Clinic Visit Record been submitted to the NCHS Nation Reporting System for Family Planning Services for this patient during the last 15 months?	on <b>al</b>	
		NUMBER	No Complete items 10 through 18 below		
	l i	I I I I	Yes - then:	YES	NO
2. PATIENT NUMBER		NUMBER	Is this the first Record completed for this patient this year?  Yes - Complete only Items 10, 11, 12 below	YES	NO
			□ No - Stop here	<del> </del>	
3. DATE OF VISIT		MO. DAY YR.	10. Pregnancy History	NUM	BER
4. TYPE OF VISIT		<u> </u>	a. Number of Live Births	AUTTA	1BER
Initial Visit     Revisit (first visit this y	venr)		b. Number of Fetal Deaths (Stillbirths, Abortions & Miscarriages)		
3 Revisit (not first visit th	his year)	CODE	c. Number of Children New Living	NUM	ABER
5. DATE OF BIRTH		MO. DAY YR.	Welfare Status		
o, Data or binin		Mo. DAI			
6. Services Provided			Are You or Anyone in Your Family	YES	NO
a. MEDICAL	SERVICES	~ <i>\alpha   \text{\gamma}</i>	Receiving Public Assistance?	YES	NO
1 Pap. Smear		UIMIN	b. Are You or Anyone in Your Family Registered for Medicaid?	YES	NO
2 Pelvic Exam	T Urinalysis (n.e.s.)	6170			
3 Breast Exam 4 Blood Pressure	Blood Test(n.e.s.) Sterilization	70 E48	12. Highest Grade of School		
5 Pregnancy Testing	Infertility Treatment		Completed		
6 V.D. Testing	☑ Other	L	None Elementary School High School		
b. COUNS	SELING		Code 0 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,		
1 Sterilization 2 Contraception	3 Infertility 4 Other	CODES	College More Than 4 Years College Code 13, 14, 15 or 16 17	CO	DE
7. Referred Elsewhere		<u> </u>	13. Place of City & State	(Country if	not U.S.)
0 None	Infertility Services		Birth (OPTIONAL)		
	Other Medical Services Social Services	CODES	14. Latin-American Origin	- Wee	310
[2] Stermanton	V Social Services		or Descent	YES	NO
8. Contraceptive Method at	the End of This Visit	A	15. Race [] White [3] Am. Ind.	CO	DE
a. Method:			2 Black 4 Other	<u> </u>	
	Injection		16. Sex	CO	DE
	Sterilization     Other		I Female 2 Male	ļ	
4 Foam	0 None	CODES	17. Source of Referral		
5 Rhythm 6 Condom	Interim Method		1 Outreach Worker 2 Other FP Clinic 6 Another Clinic Patient		
I -			3 Hospital, or 7 Family or Friend		
b. If None, give reason			Other Heulth Agency 8 TV, Radio, Paper Ad. Private Doctor or Nurse 9 Other		
	Seeking Pregnancy  Other	CODE	5 Welfare Agency ① Unknown	CO	DE
9. Next Appointment		MO. DAY YR.	18. Contraceptive History	1770	NO
b. Purpose (OPTIONAL)	<u>)                                    </u>		a. Have You Ever Used Any Method to Prevent Pregnancy		
	4 Other 5 No Next Appointment	PURPOSE CODE	b. Are You Currently Using Contraception?	YES	NO
C Ower Medical	-		c. What is the Last Method Used? (Check One)  [] Oral  [] Rhythm		
AGENCY USE			2 IUD & Condom 3 Disphragm 7 Injection	CO	DE
NCFPS OEO	MCHS PP-WP NO	HS LOCAL	Foam B Other	1	
a			d. Who Prescribed that Method:  [] Clink:  [] Store (non-prescription)	CC	DDE
b.			2 Private Doctor 4 Other	J	
C.	<del>                                     </del>				
d.			11		
е.	<del>                                     </del>		JJ		
<u>f.</u>	<del></del>				

HRA-63 REV. 1-76

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. - 68-R-1137

## MONTHLY COUNTS OF ANCILLARY SERVICES SHEET

#### NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

Date	**************************************
Service Site Number	
Project Number	
City	Signe
State	
The month in which ancillary services	were provided
The total monthly counts of ancillary	services provided at this service site:
Medical Services	
Supply Visits	
Outreach Contacts	
Attendees at Lecture Sessions	
Telephone Contacts	
Other Services	

NOTE: Please refer to the Administrative Manual, Section VI. Reporting Ancillary Services to NCHS, for detailed instructions.

Send all shipments to:

•

INFORMATICS INC. 6425 Landover Road Cheverly, Maryland 20785

ATTENTION: FAMILY PLANNING DIVISION

## C. NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES (SAMPLE SURVEY)

#### в 002131

# **FAMILY PLANNING VISIT LOG** Ask the patient: "Are you here to see a family planning provider (physician, nurse, allied health personnel) about obtaining health services related to contraception, infertility treatment, or sterilization?" If the patient says "yes," enter his/her name on the lines below, Complete the clinic Visit Record for the last name entered on the log. FOR SERVICE SITE USE ONLY **PATIENT'S NAME** DATE OF VISIT 2. Record Items 1-14 for this patient. DETACH HERE AND RETAIN AT SERVICE SITE

CONTINUE LISTING PATIENTS ON NEXT PAGE.

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

#### Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services

1

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS Clinic Visit Record for Family Planning Services	ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY-All information which would permit identification of an individual, a practice, or an establishment will be held confidential, will be used only by persons engaged in and for the purposes of the survey and will not be disclosed or released to other persons or used for any other purpose. Provision of services is in no way contingent on the patient's providing any information for		
1. SERVICE NUMBER	this form.  11. PREGNANCY HISTORY (Females only)		
Number  2. PATIENT NUMBER Number	A. Have you ever been pregnant?  a Yes b No - Go to 12		
3. DATE OF THIS VISIT	B. How many live births have you had?     C. Of these, how many are now living?		
Month Day Year  4. PATIENT'S SEX	D. How many of your pregnancies were ended by stillbirth,		
a Female b Male	induced abortion, or miscarriage? (If "zero," go to F )  E. How many of these pregnancies were ended by induced		
5. ARE YOU OF HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT?  HAND CARD A a Yes b No	abortion since January 1973?  F. In what month and year did your last pregnancy end		
6. PATIENT'S RACE (Check one box)	(regardless of how it ended)?  Month Year		
a 🔲 White c 🔲 Asian or Pacific Islander	12. CONTRACEPTIVE HISTORY		
b 🔲 Black d 📋 American Indian or Alaskan Native	A. Have you ever used a method of birth control regularly?		
7. WHAT IS YOUR BIRTH DATE?	a $\square$ Yes b $\square$ No $\rightarrow$ Go to 13		
a Date Month Day Year	HAND CARD D  B. What method did you last use regularly? (Check all methods that apply)		
b If unknown ask · "How old are you"?	a Sterilization f Condom		
(No. of Years)	b Oral (Pill) g Foam/Jelly/Cream c IUD h Natural (including phythm)		
8. PATIENT STATUS	c   IUD h   Natural (including rhythm) d   Diaphragm j   Other		
Have you <u>ever</u> been a patient of this or any other clinic for family planning <u>medical</u> services?	e 🔲 Injection		
a Ves b No	C. Do you currently use that method (primary method checked in 12B)?		
If "Yes," when were you last a patient at any clinic for family planning medical services? — Month Year	a ☐ Yes→Go to E b ☐ No		
9. EDUCATION	D. In what month and year did you stop using that method?		
A. What is the highest grade (or year) of regular school you have completed?	E. How long did you use that method?		
(Circle one number)	Days (if less than a month)		
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17+ (If "zero," go to 10)	Months (if less than a year)		
B. Are you presently a student in a regular school?	Years  F. Where was the method prescribed or obtained?		
a 🔲 Yes	a This service site Programme Transcription		
b 🗌 No	b Clinic (If other than this site) f Other		
	c Hospital (if other than this site) g Unknown		
10. FAMILY INCOME AND FAMILY SIZE  HAND CARD B and HAND CARD C	d Private physician		
A. Which of the following groups represents your total combined gross	13. MEDICAL SERVICES PROVIDED AT THIS VISIT		
(before deductions) family income for the past 12 months?	a Pap smear g Urinalysis (n.e.s.)		
a 0.\$1,249 d \$6,250.\$8,749 g \$18,750+ b \$1,250.\$3,749 e \$8,750.\$13,749 h Unknown	b Pelvic exam h Blood test (n.e.s.) c Breast exam j Sterilization		
b \$1,250-\$3,749 e \$8,750-\$13,749 h Unknown c \$3,750-\$6,249 f \$13,750-\$18,749	d Blood pressure k Infertility treatment		
B. How many people are in your family, that is, the number	e Pregnancy testing m Other medical services f V.D. testing		
supported by this income?  C. Does this income include any public assistance?			
a Yes b No	14. CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD AT THE END OF THIS VISIT		
D. What is your relationship to the chief earner?	A. Method (Check all that apply)		
a Chief-earner c Daughter/Son	a		
b Wife/Husband d Other relative	b		
AGENCY USE ONLY	d Diaphragm j Other		
A B C D Ε F 1.	e Injection k None  B. If "None," give reason (Check one only)		
2.	a Pregnant d Other medical reasons		
3.	b infertility patient e Relying on partner's method		
4.	c Seeking pregnancy f Other		
5.			
	HRA-192-2 6/77		

