Parental Intentions and Perceptions Toward COVID-19 Vaccination Among Children Aged 4 Months to 4 Years — PROTECT Cohort, Four States, July 2021–May 2022

Karen Lutrick, PhD¹; Ashley Fowlkes, ScD²; Patrick Rivers, MPP¹; Katherine Herder, MPH³; Tammy A. Santibanez, PhD²;

Lindsay LeClair, MS, MPH⁴, Kimberly Groover, PhD⁴; Julie Mayo Lamberte, MSPH²; Lauren Grant, MS²; Leah Odame-Bamfo, MPH⁵; Maria V. Ferraris, MEd, MSPM⁶; Andrew L. Phillips, MD⁷; Brian Sokol, MSPA⁴; Ashley A. Lowe, PhD³; Clare Mathenge⁵; Felipe A Pubillones, DO⁶; Brianna Cottam, MA⁷; Hilary McLeland-Wieser, MPH⁴; Krystal S. Jovel, MA³; Jezahel S. Ochoa⁶; Jacob Mckell⁷; Mark Berry, PhD⁴; Sana Khan, MPH³; Natasha Schaefer Solle, PhD⁶; Ramona P. Rai, MPH⁴; Flavia Miiro Nakayima, MsC³; Gabriella Newes-Adeyi, PhD⁴; Cynthia Porter, MS³; Zoe Baccam, MPH³; Katherine D. Ellingson, PhD³; Jeffery L. Burgess, MD³; Manjusha Gaglani, MBBS⁵; Lisa Gwynn, DO⁶; Alberto Caban-Martinez, DO, PhD⁶; Sarang Yoon, DO⁷

Approximately 12 million children and adolescents aged ≤18 years in the United States have been infected with SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, since December 2019,* and COVID-19-associated hospitalization rates increased among children aged <5 years during the B.1.617.2 (Delta) and B.1.1.529 (Omicron) variant peaks (1). In June 2022, the Food and Drug Administration amended the Emergency Use Authorization for the BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) COVID-19 vaccine to include use of the vaccine in children aged 6 months-4 years and mRNA-1273 (Moderna) for children 6 months-5 years, which CDC recommends all children receive.[†] Advance reports indicated that fewer than 50% of parents were willing to vaccinate their children aged <5 years (2,3). Using the Pediatric Research Observing Trends and Exposures in COVID-19 Timelines (PROTECT)§ (4) prospective cohort, changes in parental perceptions toward COVID-19 vaccines and vaccination⁹ for children aged <5 years were examined during July 2021–May 2022. Among

393 parents who participated in a baseline survey, approximately 64%, 19%, and 10% reported they were likely, were unsure, or were unlikely, respectively, to have their child aged <5 years receive the COVID-19 vaccine. The odds of parents intending to vaccinate their child was lower 3 months after the baseline survey, (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 0.84, 95% CI = 0.6-1.0) than at baseline. During the same period, parents also were less likely to perceive that COVID-19 vaccines were effective (aOR = 0.61, 95% CI = 0.4-0.8) and safe (aOR = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.5-0.9) compared with baseline. Intent to vaccinate and perception of safety increased 6 months after the baseline survey in unadjusted models (OR = 1.66, 95% CI = 1.1–2.5; and OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.3–2.6, respectively), but were no longer significant after adjusting for the child's receipt of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result before survey completion, age, sex, race and ethnicity, health insurance, and study site. Enhanced efforts to address parental confidence in childhood vaccination and increase vaccination coverage among children aged <5 years are needed, including reinforcing the effectiveness and safety of vaccination against COVID-19.

PROTECT is an ongoing prospective cohort that includes >2,300 children and adolescents aged 4 months-17 years; the study monitors infections with SARS-CoV-2 in Arizona, Florida, Texas, and Utah (4). Children were recruited via community outreach from the public and from families participating in the HEROES-RECOVER longitudinal cohorts of essential and frontline workers (5,6). Upon enrollment, parents provided sociodemographic information, COVID-19 illness history, vaccination history, and their perceptions about COVID-19 vaccines for children. Participants are surveyed every 3 months. SARS-CoV-2 infections are identified among participant children through midturbinate nasal specimens collected weekly and tested via reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. Parents who completed the baseline survey and at least one follow-up survey were included in analysis. One child was randomly selected from households with two or more children aged <5 years to avoid household clustering.

