2018 National Study of Long-Term Care Providers

Residential Care Community (RCC) Resident Public-Use Data File

August 2021

Data Description and Usage

Table of Contents

Data files	4
Documentation	5
Brief description of survey	5
Data dictionary	6
Resident questionnaire	7
Data processing activities to create the public-use file	7
Masked variables	8
Reliability of estimates	9
Obtaining the data	11
Contact information	11

Please Read Carefully Before Using NCHS Public Use Survey Data

The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), conducts statistical and epidemiological activities under the authority granted by the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. § 242k). NCHS survey data are protected by Federal confidentiality laws including Section 308(d) Public Health Service Act [42 U.S.C. 242m(d)] and the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act or CIPSEA [Pub. L. No. 115-435, 132 Stat. 5529 § 302]. These confidentiality laws state the data collected by NCHS may be used only for statistical reporting and analysis. Any effort to determine the identity of individuals and establishments violates the assurances of confidentiality provided by federal law.

Terms and Conditions

NCHS does all it can to assure that the identity of individuals and establishments cannot be disclosed. All direct identifiers, as well as any characteristics that might lead to identification, are omitted from the dataset. Any intentional identification or disclosure of an individual or establishment violates the assurances of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Therefore, users will:

- 1. Use the data in this dataset for statistical reporting and analysis only.
- 2. Make no attempt to learn the identity of any person or establishment included in these data.
- 3. Not link this dataset with individually identifiable data from other NCHS or non-NCHS datasets.
- 4. Not engage in any efforts to assess disclosure methodologies applied to protect individuals and establishments or any research on methods of re-identification of individuals and establishments.

By using these data, you signify your agreement to comply with the above-stated statutorily based requirements.

Sanctions for Violating NCHS Data Use Agreement

Willfully disclosing any information that could identify a person or establishment in any manner to a person or agency not entitled to receive it, shall be guilty of a class E felony and imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or fined not more than \$250,000, or both.

This document describes the data and some of the processes involved in creating the residential care community (RCC) resident public-use data file (PUF). NCHS recommends that data users read this document prior to working with the data.

The National Study of Long-Term Care Providers (NSLTCP) was renamed the National Post-acute and Long-term Care Study (NPALS) in January 2020. For the remainder of this document NPALS will be referred to as NSLTCP in order to correctly match the name of the study when the 2018 surveys were fielded.

Data files

The 2018 NSLTCP RCC public use data are distributed in two data files: (1) a provider-level data file and (2) a services user (resident)-level data file. This document refers to the resident public-use file (PUF). The file contains one record for each sampled resident (residents were not interviewed; interviews were conducted with the directors or caregivers). This file contains resident characteristics, use of services, health status, and cognitive and physical functioning. The provider and resident PUFs cannot be linked using the identifiers provided in these files. The provider ID (SU_FACID) in the provider file is different from the resident file and cannot be matched. This file contains 904 records and (81) variables. Each record has a primary identifier (RESID). The records in the resident file are sorted in order by the primary identifier.

The PUF data are provided in ASCII format, with fixed-length records. In addition to an ASCII file, separate data files are provided in SAS and STATA formats. PUFs can be downloaded from the NPALS website as separate files. The individual files for separate download are:

Data files		
SAS	ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/HealthStatistics/NCHS/Datasets/NPALS	
STATA	ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/HealthStatistics/NCHS/Datasets/NPALS	
ASCII	ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/HealthStatistics/NCHS/Datasets/NPALS	
Documentation files		
Survey method	https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/npals/NSLTCP-2018-survey-methodology-	
documentation	documentation.pdf	

Data dictionary	Will be available upon request
Provider	https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nsltcp/2018-NSLTCP-RCC-Questionnaire-
Questionnaire	<u>Center.pdf</u>
This document	https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/npals/NSLTCP-2018-RCC-Readme-
(ReadMe file)	RDC.pdf
Restricted	Will be available upon request
Variables	

Documentation

This RCC Readme file is part of the documentation package accompanying the release of the 2018 RCC resident PUF. The package also includes a data dictionary or codebook, the resident questionnaire, and a list of variables available in the restricted data file. A broader NSLTCP survey methodology document is included in the provider PUF package.

Brief description of survey

The survey on RCCs was conducted between July 2018 and February 2019. To be eligible for the study an RCC had to be licensed, registered, listed, certified, or otherwise regulated by the state; had four or more licensed, registered, or certified beds; provided room and board with at least two meals a day, around-the-clock on-site supervision, and help with personal care, such as bathing and dressing or health related services such as medication management. RCCs had to serve a predominantly adult population. RCCs licensed to exclusively serve the mentally ill or the intellectually disabled/developmentally disabled populations were excluded from NSLTCP. Data were collected by mail, web, and computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI).