002131

O.M.B. 68-R1137

**EXPIRATION DATE 12/31/77** 

#### APPENDIX IV

### SAMPLE ENROLLMENT FORMS

#### A. PROVISIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

#### PROJECT ENROLLMENT FORM

1.	Name of Project:				
	("Project" refers to the program receiving a grant. A number of family planning clinics may be funded by one project grant.)				
2.	Name of Project Director:				
3.	Address of Project: Street Address				
	Citta State, Zip Code				
	Telephone Number: 'Area Code 'Area Code				
4.	How many clinic sites are part your project? Include project headquarters if a clinic is operated at that location.				
	Number				
	("Clinic site" is used to refer to the smallest unit directly providing family planning services to patients.)				
5.	How many family planning staff members are in each of the following cate- gories in your project?				
	a) Laboratory Assistants: b) Social Workers: c) Administrative Personnel: d) Clerical Personnel: e) M.D.: f) PN/LPN: g) Nursing Assistants: h) Laboratory Technicians:				

GO TO QUESTION 6

13. Your project will receive computer processing reports from us each week. Please list the names of project staff members who can be contacted regarding these reports:

Are any patient numbers more than 6 digits long? ( ) Yes ( ) No

12.

14. Should clarification or correction of the weekly computer processing report be necessary, we would prefer to notify only the project which in turn would contact the person marking the form. Should you prefer that we communicate directly with the clinic concerned, please mark this box. ()

GO TO QUESTION 15

15.	ing	l in the following information for each clinic in your project offer- family planning services (if more space is needed, please give the cified information on separate pages):
	1.	Name of Clinic: Name of Administrator: Street Address: City and State:
	2.	Name of Clinic: Name of Administrator: Street Address: City and State:
	3.	Name of Clinic: Name of Administrator: Street Address: City and State:
	4.	Name of Clinic: Name of Administrator: Street Address: City and State:
	5.	Name of Clinic: Name of Administrator: Street Address: City and State:
	(Si	gnature) Name Title Date

## B. NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES (100-PERCENT SURVEY)

Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Public Health Service
Health Resources Administration
National Center for Health Statistics
National Reporting System for Family Planning Services

		PROJECT DATA SHEET	
a.	Name of Project:		
ъ.	Project Mailing Address:		
		Number	Street
	City or Town	State	Zip Code
Ent	ter the name of the Projec	t Director:	
Tel	Lephone (Area Code)	Nûmbe	Extension
fur	w many family planning ser nds from this project? npleted for each service s	vice sites are overared by or service sites. (A service ite india ted above.)	r received any part of the site data sheet must be
a.	Does this project have a	method for numbering patien	ts? No; Yes
ъ.		e service site in this projed er in all of the service site	
a.	In what format will this one)	project's patient visit data	a be sent to NCHS? (Check
		ord and Monthly Counts of Anc	illary Services
		Ancillary Services only format and Monthly Counts of format	Ancillary Services
ъ.	If punched cards or magn the data system:	etic tapes are checked above	, please enter the name of
	the data system:	etic tapes are checked above	
Is If	the data system:  this project affiliated w		Population?No;
Is If	the data system:  this project affiliated w  YES, enter the name of th	rith Planned Parenthood/World	Population?No;

for this	Please indicate, within the appropriate categories below, the percentage of funding for this project's total budget for family planning services, and list all corresponding grant numbers where applicable:						
Federal F	'unds		Percent	Grant No.(s)			
DEPARTMEN	T OF HEALTH, EDUCATION	N, AND WELFARE					
	al Center for Family F						
Materna	al and Child Health Se	rvices (Title V)					
	OHEW Funds (specify so XIX, Title XX, etc.):	ource, Title IV-A,					
			<u> </u>				
		200		-			
Other F	Federal Agencies (spec	ify source):	3/2				
Covernmen	nt Funds Other Than Fe	ederal: Clay	)				
State 0	Government	<sup>®</sup> "					
Local G	Government						
Private (	Private (specify source):						
Source_							
		Total	100%				
Person supplyi	ng this information:	Name		Job Title			
Telephone (Are	ea Code)	Number		Extension			
Date Completed	Month Day	Year					
RETURN TO:	INFORMATICS INC. 6425 LANDOVER ROAD CHEVERLY, MARYLAND	20785					
	(301) 772-3222						

## Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service Health Resources Administration National Center for Health Statistics National Reporting System for Family Planning Services

		SERVICE SIT	TE DATA SHEET	
а.	Name of Service Site:			
ъ.	Service Site Address:			
		No.	Street	P.O. Box, Route, etc
	City or Town		State	Zip Code
c.	Enter mailing address	for this servic	e site if different	from the above address:
			a R	
d.	System For Family Plan	art of a progra	William Street	in the National Reporting
	Yes	Elli)	700	NCHS Project Number
e.	Is this service site a	mobile unit?	No: Yes	-
	Nam	е		
	Telephone Number	(Area Code)	Ext	ension
Is	this service site affil:	iated with Plan	ned Parenthood/World	d Population?
		racca with time	ned Tarenemood, worr	d Topulation:
	Yes			
	YES, enter the name of the longs:	the Planned Par	enthood affiliate to	o which this service
of	this service site will he Ancillary Services Sheet month:  Number	t, please enter		ord and the Monthly Counts ent visit load expected
a.	In what format will the	is service site	's patient visit da	ta be sent to NCHS?
	NCHS Clinic Visit Rec			ry Services

5.	b. If punched cards or magnetic tape are checked above, please enter the name of the data system:						
6.	Please indicate, within the appropriate categories below, the percentage of funding this service site's total budget for family planning services, and list all corresponding grant numbers where applicable:						
	FEDERAL FUNI	DS		PERCENT	GRANT NO.(s)		
	DEPARTMENT (	OF HEALTH, EDUCATION	AND WELFARE				
		Center for Family Pla					
	Maternal a	and Child Health Serv	rice (Title V)	<del></del>			
		W Funds (specify sour , Title XX, etc.)	cce, Title IV-A,		•		
				77			
	Other Fede	eral Agencies (specif	y source)				
	Governmen	t Funds Other Than Fe	eder Q 1				
	State Government						
	Local G	overnment					
	Private (	Private (specify source):					
	Source_						
			Total	100%			
Pers	on supplying	this information:	·				
		1	Vame		Job Title		
Tele	phone (Area	Code) ì	Tumber		Extension		
Date		D Voor					
	Month	Day Year					
RETU	IRN TO:	INFORMATICS INC. 6425 LANDOVER ROAI CHEVERLY, MARYLANI					
		(301) 772-3222					