^{*} https://www.aap.org/en/pages/2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19-infections/ children-and-covid-19-state-level-data-report/ (Accessed March 9, 2022).

[†] h t t p s://w w w.f d a.gov/news-events/press-announcements/ coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-moderna-and-pfizer-biontechcovid-19-vaccines-children

[§]PROTECT is conducted in Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona; Miami, Florida; Temple, Texas; and Salt Lake City, Utah.

⁹ Parental perceptions toward COVID-19 vaccines were assessed with the following questions and response options: 1) "How much do you know about COVID-19 vaccines in children? Would you say...? Nothing at all, a little, some, a lot, a great deal, don't know, decline to answer"; 2) "How safe do you think the COVID-19 vaccine is in children? Extremely safe, very safe, somewhat safe, not too safe, not at all safe, don't know, decline to answer"; 3) "How effective do you think the COVID-19 vaccine is in preventing children from getting sick with COVID-19? Extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective, not at all effective, don't know, decline to answer"; 4) "I trust what the government says about the COVID-19 vaccine. Strongly disagree, mildly disagree, neutral, mildly agree, strongly agree, don't know, decline to answer"; 5) "What are the chances that [participant name] will get a COVID-19 vaccination? almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance, almost certain, don't know, decline to answer"; and 6) "If [participant name] is unable to or doesn't get a COVID-19 vaccination, what do you think [participant name]'s chance of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance, almost certain, don't know, decline to answer."

This study was restricted to 393 children aged <5 years who were enrolled in the PROTECT study during July 2021–May 2022. Vaccine intention was ascertained using baseline parental responses to the question, "What are the chances that [child] will get a COVID-19 vaccination?" Responses were grouped into three categories: unlikely (almost zero chance, very small chance); unsure (small chance, do not know, moderate chance); and likely (large chance, very large chance, almost certain).

A generalized estimating equation (GEE) model was used for each question to evaluate whether within-parent responses changed from a neutral or negative response (unsure or unlikely) to a positive response 3 and 6 months after the baseline enrollment survey. All available surveys from participants in the analytic group were included in the GEE models. The survey time point was added as a categorical predictor to calculate the OR for vaccine intention and vaccine perceptions. In addition, ORs describe the likelihood of all participants providing more positive responses at the 3-month and 6-month surveys compared with the baseline survey. Both unadjusted and adjusted models were calculated; the adjusted model included a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 infection in the child between surveys, sociodemographic characteristics, and study site. For vaccination intention outcomes, GEE models with multinomial distributions and cumulative logit links were used; the other models assessing perception outcomes used binomial distributions and logit links. All statistical analyses were completed using SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute); statistical significance was defined as p<0.05 for chi-square tests and nonoverlapping 95% CIs for GEE models. PROTECT was reviewed by CDC and approved by the Institutional Review Boards at University of Arizona and Abt Associates under reliance agreements; the study was conducted consistent with applicable federal law and CDC policy.**

During July 2021–May 2022, parents provided information on 393 children aged <5 years enrolled in the PROTECT study (Table 1). The majority of children (227; 58%) resided in Arizona, and 92 (23%) had parents in the HEROES-RECOVER cohort (5,6). Median age was 2.8 years (SD = 1.3 year); 189 (48%) were male, 183 (47%) were non-Hispanic White persons, and 110 (28%) were Hispanic persons; 132 (34%) children received a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result during the study. At baseline, 253 (64.4%) parents reported that they were likely to get their child vaccinated; 76 (19.3%) were unsure, and 39 (9.9%) reported that they were unlikely to vaccinate their child (Table 1). There were statistically significant differences in vaccine intention identified by study site (p<0.001), positive SARS-CoV-2 test result during the study (p = 0.006), percent of household members vaccinated (p = 0.011), and household income (p = 0.003).