From a frame of 43,770 RCCs, 2,090 were randomly selected for the survey. Of the 2,090 sampled RCCs, eligibility could not be determined for 977. Among those cases where eligibility could be determined (1,113), 857 (77%) were eligible and 256 (23%) were ineligible because they did not meet the survey criteria or were out of business. However, 977

RCCs (33%) could not be contacted; therefore, the final eligibility status of these RCCs was unknown.

Using the eligibility rate of 77%, ¹ a proportion of these RCCs of unknown eligibility was estimated to be eligible; 752 RCCs of unknown eligibility were assumed as eligible. The total number of eligible RCCs was estimated as 1,609 (857 + 752). Of the 1,609 in-scope and presumed in-scope RCCs, 503 completed the provider questionnaire, for a weighted response rate (for differential probabilities of selection) of 30% (this is calculated by using AAPOR's Response Rate 4). To account for the RCCs of unknown eligibility, the weights of the RCCs with known eligibility were adjusted upward based on the proportion of communities that were actually known to be eligible. Adjustments were also made to account for non-response. Two residents were randomly selected from eligible RCCs that agreed to participate. A questionnaire was completed for a total of 904 residents from 419 RCCs. About 48.9% (419 out of 857) of RCCs completed both provider and at least one resident module and the rest of the RCCs either completed the provider module or the resident module. Therefore, not all RCCs in the provider PUF are represented in the resident PUF and vice versa. Some RCCs only completed one resident questionnaire. During the weighting process, these residents were moved to a similar RCC that had user records in the file.

Data dictionary

The 2018 RCC resident data dictionary or code book for the PUF is provided as a single file containing all variables in the resident PUF. Each variable in the PUF has its own codebook entry.

If a question or a series of questions in the survey were legitimately skipped for selected respondents, then the skipped responses were coded as "-1= INAPPLICABLE" in the data dictionary. The questionnaire skip pattern is specified in the data dictionary beside the question text and code categories. Data users are advised to consult the questionnaire to better understand

-

¹ The eligibility rate is calculated by the number of known eligible RCCs divided by the total number of RCCs with known eligibility status. RCCs that were invalid or out of business and RCCs that screened out as ineligible were classified as known ineligibles.

the questionnaire skip patterns. Missing responses were coded as "-9=MISSING." The data dictionary will be uploaded later and available upon request (ltcsbfeedback@cdc.gov) until then.

Resident questionnaire

The Resident Questionnaire is included in the data release package and available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nsltcp/2018-NSLTCP-RCC-Questionnaire-Resident.pdf

The questionnaire includes all the questions asked in the resident module. There may be some differences in how questions were asked in the questionnaire and how they were coded in the PUF. Also, answers to some questions may not be available in the public-use file. These differences are largely related to efforts to reduce disclosure risk. For instance, the PUF may have fewer response categories (response categories collapsed) than the number of categories indicated in the questionnaire. The variables included in the list of restricted variables are available to users through the NCHS Research Data Center (http://www.cdc.gov/rdc/index.htm).

Data processing activities to create the public-use file

The raw data received from the field were reviewed and edited prior to releasing the PUF. Data were reviewed for accuracy, logic, consistency, and completeness. Additionally, extensive disclosure risk review was conducted to prevent the identity of any facilities who participated in the survey from being made known to the public. NCHS staff used various methods to perturb the data to minimize disclosure risk, and then ensured that the perturbation did not affect the estimates. The following methods were employed on the restricted, in-house file to create the PUF:

Item nonresponse and imputed data

Item nonresponse is a source of missing data and occurred if a respondent did not know the answer to a question or refused to answer a question, the interviewer inadvertently skipped a question due to problems relating to CATI or the interview broke off before administering the entire questionnaire. In the data file, item-nonresponse is coded as -9 when a respondent did not provide an answer. NCHS handled item nonresponse for age (14 cases), sex (13 cases), race and ethnicity (37 cases) by imputing using a hot-deck method. Imputed variables are flagged for the data users.

Masked variables

To protect the confidentiality of the information respondents provided, a number of variables have been masked, or simply not included in the PUF. In making these modifications, NCHS staff tried to maintain a balance between the need for data confidentiality and the needs of data users.

- 1. Direct identifiers are not included in the PUF, such as names, addresses, and geographic information (region, state, metropolitan statistical area). There were other variables that were not included in the PUF. For a full list, see the list of restricted variables document included in the data release package.
- 2. Provider characteristics on the provider PUF but not included in the resident PUF (Ownership, Chain).