## APPENDIX V

## SAMPLE PROCESSING REPORTS

## A. PROVISIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

CLINIC YYYY (REGION 01, STATE 00, PROGRAM XXXX)

THE FOLLOWING ERROR CONDITIONS OR POSSIBLE OVERSIGHTS WERE FOUND IN THE RECORDS SUBMITTED:

PATIENT NUMBER	INPUT ORDER	FIELD NUMBER	CONDITION ENCOUNTERED AND ACTION TAKEN	IS RECORD ACCEPTED	CONTENTS OF FIELD
52	3	14 23 18 22	A FIELD REQUIRED FOR THIS TYPE OF PATIENT IS OMITTED OR INCOMPLETE. THE FIELD (OR A PORTION OF THE FIELD) IS OMITTED OR MISCODED. ADOPTED METHOD GIVES THE SAME METHOD AS ITEM 9, YET "CHANGE" IS INDICATED. "NONE" CODED FOR NEXT APPOINTMENT TYPE, YET ITEM 21 HAS AN APPOINTMENT DATE.	NO	000000 9 0169
53	31	14 22	"YES" GIVEN TO EVER PREGNANT, YET THE HISTORY IS MISCODED OR INCOMPLETE. "NONE" CODED FOR NEXT APPOINTMENT TYPE, YET TEM 21 HAS AN APPOINTMENT DATE.	NO	111 0169
54	12	14 23	"YES" GIVEN TO EVER PREGNANT, YET THE USTON AS MISCODED OR INCOMPLETE. THE FIELD (OR A PORTION OF THE FIELD AS OMITTED OR MISCODED.	NO	11 1 00000X
55	13	22 3	THE FIELD IS MISCODED. THE FIELD (OR A PORTION OF THE FIFL OF MISCODED.	NO	
249	. 41	2	THIS BIRTH DATE DOES 10 WARD THAT PREVIOUSLY RECORDED FOR THIS PATIENT. PREVIOUS RECORD: PATIENT 10 0000249, BIRTH DATE=12/25/49, BIRTHS=1, LIVING CHILDREN=1 DEATHS; FETAL=0, NEONATAL=0, LAST VISIT IN 08/68.	RP	122549
1003	44	4	LIVE BIRTHS MUST BE EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN THAT PREVIOUSLY RECORDED. PREVIOUS RECORD: PATIENT NO0001003, BIRTH DATE-7/2/38, BIRTHS-7, LIVING CHILDREN-7, DEATHS; FETAL-0, NEONATAL-0, LAST VISIT IN 05/69.	NO	0
2229	64	2	THIS BIRTH DATE DOES NOT MATCH THAT PREVIOUSLY RECORDED FOR THIS PATIENT. PREVIOUS RECORD: PATIENT NO0002229, BIRTH DATE=4/25/41, BIRTHS=4, LIVING CHILDREN=3, DEATHS; FETAL=0, NEONATAL=1, LAST VISIT IN 06/68.	NO	042547

# Clinic YYYY Agency 1, Region 01, State 00, Program XXXX Summary of Records Submitted for the Period Ending

February	4, 1969
----------	---------

Type of Record	Records Submitted	Records Accepted	Records Rejected	Number of Error Conditions	Acceptance Rate
New Patient Visits	100	48	52	70	48%
New-to-System Visit	s 100	72	28	40	72%
Cont. Pat. Visits	50	41	1 1/2 2	32	80%
Readmis. Pat. Visit	s 0	MIN S		0	0%
Correction Forms	0	Digital	0	0	0%
Total Records	250	161	89	142	68%

Forms reprocessed  $\underline{1}$ 

Forms returned 89

Clinic YYYY (Agency 1, Region 01, State 00, Program XXXX)

List of Forms Processed for the Period Ending

## February 4, 1969

Patient Number on Form	Patient Number on Form	Patient Number on Form	Patient Number on Form	Patient Number on Form
on Form  0000007 0000010 0000011 0000016 0000029 0000035 0000036 0000048 0000051 0000052* 0000053*	on Form  0000129 0000145 0000146 0000151 0000249* 0000256 0000876 0001003* 0001334 0001556 0002229*			
0000054* 0000055 0000056 0000058 0000059 0000069 0000072 0000072 0000078 0000090 0000092 0000099 0000101 0000112 0000117 0000119 0000125	0002557 0002559 0003446* 0003449 0003567 etc.	Salmu		

## B. NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES (100-PERCENT SURVEY)

CLINIC YYYY IN PROJECT XXXX

THE FOLLOHING ERROR CONDITIONS OR INCONSISTENCIES WERE FOUND IN THE RECORDS SUBMITTED. IF ANY ASSUMPTIONS ARE IN ERROR SUBMIT A CORRECTION FORM---SEE SECTION I OF HANDBOOK.

PATIENT NUMBER	DATE OF VISIT	ITEM NUMBER	CONDITION ENCOUNTERED	IS RECORD ACCEPTED	ITEM CONTENTS BEFORE EDIT	ITEM CONTENTS AFTER EDIT	CHECK NUMBER (1)
000020346	051376	5	YEAR AND MONTH OF BIRTH DATE DOES NOT MATCH PRIOR RECORD PRIOR RECORD: BIRTH DATE=5601, BIRTHS=0, LIVING CHILDREN=0, FETAL DEATHS=0, VISIT DATE=7603. CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD IS ORAL	YES	090956	010956	000
000021439	050376	5	YEAR AND MONTH OF BIRTH DATE DOES NOT MATCH PRIOR RECORD PRIOR RECORD: BIRTH DATE=5212, BIRTHS= 0, LIVING CHILDREN=0 DEATHS=0, VISIT DATE=7510. CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD IS ORAL	RP	020552	120552	000
000026305	052576	5	YEAR AND MONTH OF BIRTH DATE DOES NOT MATCH PRIOR DECREA PRIOR RECORD: BIRTH DATE=4705, BIRTHS= 4, LIVING CUTANAENE4, AFTAL DEATHS=1, VISIT DATE=7505. CONTRACEPTIVE METROL SSERA	YES	071547	051547	000
000026331	052076	5	YEAR AND MONTH OF BIRTH DATE DOES NOT MATCH PRIOR RCURD PRIOR RECORD: BIRTH DATE=5808, BIRTHS= 0 LAVNIA CHINDEN=0, FETAL DEATHS=0, VISIT DATE=7505. CONTENSE PT WE FETALOR TO REAL	YES	080359	080358	000
000026348	052776	5	YEAR AND MONTH OF BIRTH DATE DOSS HET MATCH PRIOR RECORD PRIOR RECORD: BIRTH DATE-5617, BIRTHS OF TYING CHILDREN O, FETAL DEATHS O, VISIT DATE-7505. CONTRACT PRIVE METHOD IS ORAL	YES	070557	070556	000
000030480	050476	5	YEAR AND MONTH OF BIRTH DATE DOES NOT MATCH PRIOR RECORD PRIOR RECORD: BIRTH DATE=6005, BIRTHS=0, LIVING CHILDREN=0, FETAL DEATHS=0, VISIT DATE=7604. CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD IS ORAL	YES	022460	052460	000
000033136	050476	5	AGE OF PATIENT INVALID	NQ	042576		000
		12	HIGH GRADE TOO HIGH FOR PATIENTS AGE		12	03	
000033159	051176	5	AGE OF PATIENT INVALID	RP	031756		000
		12	HIGH GRADE TOO HIGH FOR PATIENTS AGE		14	03	

<sup>1</sup> THE CHECK NUMBER COLUMN IS FOR COMPUTER CENTER USE ONLY. RP=REPROCESSED

#### CLINIC YYYY IN PROJECT XXXX

#### SUMMARY OF RECORDS SUBMITTED FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 07/07/76

TYPE OF RECORD	NUMBER OF RECORDS SUBMITTED	NUMBER OF RECORDS ACCEPTED	NUMBER OF Records rejected	ACCEPTANCE RATES
INITIAL VISITS	251	250	1	99.2
READMISSIONS	0	0	0	.0
REVISITS-TYPE 2 OR 3-NEW TO SYSTE	EM 24	24	0	100.0
REVISITS-TYPE 2 OR 3-OTHER	381	380	. 1	99.7
VISITS UNCLASSIFIED/UNPROCESSED	0	~ W 15	<b>3</b> 0	.0
TYPE-1 MAINTENANCE	0	ariki N	6	.0
TYPE-2 MAINTENANCE	0 n	111812	0	.0
VISIT DELETIONS	<i>രിപ്</i>	INIU .	0	.0
PATIENT DELETIONS	12/18		0	.0
TOTAL RECORDS	B) c	654	2	99.5

FORMS REPROCESSED 1

FORMS RETURNED 2

#### NRSFPS SYSTEM MESSAGES

#### ..... ..... ......

CHECK FORMS FOR CORRECT CLINIC NUMBER BEFORE SUBMITTING.