Approximately two thirds of participants (270; 68.7%) completed a 3-month survey and 137 (34.9%) completed a 6-month survey (Table 2) (Figure). Among parents who completed a 3-month survey, 11 (4.1%) changed their vaccination intent from a neutral or negative to positive response after 3 months, although parents overall were 24% less likely to vaccinate (aOR = 0.76) than they were at baseline. Also at 3 months, 30 (11.2%) parents changed their perception of vaccine effectiveness from neutral or negative to positive, although overall, they were 39% less likely to perceive the vaccine as effective (aOR = 0.61). At 3 months after the baseline survey, perception of vaccine safety changed from neutral or negative to positive for 29 (10.9%) parents; however, overall parents were 35% less likely to perceive the vaccine as safe (aOR = 0.65). When asked about perceived trust in government, 28 (10.7%) of parents changed from a negative or neutral to a positive response after 3 months, although they were 51% less likely to report trust in the government compared with baseline (aOR = 0.49).

Among 137 parents who completed a 6-month survey, 11 (8.1%) changed their perception of vaccine effectiveness from neutral or negative to positive (Table 2); overall parents were 62% less likely to have a positive response (aOR = 0.38) regarding vaccine effectiveness. Eleven (8.4%) parents changed their level of trust in government from negative or neutral to positive, although overall, parents were 49% less likely to have a positive response (aOR = 0.51). In unadjusted models only, vaccination intent and perceptions of vaccine safety were less likely to be neutral or negative at 6 months (OR = 1.66 and OR = 1.82, respectively); after adjusting for receipt of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result before 6-month survey completion, age, sex, race and ethnicity, health insurance, and site, these were no longer statistically significant.

Discussion

Among parents of 393 children aged <5 years in this analysis, 64% indicated at baseline that they were likely to have their child vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine. During a 3-month observation period, however, parents indicated decreased intention to vaccinate and decreased confidence in COVID-19 vaccine safety and effectiveness as well as less trust in the government. Among the subset of participants who were in the study for 6 months, perceptions of vaccine safety, vaccine knowledge, and intent to vaccinate increased, but only in models that were not adjusted for potential confounders including SARS-CoV-2 infection during the study period.

^{** 45} C.F.R. part 46; 21 C.F.R. part 56; 42 U.S.C. Sect. 241(d), 5 U.S.C. Sect. 552a, 44 U.S.C. Sect. 3501 et seq.

TABLE 1. Baseline parental COVID-19 vaccination intent for children aged <5 years, by selected characteristics — Pediatric Research Observing Trends and Exposures in COVID-19 Timelines, four states, July 2021–May 2022

	Participants	Vaccination intent, no. (row %* or SD)			
Characteristic	no. (column % or SD)	Unlikely	Unsure	Likely	p-value [†]
All children	393 (100)	39 (9.9)	76 (19.3)	253 (64.4)	
Median age, yrs	2.8 (1.3)	2.9 (1.3)	2.9 (1.3)	3.0 (1.1)	0.865
Sex					
Male	189 (48.1)	22 (11.6)	37 (19.6)	127 (67.7)	0.198
Female	186 (47.3)	16 (8.6)	38 (20.4)	126 (67.2)	
Missing	18 (4.6)	1 (5.6)	1 (5.6)	0 (—)	
Race and ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	183 (46.6)	18 (9.8)	34 (18.6)	130 (71.0)	0.400
Black, non-Hispanic	12 (3.1)	2 (16.7)	5 (41.7)	5 (41.7)	
Asian, non-Hispanic	13 (3.3)	1 (7.7)	4 (30.8)	8 (61.5)	
Hispanic	110 (28.0)	11 (10.0)	25 (22.7)	71 (64.5)	
Other	36 (9.1)	2 (5.6)	5 (13.9)	29 (80.6)	
Site					
Tucson, Arizona	156 (39.7)	8 (5.1)	15 (9.6)	126 (80.8)	< 0.001
Phoenix, Arizona	41 (10.4)	2 (4.9)	7 (17.1)	29 (70.7)	
Other areas in Arizona	30 (7.6)	4 (13.3)	7 (23.3)	19 (63.3)	
Temple, Texas	42 (10.7)	10 (23.8)	10 (23.8)	20 (47.6)	
Salt Lake City, Utah	56 (14.3)	6 (10.7)	14 (25.0)	35 (62.5)	
Miami, Florida	68 (17.3)	9 (13.2)	23 (33.8)	24 (35.3)	
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result before study	48 (12.2)	3 (6.3)	14 (29.2)	29 (60.4)	0.173
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result during study	132 (33.6)	21 (15.9)	18 (13.6)	89 (67.4)	0.006
% of household members aged >5 years vaccinated	d				
0	6 (1.5)	3 (50.0)	1 (16.7)	2 (33.3)	0.011
<50	21 (5.3)	2 (9.5)	4 (19.0)	5 (23.8)	
≥50	366 (93.1)	34 (9.3)	71 (19.4)	246 (67.2)	
Parents enrolled in adult study	92 (23.4)	10 (10.9)	16 (17.4)	66 (71.7)	0.628
Parent insured					
Yes	351 (89.3)	39 (11.1)	66 (18.8)	242 (68.9)	0.026
No	23 (5.9)	0 (—)	9 (39.1)	10 (43.5)	
Missing	19 (4.8)	0 (—)	1 (5.3)	1 (5.3)	
Household income					
\$0-\$49,999	54 (13.7)	6 (11.1)	17 (31.5)	28 (51.9)	0.003
\$50,000-\$99,999	101 (25.7)	15 (14.9)	25 (24.8)	61 (60.4)	
\$100,000-\$149,999	77 (19.6)	5 (6.5)	11 (14.3)	60 (77.9)	
≥\$150,000	112 (28.5)	8 (7.1)	13 (11.6)	90 (80.4)	
Responses to vaccine questions, [§] mean (SD)					
Vaccination intent [¶]	5.7 (0.10)	1.5 (0.08)	3.7 (0.07)	6.7 (0.04)	< 0.001
Chance of getting sick	4.2 (0.08)	2.6 (0.20)	3.7 (0.12)	4.6 (0.09)	< 0.001
Vaccine knowledge	3.1 (0.06)	2.6 (0.14)	2.5 (0.13)	3.4 (0.07)	< 0.001
Vaccine safety	3.9 (0.05)	2.5 (0.20)	3.1 (0.10)	4.2 (0.05)	< 0.001
Vaccine effectiveness	3.9 (0.05)	2.6 (0.19)	3.5 (0.10)	4.2 (0.05)	<0.001
Trust in government	3.9 (0.07)	2.5 (0.21)	3.5 (0.11)	4.2 (0.08)	<0.001