Modified variables

- Some categorical variables were collapsed into fewer response categories. These included MOVEFROM2, ANTIPSY, MEMORY2, SIGHT2, HEARING2, STAIRS2, SELFCARE2, COMUNICAT2, FALLINJ, SYMPTOMS2
- 2. Race of resident: American Indians and Alaska Natives, Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders, and multiple race residents were collapsed (RACEETH2) into an "other race" category in the public use file.
- 3. Length of stay in facility: Instead of including the exact date when a sampled resident moved into a facility, a categorical length of stay (LOSRC2) variable was provided.
- 4. Charges: The continuous variable was binned (CHARGES2), and a two-category variable is provided.
- 5. Number of falls: Number of falls variable was dichotomized (FALL2) and a two-category variable is provided.

Top/bottom coded variables

For the variable AGE, upper and lower values were recoded for confidentiality purposes (top- or bottom- coded). Upper or lower cut-off points were used and values above the upper limit or below the lower limit included in the top and bottom categories of AGE2.

Additional case-specific modifications

- 1. Residents with colostomies and indwelling catheters were recoded as missing for the incontinence variable in order to protect their identity (INCONT2).
- 2. Residents who were enrolled in an RCC for less than 3 months were recoded as missing for hospital emergency visits (HOSPEMER12) and overnight hospital stays (HOSPNITE12) in order to protect their identity.

Reliability of estimates

Estimates published by NCHS must meet reliability criteria based on the relative standard error (RSE or coefficient of variation) of the estimate and on the number of sampled records on which the estimate is based. Proportion estimates not meeting NCHS standards are not presented or are flagged based on the procedure specified in "National Center for Health Statistics Data Presentation Standards for Proportions," available from:

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr_02/sr02_175.pdf. For all estimates other than estimates of proportions in the tables: estimates are not presented if they are based on fewer than 30 cases in the sample data, in which case only an asterisk (*) appears. Estimates based on 30 or more cases include an asterisk if the relative standard error of the estimate exceeds 30%.

The data collected in the 2018 NSLTCP were obtained through a complex, multistage sample design that involved stratification and clustering. The final weights provided for analytic purposes have been adjusted in several ways to yield valid national estimates for residential care communities in the U.S. Users are reminded that the use of standard statistical procedures that are based on the assumption that data are generated via simple random sampling (SRS) generally will produce incorrect estimates of variances and standard errors when used to analyze data from the NSLTCP provider PUF. The clustering protocols that are used in the multistage selection of the NSLTCP sample require other analytic procedures, as described below. Users who apply SRS techniques to the data generally will produce standard error estimates that are, on average, too small, and are likely to produce results that are subject to excessive Type I error.

In this document, examples of SUDAAN computer code are provided for illustrative purposes. Examples are provided also for the SAS and STATA software packages. However, the

appropriate application of these procedures is the ultimate responsibility of users. NCHS strongly recommends that NSLTCP data be analyzed under the direction of or in consultation with a statistician who is cognizant of sampling methodologies and techniques for the analysis of complex survey data. The RCC provider PUF includes design variables that designate each record's stratum marker and the first-stage unit (or cluster) to which the record belongs. The design variables in the PUF are masked and not the same as the design variables in the restricted data files. Therefore, standard errors may vary between the restricted data file and PUF. Examples for using these design variables with SUDAAN, STATA, and SAS survey procedures follow.

Table 1a. Computations using SUDAAN

PROC statement	NEST statement	TOTCNT statement	WEIGHT
			statement
PROC x FILE = y	NEST	TOTCNT	WEIGHT SUWT;
DESIGN = WOR;	PUFSTRATA2;	PUFPOPFAC2;	
		POPSU	

Table 1b. Computations using STATA

Design description in STATA
express on facial etroto(profestrate2) free(profese2) vece(linearized)
svyset su_facid, strata(pufstrata2) fpc(pufpopfac2) vce(linearized)
singleunit(missing) resid, fpc (popsu) weight (suwt)

Table1c. Computations using SAS

PROC	STRATA	CLUSTER	WEIGHT
PROC SURVEY_	STRATA	CLUSTER	WEIGHT SUWT;
DATA = Y	PUFSTRATA2;	SU_FACID;	
TOTAL =			
SECONDFILE;			

Obtaining the data

The RCC 2018 provider PUF is available free of charge to users and analysts and can be

downloaded from the NSLTCP web site. There are a few conditions or restrictions for data use,

and they include:

1. Use the data in this dataset for statistical reporting and analysis only.

2. Make no use of the identity of any person or establishment discovered inadvertently and

advise the Director, NCHS, of any such discovery.

3. Report apparent errors in the RCC provider data or documentation files to the Long-Term

Care Statistics Branch (LTCSB).

We also request the user inform LTCSB of any publications or presentations produced based on

the 2018 NSLTCP data and cite relevant NSLTCP documentations/data products in their work

when appropriate.

Contact information

For questions, suggestions, or comments concerning NSLTCP data, please contact the LTCSB

at:

Long-Term Care Statistics Branch (LTCSB), NCHS,

3311 Toledo Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782

E-mail: ltcsbfeedback@cdc.gov

Phone: 301-458-4747.

11