CHECK FORMS FOR CORRECT BIRTHDATES BEFORE SUBMITTING.

WHEN CORRECTING AN ITEM ON A REJECTED FORM PLEASE DO NOT FILL OUT A NEW FORM FOR THAT PATIENT. SIMPLY MARK THROUGH THE INCORRECT INFORMATION. WRITE IN THE CORRECT INFORMATION AND RESUBMIT THE FORM. THANK YOU-HAVE A NICE DAY

\*

## LIST OF FURMS PROCESSED FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 07/07/76

PATIFNI	DATE	PATIENT	CATE	FATIENT	DATE	PATIENT	DATE	PATIENT	CATE	PATIENT	DATE
NUMBERS	CF	NUMBERS	CF	AL MBERS	ΩF	NUMBERS	CF	NLMEERS	OF	NUMBERS	OF
CN FCPMS	VISIT	CN FORMS	VISIT	CN FORMS	VISIT	ON FORMS	TIZIV	ON FCRMS	VISIT	ON FORMS	VISIT
GC CO O C CO 6	050476	(CLC03935	052176	CCCC09199	050876	000014819	051876	000019866	050676	000021933	052776
ССОООССь3	052076	000003999	051276	000009207	359676	000014836	050376	300019868	051776	000022014	060176
CCCOCCC71	U52976	000004032	052176	000009273	051076	000014895	050676	000019894	050876	000022146	052976
000000176	051776	CCCC04289	060176	CC0C09281	051276	000014951	060176	000019897	050676	000022155	050676
000000239	050476	000004566	050476	000009824	050476	000015012	051176	000019907	051876	000022256	052076
GC000G349	052076	(CCC04618	C51576	CCC009828	051876	00C015057	060176	000019951	050176	000022282	052076
CC00CC365	U51576	CCC0 246 49	051576	000009879	050376	000015131	051976	000019951	052476	000022285	052276
CCOCCC410	052576	CCCCC4760	052576	CCC010007	051G76	000015187	051776	000019971	050876	000022475	051576
GC00000469	052676	000004808	052576	000010008	052976	000015311	052576	00C019994*	050476	000022507	051876
000000492	J5 )476	000005107	052576	000010077	051576	000015376	050676	000020018	050476	000022576	050476
UC00CC684	042 076	CCCC05123	052076	CC0010515	051576	000015377	050176	000020037	050476	000022586	050676
CL0C00766	953676	000005213	052276	000010603	352776	300015496	051876	000020040	051176	000022774	051576
CCC00C927	052276	CCCC053C3	051476	000010620.	051776	000015585	052676	000020043	051076	000022780	051176
CCOOCCS67	051876	000005354	G6C176	000011058	050476	000015713	051076	000020086	052276	C00022857	051576
000001061	053176	C10C05453	052776	000011159	051176	000015781	051876	000020092	051876	000022882	051576
C00001CF2	051476	CCCC05487	C51776	CCCC11558	052076	000015782	052076	000020097	052576	000022887	042076
000131119	050376	C00035646	251576	000011940	350176	000015818	050176	J0C020099	052276	000022892	060176
C000C1174	052776	000005682	C51176	000011988	052576	000015907	051576	000020123	050176	000022935	052776
000001521	U5257o	CCC05822	G6C176	CC0013199	051876	000015929	050876	000020145	052476	000023003	052976
000001530	051776	CCCC06170	C51C76	000013301	052776	_000017037	040576	00C020173	051076	000023090	051876
000001632	051676	CCCCC6291	C52576	C00013418	050876	1000017037	052476	000020186	052276	000023090	052676
0100 11739	053676	000016353	051576	000013656	merical and the second	000017230	051376	000020215	052276	000023097	052276
CC0001735	052476	CCCC06353	C52G76	C000137	77775	0017340	051776	000020232	052976	000023098	052576
000001754	051876	000006443	C51376	C00013418 0C3013654 C000137 CCC0137	13£1771.h	000017428	050176	000020235	051876	000023149	050876
600101859	U42676	CCCC06587	C51176	000013861	051076	000017609	051376	000020240	050476	000023164	060176
000001971	052476	(00006713	051876	CC0CL3910	051776	000017631	052976	00C020241	052776	000023307	050576
0000020111	053476	000006850	332976	000013955	050676	000017723	051476	000020242	051876	000023309	051976
000002021	052576	528600000	C51176	CC0014144	060176	000017732	051476	000020301	052776	000023390	060176
GUUC C2 1 90	051476	CCCLC7CC9	C51676	CCC014166	051176	000017970	051776	000020346	051376	000024449	052076
000002360	052876	CCCC07053	051176	000014219	041776	000018086	050376	000020400	052576	000024455	C51776
606002536	051570	CCCCG77C7	C51776	000014303	052576	000018086	050676	00C020486	050476	000024475	C52176
030002641	J51 176	CCC) 17795	051676	000014407	351876	000019148	051776	00C020551	052776	000024476	050876
000002890	060176	COCC07871	052176	000014421	051476	000019358	052976	00CC2C661	051576	000024478	050376
000002597	050676	CCC027871	052176	CCCC14445	052676	000019359	050476	000020768	050576	000024495	060176
CU0003080	052776	COCCC7930	051176	000014472	051276	000019379	051376	867020200	052576	000024496	050876
000003116	050470	(CCLC7959	C42776	000014525	051776	000019414	051476	000020775	060176	000024499	050176
C203 13242	151 376	0000000026	352776	000014560	351876	000019445	051876	000020813	050876	000026002	050876
000003291	U56776	450805303	052576	C00014606	050476	000019561	051076	000020957	050476	0000260C7	050876
000003359	U5C47h	C0CC08089	052476	CCC014677	J52276	300319599	053876	000020976	050676	000026028	050376
CCC0C3363	0511/6	00000000187	C51176	000014678	050876	000019729	051776	000021229	052076	000026038	050676
000003433	051576	CC C008555	C51C76	CC0014689	050576	000019739	052276	000021231	050876	000026067	050476
000003499	352776	(000)18651	251176	00001100	051176	000019796	050176	000021439	050376	000026070	050676
CC0003752	C50F76	CGGC09665	06C176	CCCC14753	060176	000019809	051176	00CC21506	051776	000026071	051176
G000G3785	050476	COCCO8/52	C6C176	030014804	350876	330319823	051076	00C021747	052576	000026072	052676
000003807	052576	CCC G08814	050476	000014805	050676	C00019826	050176	000021781	050676	000026080	050376
000003841	05117o	CG0G01 324	C52576	CCOC14815	051576	000019834	050376	000021805	052576	000026081	050376
						,					

<sup>\*</sup> INDICATES FORMS REJECTED PECAUSE OF FRECRS OFFECTED DURING PROCESSING.
KESUHNIT RECORDS AS SION AS POSSIPLE.