* Might not sum to 100% because of rounding or missing intention category for some persons. Likely included "large chance," "very large chance," and "almost certain"; unsure included "small chance," "do not know," and "moderate chance"; and unlikely included "almost zero chance" and "very small chance."

⁺ Chi-square tests performed to test if the distribution of each characteristic differed by intention group. An analysis of variance was used to test if the median age of children and vaccine belief questions differed between intention groups.

[§] For all responses, a higher value means a more positive response. Parental perceptions toward COVID-19 vaccines were assessed with the following questions and response options: 1) "How much do you know about COVID-19 vaccines in children? Would you say...? Nothing at all, a little, some, a lot, a great deal, don't know, decline to answer"; 2) "How safe do you think the COVID-19 vaccine is in children? Extremely safe, very safe, somewhat safe, not too safe, not at all safe, don't know, decline to answer"; 3) "How effective do you think the COVID-19 vaccine is in preventing children from getting sick with COVID-19? Extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective, not at all effective, don't know, decline to answer"; 4) "I trust what the government says about the COVID-19 vaccine. Strongly disagree, mildly disagree, neutral, mildly agree, strongly agree, don't know, decline to answer"; 5) "What are the chances that [participant name] will get a COVID-19 vaccination? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance, almost certain, don't know, decline to answer"; and 6) "If [participant] is unable to or doesn't get a COVID-19 vaccination, what do you think [participant]'s chance of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance, of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance of getting sick with COVID-19 this year will be? Almost zero chance, very small chance, small, moderate, large, very large chance, almo

[¶] This question is used to identify the vaccination intent columns.