## APPENDIX VI

## STANDARD TABLE SHELLS

## A. PROVISIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

Tables 1 and 2. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by type of patient and type of service provided and number and percent of visits for family planning services, by type of service provided during visit

		Visits						
Type of service provided		Num	ber		Percent by type of vice provid	Number	Percent	
	Total	New	Continuation	Total	New	Cont.		
All patients								
Contraceptive patients Infertility patients Medical exam or Lab test, total <sup>2</sup> Breast Pelvic Other medical exam Pap smear Serology Other lab test								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unduplicated count of patients who received one or more services at this clinic during the report period.

<sup>2</sup>Total may be less than the sum of the parts if more than one service was provided to a patient or at more than one visit. Total may be less than total number of patients served or visits if no exam or test was performed for one or more patients.

Table 3. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by ethnic designation and age

	1			Ethnic	c designation					
Age <sup>1</sup> (in years)	All groups	White	Black	Puerto Rican	Other Spanish surname	American Indian	Other			
	Number									
All ages										
Under 15 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45 and over										
	Percent by ethnic designation									
All ages										
Under 15 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45 and over										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Age of the patient at the patient's last visit of this report period.

Table 4. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by highest grade of school completed and age

		Highest grade of school completed										
Age <sup>1</sup>	Total	No formal education		Grade school			High school			College		
(in years)			Total	1-3	4-6	7-8	Total	1-3	4	Total	1	2 or more
	Number											
All ages				-								
Under 15 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40 or more												
	Percent by highest grade of school completed											
All ages		***										
Under 15 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40 or more	,											

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mbox{Age}$  of the patient at the patient's last visit of this report period.

Table 5. Number and percent of contraceptive patients receiving family planning services, by live births and contraceptive method adopted

		daoptod								
		Number of live births								
Type of contraceptive method adopted	Total	None	1	2	3-4	5 or more				
	Number									
Total, contraceptive patients <sup>1</sup>										
Oral IUD Foam Diaphragm Rhythm Condom Other None										
		Percent	by contracept	ive method ad	lopted	•				
Total, contraceptive patients <sup>1</sup>			-							
Oral IUD Foam Diaphragm Rhythm Condom Other										

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Excludes all patients receiving infertility services.

Table 6. Number and percent of new patients receiving family planning services, by age and source of referral

		Age (in years) <sup>1</sup>									
Source of referral	All ages	Under 15	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40 or more			
-	Number										
All new patients											
Program personnel Hospital, medical, or other health sources Public welfare agency Other social welfare agency Other clinic patient, friend, or relative Other source or self Unknown											
			Per	cent by sou	rce of refer	ral	<del></del>				
All new patients					···			- ***			
Program personnel Hospital, medical, or other health sources Public welfare agency Other social welfare agency Other clinic patient, friend, or relative Other source or self Unknown											

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{Age}$  of the patient at the patient's last visit of this report period.

Table 7. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by age and by public welfare status

				Α	ge (in years	)1		
Public welfare program	All ages	Under 15	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40 or more
				Num	ber			. <u>.</u>
All patients served								
Total receiving publ. welf. AFDC Other publ. welf. Both AFDC and other								
New patients served								
Total receiving publ. welf. AFDC Other publ. welf. Both AFDC and other								
			Percent	by public	welfare assis	tance		
All patients served								
Total receiving publ. welf. AFDC Other publ. welf. Both AFDC and other								
New patients served								
Total receiving publ. welf. AFDC Other publ. welf. Both AFDC and other								

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize 1}}\mbox{Age}$  of the patient at the patient's last visit of this report period.

Table 8. Number and percent of patients receiving family planning services, by live births and highest grade of school completed

					Highes	t grade of	school cor	npleted						
Number of	Total	No		Grade s	chool		Hig	gh school			Colleg	9		
live births		formal education	Total	1-3	4-6	7-8	Total	1-3	4	Total	1	2 or more		
		Number												
Total <sup>1</sup>											•			
None 1														
2 3-4 5+														
		<del> </del>	<u>.                                    </u>	Percent b	y highes	t grade of	school cor	npleted		L.				
Total <sup>1</sup>														
None 1 2 3-4 5+														
				·	Percer	nt by live	births							
Total <sup>1</sup>	·													
None 1 2 3-4 5+														

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unduplicated count of patients who received one or more services at this clinic during report period.

## B. NATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

## **Monthly Tables**

Table M-1. Family planning services and contraceptive method by type of visit and summary reports

					Repor	ting period	(Mo/Day/Y	r to Mo/E	Day/Yr)
Service site (number)	Project	(number)	PP affilia	te (number)	(Service site	name)	(City)	(State	<u>)</u>
		Patient visi	ts accepted thi	s month by the	NRSFPS				
Services and		First					of visits on f y type of pati		o date
contraceptive method	Initial visits TV=1	revisit for year TV=2	Subsequent revisits TV=3	Readmission visits TV=4	Total visits TV=1+2+3+4	7	Γype of visit	ľ	Number
All visits						Initial	visits (TV=1)		
Medical services Pap smear						First re	visit for year	(TV=2)	
Pelvic exam Breast exam						Subseq	uent revisits (	TV=3)	
Blood pressure Pregnancy testing						Readm	ission visits (7	ΓV=4)	
V.D. testing Urinalysis (n.e.s.)						Total v	isits (TV=1+2	2+3+4)	
Blood test (n.e.s.) Sterilization Infertility treatment						Curren	t month proc	essing sur	nmary
Other						1	eceived this mess input	nonth H	- <u></u>
Counseling services Sterilization Contraception							isits input	=	=
Infertility Other						Visits r	ejected its processed	_	
Referrals Abortion Sterilization Infertility Other medical services Social services	ž						nonth	Ξ	:
Contraceptive patients Method presently used Oral (pill) IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other None Interim method									
Duplicate visits merged This months visits deleted									
Visits deleted from master	<u> </u>		•	<u>.</u>					

Table M-2. Number of family planning patient visits by type of visit: each service site and project within State

(State name)	Regio	n (number)			R	eporting period	(Mo/Day/Yr to	Mo/Day/Yr)
				Patient	visits accepted	this month by	the NRSFPS	
Projects and service sites	City	Responsible agency(s) *	Initial visits TV=1	First revisit for year TV=2	Subsequent revisits TV=3	Readmission visit <b>s</b> TV=4	Total visits TV=1+2+3+4	
State totals								
Project xxxx Service site xxxx	City City							
Project xxxx Service site xxxx Service site xxxx Service site xxxx	City City City City							
Etc.	•							

Table M-3. Number of family planning patient visits by type of visit, by funding agency: each project and State within region

Funding agency		Region (numb	er)		R	eporting period	(Mo/Day/Yr t	o Mo/Day/Yr)
Charles and the charles and the charles are the charles and the charles are th				Patient	visits accepted	this month by	the NRSFPS	
States and projects	City	Responsible agency(s) *	Initial visits TV=1	First revisit for year TV=2	Subsequent revisits TV=3	Readmission visits TV=4	Total visits TV=1+2+3+4	
Region totals								
State name								
Project xxxx	City		ļ					
Project xxxx	City		ł					
Project xxxx	City							
State name								•
Project xxxx	City							
Project xxxx	City							]
Project xxxx	City		İ					
Project xxxx	City							
Etc.								

## **Quarterly Tables**

Table Q-1. Activity status of patients by selected patient characteristics

Project (number)

(City)

Funding agency

Patient characteristics		Patients seen th	is period	Patients discontinued	Active patient
ratient characteristics	Total	New	Continuation	this period	end of period
Total patients					
Sex					
Female Male					
Age groups					
Under 18					<u> </u>
18-19 20-24					
25-29					
30-34					
35+					
Live births				+	
None	-				
1			·		
2 3	ı				
4					
5+				i	
Unknown/male					İ
Latin American descent					
Yes					
No ,					
Race					
White					
Negro American Indian					
Other	,				
Unknown					
Education					
None					
1-8 years					
9-11 years					
12					
13+ Unknown				ľ	
Welfare recipient					
Yes No					
Unknown	1				
Registered for Medicaid					
Yes					
No					
Unknown				1	

Table Q-1. Activity status of patients by selected patient characteristics

ervice site <u>(number)</u> ( <u>Cit</u>	7	ng agency F	· arrivate (iii	<u> </u>
Patient characteristics		Patients seen this	period	Ancillary services provided
	Total	New	Continuat	
Total patients				Medical services
,				Supply visits
Sex Female				Outreach contacts
Male				
Age groups				Attendees at lecture sessions
Under 18				Telephone contacts
18-19 20-24				Other services
25-2 <del>9</del> 25-29				Office services
30-34 35+				
30 <del>T</del>				
Live births None				1
1				
2				1
3 4	İ			
5+	1			
Unknown/male				
Latin American descent				
Yes				
No				
Race				l.
White				
Negro American Indian				
Other				
Unknown				
Education				
None				
1-8 years				
9-11 years 12				
13+				
Unknown				
Welfare recipient				·
Yes				}
No	1			
Unknown				-
Registered for Medicaid				
Yes No				
No Unknown				

Table Q-2. New female contraceptive patients by selected characteristics

Project (number) Reporting period (Mo/Day/Yr to Mo/Day/Yr) (City) Service site (number) Funding agency PP affiliate (number) в. Total all ages Age groups Contraceptive method prior Patient characteristics 25-29 Number Percent -18 18-19 20-24 30-34 35+ to service site enrollment **Patients** Total new female contraceptive patients Method Number | Percent Live births Total None Oral (pill) 2 IUD Diaphragm 4 Injection 5+ Condom Unknown Foam Rhythm Source of referral Other Outreach worker None Other FP clinic Unknown Hosp., oth. hith. agency Private phy. or nurse Welfare agency Cl. Pt., family, friend Mass media C. Other Unknown and not reported Contraceptive method at end of initial visit Ever used any method **Patients** Nο Method Percent Number Unknown Education Total 1-8 years Oral (pill) IUD 9-11 years Diaphragm 12 years 13+ years Injection Unknown Condom Foam Latin American descent Rhythm Sterilized No Other ## interim Race White ## patients prescribed methods Negro American Indian for an interim period are also Other included in the figures for each Unknown method listed above.

Table Q-3. Patient visits by type of visit and type of services provided

Project (number)

Service site (number) (City) F	unding agency	PP affiliate visits		Type of visit	
Type of services	Number	Percent	Initial visit	First revisit	Subsequent revisit
Total visits		L			
Medical services  No medical services					
One or more medical services		1			
Pap smear					
Pelvic exam	İ				•
Breast exam					
Blood pressure					
Pregnancy testing					
VD testing					
Urinalysis (n.e.s.) Blood test (n.e.s.)					
Sterilization					
Infertility treatment					
Other					
Counseling services					
No counseling services					
One or more counseling services					
Sterilization					
Contraception		İ			
Infertility					
Other					
Referrals	1				
No referrals					
One or more referrals		·			
Abortion	'				
Sterilization Infertility					
Other medical services					
Social services					
Method at end of visit Oral (pill)					
IUD					
Diaphragm					
Injection					
Condom					
Sterilization					
Foam Rhythm	•				
Other					
None (reasons given below)	1				
Pregnant					
Other medical reason					
Seeks pregnancy					
Other		}			
Interim					

Table Q-4. Number and percent distribution of all patients by selected characteristics: each State, project, and service site

Funding agency		Region (nu	mber)									Reportin	g period (Mo	/Day/Yr t	o Mo/Day/Yr)
State		All		Sex			Age g	roups	•				Race		Latin
project number serv. site, fundin service site city	g	patients	Male	Female	-18	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35+	White	Negro	Am. Ind.	Other	American descent
Region	# %						•								
State name	# %														
Project number	#														
SS. number City	#														
Etc.	i														

Table Q-5. Number and percent distribution of new patients by selected characteristics: each State, project, and service site

State project numbe	ır İ	AII	8	Sex			Age g	roups				i	Race		Latin
serv. site, fundir service site city	ng	patients	Male	Male Female -18 18-19		18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35+	White	Negro	Am. Ind.	Other	America descent
Region	# %	***						I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		•	
State name	1														
	# %														
Project number	# %														
SS. number City															
	# %														
Etc.															

Table Q-6. Number of sterilization patients by selected characteristics: each State, project, and service site

Funding agency	_	Region (nur	nber)									Reportin	g period (Mo	/Day/Yr t	o Mo/Day/Yr)
State project number		All	S	iex			Age g	roups		_		i	Race		Latin
serv. site, fundin service site city	g	patients	Male	Female	-18	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35+	White	Negro	Am. Ind.	Other	American descent
Region	#														
State name	#														
Project number	#														
SS. number City Etc.	#														

Table Q-7. Number of infertility patients by selected characteristics: each State, project, and service site

Funding agency	_	Region ( <u>nu</u>	mber)									Reportin	g period ( <u>Mo</u>	/Day/Yr to	Mo/Day/Yr)
State project number		Ali		Sex			Age g	roups					Latin		
serv. site, funding service site city		patients	Male	Female	-18	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35+	White	Negro	Am. Ind.	Other	American descent
Region	#														
State number	#														
Project number	#														
SS, number	#														
SS. number	#														
Etc.		ļ	}		]]						1				

Table Q-8. Number and percent distribution of female patients by type of patient and number of live births: each State, project, and service site

Funding agency		Region	<u>(nu</u>	mber)								Re	porting pe	eriod (	Mo/Da	y/Yr to	Mo/D	ay/Yr)
State			A. Ali pati			·		N	B. lew par		•			Ste	C. rilizĕd	patient	s	÷.,
project number service site, funding	Total		Numbe	r of liv	e birth	s	Total		Numbe	r of liv	e birth	ıs	Total		Numbe	er of liv	e birth	s
service site city		0	1	2	3	4+		0	1	2	3	4+		0	1	2	3	4+
Region #	<u> </u>						-				<b></b>				<b>!</b>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
State name # %	£																	
Project number # %	<u>+</u>																	
SS. number City # %	<u>+</u>																	
Etc.																		

Table Q-9. Number of patients and visits for each project, State, and region, United States

Geographical location	Num	ber of patients	reported	Number of	Project reported	
Geographical location	Total	New	Continuation	visits reported	ancillary services	
United States total			-1			
Region State name Proj number Proj number Proj number						
Etc.						

## **Annual Tables**

Table A-1. Number of patients and visits for the United States: each region and State

Reporting period (Mo/Day/Yr to Mo/Day/Yr) В С D E н No. of Total Region and Act. pts. Act. pts. Act. pts. Subsequent Cont. Total Discont. service New ancillary states at begin. not seen at end of sites patients patients patients revisits visits of year this year year services US total Region State name State name State name State name Region\_ State name State name State name

ITable A-2. Number of patients and visits by State and funding source for each participating project <sup>1</sup>

(State name)							Repo	orting period (N	/lo/Day/Yr t	o Mo/Day/Yr)
Project	Project funding agencies	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	Cont.	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	l Total ancillary services
State total  Project Project Project Project										
Etc.		ļ							}	

Table A-3. Number of patients and visits for each project and its service sites

Project (number)							Rep	orting period (	Mo/Day/Yr	to Mo/Day/Yr)
Project and service sites	Funding agencies	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	C Cont. patients	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	Total ancillary services
Project number  Service site number Service site number Service site number Service site number										
Etc.							ļ			

Affiliate (number)

## Reporting period (Mo/Day/Yr to Mo/Day/Yr)

Affiliates	Funding agencies	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	C Cont. patients	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	l Total ancillary services
Affiliate number										
Service site number										
Etc.	1									

Table A-4. Number of service sites, patients, and visits by project funding source for the United States

#### Reporting period (Mo/Day/Yr to Mo/Day/Yr)

							i icpi	nring betroo (iv	O/Day/11	to wo, Day, 11
	No. of service sites	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	C Cont. patients	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	l Total ancillary services
US total										
MCHS OEO NCFPS PP MCHS, OEO MCHS, NCFPS MCHS, PP OEO, NCFPS OEO, PP NCFPS, PP MCHS, OEO, NCFPS MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, OEO, NCFPS, PP OEO, NCFPS, PP OEO, NCFPS, PP Other Unknown									,	

### Table A-5. Number of service sites, patients, and visits by project funding source for each region

#### Region (number)

region (number)							Repo	orting period (N	io/Day/Yr	to Mo/Day/Yr)
Project funding source	No. of service sites	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	C Cont. patients	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	Total ancillary services
Region total										
MCHS OEO NCFPS PP MCHS, OEO MCHS, NCFPS MCHS, PP OEO, NCFPS OEO, PP NCFPS, PP MCHS, OEO, NCFPS MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, OEO, PP MCHS, NCFPS, PP OEO, NCFPS, PP OHORNOM										

Table A-6. Number of service sites, patients, and patient visits by funding agency, each region, and the United States

Funding agency							Rep	orting period (N	/lo/Day/Yr	to Mo/Day/Yr)
	No. of service sites funded	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	C Cont. patients	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	l Total ancillary services
US total										
Region										
Etc.				ł		l	1	l	l	1

Table A-7. Number of service sites, patients, and patient visits by region, State, and project for each funding agency

Agency Region	(number)						Repo	orting period (M	o/Day/Yr t	o Mo/Day/Yr)
State project number agency codes	No. of service sites	A Act. pts. at begin. of year	B New patients	C Cont. patients	D Discont. patients	E Act. pts. not seen this year	F Act. pts. at end of year	G Subsequent revisits	H Total visits	l Total ancillary services
Region										
State name Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number						•				
State name										
Proj number Proj number Proj number Proj number										
Etc.	1	]	]							

Table A-20. Number of female patient visits by type of service provided for the United States

(Lotter of eggingation)			Troporting period [No	O/Day/11 to Wio/Day/11/
Type of service	Initial visits	First revisit this year	Subsequent revisits this year	Total visits
Total number of visits				
Medical services No med. serv.			·	
One or more serv.				
Total med. serv.				
Pap smear				
Pelvic exam Breast exam				
Blood pressure				
Preg. testing				
VD testing		·		
Urinalysis (nes)				
Blood test (nes)				
Sterilization				
Infertility tr.		ł		
Other				
Counseling services				
No counseling				
One or more serv.				]
Total coun. serv.				
Sterilization	į.			
Contraception Infertility				
Other				
Gilei			}	
Referrals				
No referrals	l l			
One or more ref.	1			
Total referrals Abortion	ľ			
Sterilization	1			
Infertility				
Other medical				
Social services				
Source of referral Outreach				
Other FP clinic				
Hosp. etc.				
Private MD or RN				
Welfare agency				
Other clinic pt.				
Family or friend				
Radio, TV, paper ad. Other				
Unknown				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Level of aggregation will be either United States, agency, region, project (or affiliate), or service site.

Table A-30(N). Number of (new) female patients by age according to selected characteristics for the United States

Level of aggregation/				ueho	rung penoa	(IVIO/Day/ 1 F	O MO/Day/Tr
Patient characteristics	Total female patients	Under 18	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35 and over
Total patients							
Live births None 1 2 3 4 5+ Unknown				#			
Living children None 1 2 3 4 5+ Unknown							
Number of pregnancies None 1 2 3 4 5+ Unknown							·
Method at end of year Oral IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other None							
Interim (not in total)				1			
Ever used any method Yes No Unknown							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Level of aggregation will be either United States, agency, region, State, project (or affiliate), or service site.

(Level of aggregation)									- rept	orting perio	d (MO/Da	y/Yr to M	o/Day/Yi
Patient			Age	of women	1		····			Age of	men		
characteristics	Total	-18	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35+	Total	-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40÷
Total													
Race													
White	ŀ							1					İ
Negro Amer. Indian	ĺ												
Other													
Unknown								1					
Latin Am origin										:			
Yes	-					i		1					
No													
Education													
None													
1-8 yrs								<u> </u>					
9-11 yrs						-		1					
12 yrs				İ				1					
13+ yrs Unknown													
Unknown		ĺ								:			
Public assist.													
Yes													
No									İ				
Unknown				İ									
Medicaid regis.													
Yes							1						
No							}						
Unknown													
Sterilization													
Medical serv.					ĺ								
Counseling													
Referral													
Males only:													
Method													
Steril.													
Condom								<u> </u>  -					
None								`					
Other								ll .					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Level of aggregation will be either United States, agency, region, State, project (or affiliate), or service site.

Table A-50. Characteristics of patients receiving public assistance by age and parity for the United States

evel of aggregation) 1							Reporting period (Mo/Day/Yr to Mo/Day/Yr)											
Patient characteristics	Total	Perc	Percent Percent in each					h age group				Percent by number of live births						
	patients	Women	Men	-18	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35+	0	1	2	3	4	5+	Uni		
Total patients															-			
Contraceptive method																		
Oral	1	il		ll					1		1			İ				
IUD		]		II					1		1			1	j			
Diaphragm				li .							1				l			
Foam				II	ŀ				ì	H	1		l			1		
Condom	1			II	ļ						1							
Sterilization				II						li .	1							
Other + injection		1			1					1	ļ							
Rhythm	1			1			ĺ			I			1			1		
None								-										
Race								1										
White				11					İ						l			
Negro	1					1	1			ll .								
Am. Indian										li								
Other		*											İ					
Unknown																		
atin American origin																		
Yes										1	1		j		1			
No	i l									II.	l		1	[	ł			
Unknown																		
Education												1				İ		
None				<b>[</b>				ļ						l	1			
1-8 yrs.				Į.						1				l				
9-11 yrs.				i				1		11				l		1		
12 yrs.										11						1		
13+ yrs.										II.						1		
Unknown	1 1										İ							
etal deaths									}					1 .				
None									İ	ll .	j	l	1			1		
1								ļ		ll .	ł		1			1		
2				i				]		ll		ĺ				1		
3+		Į.						1		ll .	1	1				1		
Unknown									]									
leferrals									]									
None						İ						l				1		
Abortion										ll .	1	1			:	1		
Sterilization									Ì	11	1					1		
Infertility								1		11	l	Ì				1		
Other medical								1								1		
Social services	1							1		ll	] ,	1	j i			I		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Level of aggregation will be either United States or agency.

Table A-60. Number of method changes by type of method after change according to type of method before change and type of patient: female patients for the United States

Method before change	Total	Method after change													
Welling Delote Change	changes	Oral	IUD	Diaphragm	Foam	Rhythm	Condom	Inject.	Steril.	Other	None				
New patients															
Number patients with no method change															
Total number of method changes Oral IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other No method															
Continuation pats.  Number patients with no						] _									
method change  Total number of method changes Oral IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other No method															

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Level of aggregation will be either United States or agency.

Table A-70-1. Number of new female patients by method prior to clinic enrollment and method at last visit, and source of method prior to clinic enrollment for the United States

	Total new	Method prior to clinic enrollment													
Method at last visit	female patients	Oral	IUD	Diaphragm	Foam	Rhythm	Condom	Injection	Other	None*					
Total patients Oral IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other None															
Source of prior method Clinic Private doctor Drug store Other Unknown No prior method															

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{Level}$  of aggregation will be either United States, agency, region, or project (or affiliate). \*Includes patients with unknown prior methods.

Table A-70-2. Number of female patients by method prior to clinic enrollment, method at end of initial visit, and method at end of last visit according to type of patient and type of method for the United States

(Level of aggregation) <sup>1</sup>				Re	porting period (	Mo/Day/Yr t	o Mo/Day/
Type of contraceptive method	Prior to clinic enrollment	End of initial visit	End of last visit	Type of contraceptive method	Prior to clinic enrollment	End of initial visit**	End of last visit
Total new patients				Total continuation patients			
Oral IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other None Unknown				Oral IUD Diaphragm Foam Rhythm Condom Injection Sterilization Other None Unknown			

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{Level}$  of aggregation will be either United States, agency, region, or project (or affiliate). \*\*Does not include patients for which initial visits are not on file.

Table A-80. Female contraceptive patients by contraceptive use prior to clinic enrollment according to age and number of live births for the United States

(Level of aggregation)1

(Level of aggregation)		~						nepu	rting p	eriou .	1110/0		0 1410/1	Jay / 11
Contraceptive use prior to	Total number		Percent by number of live births											
clinic enrollment	patients	-18	18-19	20-24	24-29	30-34	35+	0	1	2	3	4	5+	Unk
Total number of patients														
Ever used a method						1								
Yes		))	1	1	1	]	]	11	1	1	1	Ì	]	Ì
No		H					1	ll .	ŀ	l				
Unknown				ļ	1	1	1	ll .	ļ		1			
Currently using a method	1	l	Ì	l						}		1	1	
Yes	]	11	]	]	1	]	1	))	Ì	ì	}	1	]	ì
No	<u> </u>			İ	i				1	l	İ	1	l	l
Unknown				]		]		]]	1	l	]	Ì		
Last method used prior to clinic				i i	1	1	ļ	ll .	١			•		ĺ
enrollment		ļļ .			i	l	1	ll .		i	!	j	}	
Oral		]]	1	ì	]	1	Ì	ìì	1	1	Ì	1	1	1
IUD		ll			i		1	[]	ł	l	1			
Diaphragm				1	}		]	]]	1	1	1	]	]	1
Foam	i	li		1	l		1	]]	i	i			l	
Rhythm			į.	ļ	ľ	ļ			ļ	Į.	į.	ļ	ļ	ļ
Condom		1	j	l	İ	l				1			ł	
Injection	ļ	l.	1	}	1	ļ	1	<b>  </b>	1	[	1	1	1	}
Other	1	1	}	1	i				l				l	
Unknown		li.	<b>,</b>	<b>!</b>		l .	4	<b> </b>	{	ł	ļ	ļ	1	<b>\</b>
No prior method	1				1				i				•	
Source of last method		11	1		ľ	1	1		i	1	ì	Ì	ì	1
Clinic	1		1	1	1	1		ll	l		l	1	!	
Private doctor	1	1	ì	Ì	1	)		ìì	1	1	ì	}	1	1
Drug store			1		i			ll .	l	J	]	l	l	
Other	[	ll .	l	Į.	1	Į.		U	Į.	l	l	Į.	l	l
Unknown	i	i	1		i	1		<b> </b>	l	I		ł	ļ	l
No prior method		lí	l	i	l		Į	li	1	l	Í		Ì	l

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Level of aggregation will be either United States, agency, or region.

Table A-90. Number of new female patients who are under 19 years by selected characteristics for the United States

Patient	}	Age	group	\$		Patient	Age groups						
characteristics	Total	-15	16	17	18	characteristics	Total	-15	16	17	18		
Total patients						Total patients							
Number of live births						Race							
None	Į.	ļ	)	j	) )	White	ļ	ļ	ļ	į	1		
1				1		Negro		l	1		ļ		
2	ļ	]		j	]	American Indian			ļ		]		
3	1	1				Other					ł		
4				l		Unknown					1		
5+		l	l	1	i i						ļ		
Unknown				1		Latin Amer. origin							
	İ	Ì	i .	1	i i	Yes		{	l	l	1		
No. of living children None						No							
1	1	1	1	ĺ		Falmastan		[		1			
2	1	1	1	ļ		Education		1		]	İ		
3	1	ł	ł	l	1 1	None	1	l	1	ł	l		
4	1			1	1	1-8 years	1	1	ļ		i		
5+	1	1	1	ł	<b>!</b>	9-11 years 12 years	ł	İ	ł	1	1		
Unknown	1		ļ	1	]	13+ years	1	ļ	ł	1			
	- }	ł	1	l	1	Unknown	1	ł	Į.	ł	l		
Number of pregnancies	1		1	1		Clikilowii		İ	1	l	1		
None	-		1			l			İ	1	ļ		
1			1	1	ł	Public assistance	1	l	ł	ł	ł		
2	}		1	ļ		Yes			ļ				
3	1		1	1	]	No	}	ļ	1	1	Į.		
4	- 1		1	ļ		Unknown	ļ		1	ļ .	1		
5+	1		1	1			1		]	Į	)		
Unknown	ļ			1		Medicaid registrant			ļ				
Method at end of visit		ļ	1			Yes			1		ļ		
Oral	ļ	1	ł	}	}	No	ł	1	l	l	ļ		
IUD			1		1	Unknown	1			l	1		
Diaphragm	ł	1	l	1		ľ	ł	1	1	ł	ł		
Foam	1		1		]	Referrals		İ	1				
Rhythm		i		1		None	1				ĺ		
Condom	-	[	1	1		Abortion			[	[	1		
Injection	1	ļ	1	ļ		Sterilization	ļ	İ	1	l			
Sterilization	- [		1	1		Infertility	1			1			
Other			1	ļ.		Other medical ser.				,			
None	ł			1		Social services Unknown			1				
Interim (not in total)		]		}									
Programmed account of the state of	ļ	]	1			Reason for no method				1			
Ever used any method	1	1	1	1		Pregnant		ł	l	ŀ	1		
Yes		1		ļ		Other med.			1				
No	1	}		1		Seeking preg.			ļ	j	1		
Unknown			1	l		Other			[	1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Level of aggregation will be either United States or agency.

### VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS PUBLICATIONS SERIES

Formerly Public Health Service Publication No. 1000

- Series 1. Programs and Collection Procedures.—Reports which describe the general programs of the National Center for Health Statistics and its offices and divisions, data collection methods used, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.
- Series 2. Data Evaluation and Methods Research.—Studies of new statistical methodology including experimental tests of new survey methods, studies of vital statistics collection methods, new analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, contributions to statistical theory.
- Series 3. Analytical Studies.—Reports presenting analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics, carrying the analysis further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
- Series 4. Documents and Committee Reports.—Final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics, and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
- Series 10. Data from the Health Interview Survey.—Statistics on illness; accidental injuries; disability; use of hospital, medical, dental, and other services; and other health-related topics, based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.
- Series 11. Data from the Health Examination Survey.—Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement of national samples of the civilian, noninstitutionalized population provide the basis for two types of reports: (I) estimates of the medically defined prevalence of specific diseases in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics; and (2) analysis of relationships among the various measurements without reference to an explicit finite universe of persons.
- Series 12. Data from the Institutionalized Population Surveys.—Discontinued effective 1975. Future reports from these surveys will be in Series 13.
- Series 13. Data on Health Resources Utilization.—Statistics on the utilization of health manpower and facilities providing long-term care, ambulatory care, hospital care, and family planning services.
- Series 14. Data on Health Resources: Manpower and Facilities.—Statistics on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources including physicians, dentists, nurses, other health occupations, hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient facilities.
- Series 20. Data on Mortality.—Various statistics on mortality other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports. Special analyses by cause of death, age, and other demographic variables; geographic and time series analyses; and statistics on characteristics of deaths not available from the vital records, based on sample surveys of those records.
- Series 21. Data on Natality, Marriage, and Divorce.—Various statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports. Special analyses by demographic variables; geographic and time series analyses; studies of fertility; and statistics on characteristics of births not available from the vital records, based on sample surveys of those records.
- Series 22. Data from the National Mortality and Natality Surveys.—Discontinued effective 1975. Future reports from these sample surveys based on vital records will be included in Series 20 and 21, respectively.
- Series 23. Data from the National Survey of Family Growth.—Statistics on fertility, family formation and dissolution, family planning, and related maternal and infant health topics derived from a biennial survey of a nationwide probability sample of ever-married women 15-44 years of age.
- For a list of titles of reports published in these series, write to:
  Scientific and Technical Information Branch
  National Center for Health Statistics
  Public Health Service
  Hyattsville, Md. 20782