		No. (%)		Odds ratio [†] (95% CI)	
Survey questions*/Time after baseline survey, mos.	Participant responses, no.	Response change to neutral or negative	Response change to positive	Unadjusted	Adjusted [§]
Intention to vaccinate					
3	269	24 [¶] (8.9)	11 (4.1)	0.84 (0.68-1.04)	0.76 [¶] (0.59–0.99)
6	137	11 (8.0)	7 (5.1)	1.66 [¶] (1.10–2.50)	1.10 (0.73–1.67)
Chance of getting sick					
3	270	39 (14.4)	29 (10.7)	1.16 (0.89–1.52)	1.12 (0.83–1.51)
6	135	16 (11.9)	15 (11.1)	1.40 (0.98-2.00)	1.12 (0.76–1.65)
Vaccine knowledge					
3	270	21 (7.8)	33 (12.2)	1.30* (1.03–1.64)	1.21 (0.93–1.58)
6	136	15 (11.0)	20 (14.7)	1.45* (1.05–2.00)	1.29 (0.88–1.88)
Vaccine safety					
3	266	54 [¶] (20.3)	29 (10.9)	0.82 (0.63-1.08)	0.65 [¶] (0.47–0.90)
6	134	7 (5.2)	17 (12.7)	1.82 [¶] (1.29–2.57)	1.06 (0.71–1.58)
Vaccine effectiveness					
3	269	60 [¶] (22.3)	30 (11.2)	0.80 (0.61-1.06)	0.61 [¶] (0.44–0.84)
6	136	38 [¶] (27.9)	11 (8.1)	0.76 (0.54–1.07)	0.38 [¶] (0.25–0.57)
Trust in government					
3	262	65 [¶] (24.8)	28 (10.7)	0.67 [¶] (0.50–0.89)	0.49 [¶] (0.34–0.71)
6	131	31 [¶] (23.7)	11 (8.4)	1.01 (0.70–1.46)	0.51 [¶] (0.32–0.81)

TABLE 2. Change in knowledge, attitude, and practice responses of parents of children aged <5 years from baseline to 3- and 6-month surveys — Pediatric Research Observing Trends and Exposures in COVID-19 Timelines, four states, July 2021–May 2022

* For all vaccine perception questions except intention: odds of moving from a negative/neutral response at baseline to a positive response at follow-up. For intention: odds of being more likely to vaccinate at follow-up compared with at baseline (odds of changing from unlikely to vaccinate to being unsure of vaccinating, or unsure of vaccinating to likely to vaccinate). Odds ratio below 1 indicates less likely to go from negative/neutral to positive, and an odds ratio above 1 indicates more likely to go from negative/neutral to positive from baseline to follow-up; chance of getting sick: positive defined as "large chance," very large chance," or "almost certain"; neutral defined as "small chance," do not know," or "moderate chance"; and negative defined as "almost zero chance" and "very small chance"; vaccine knowledge positive defined as "a lot" or "a great deal"; neutral defined as "altel," "some," or "do not know"; and negative defined as "not too safe;" or "extremely safe" or "extremely effective"; neutral defined as "not too effective," somewhat effective," or "do not know"; and negative defined as "not at all safe; vaccine efficiend as "not as "large chance," or "do not know"; and negative defined as "not know"; and negative defined as "not at all safe; vaccine effective as "not at all effective," or "do not know"; and negative defined as "not too safe;" or "do not know"; and negative defined as "not and negative defined as "not know"; and negative defined as "not as "not at all safe; to or "extremely effective"; neutral defined as "not too effective," somewhat effective," or "do not know"; and negative defined as "not know"; and negative defined as "

[†] Odds ratios for both time points are compared with baseline responses.

§ Adjusted for receiving a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result before survey completion, age, sex, race and ethnicity, health insurance, and site.

[¶] Indicates statistically significant result.

Perceptions of vaccine effectiveness and trust in government remained neutral or negative after 6 months.

The PROTECT cohort demonstrated a higher parental intent to vaccinate their young children than did other earlier surveys (2,7). Participants in COVID-19 research might be more likely than nonparticipants to comply with CDC recommendations. However, intention to vaccinate and vaccine confidence decreased over time, even though the vaccines were demonstrated to be safe and effective in older children (8). The decline in confidence is likely the result of multiple factors. For example, the follow-up period occurred at the time of pandemic-related events that might have affected perceptions about COVID-19 vaccines, including conflicting news reports of vaccine availability for this age group (3). In addition, one third of participants received positive SARS-CoV-2 test results during the observation period, which might have reduced parents' confidence in or perceived need for the COVID-19 vaccine^{††} or reinforced assumptions of mild illness in children. Finally, news of lower estimates of vaccine effectiveness in older children potentially influenced the decline in vaccine confidence (9) in early 2022.

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, follow-up surveys were distributed over 3-month periods, making discerning specific causes of changes in vaccine perception difficult. Second, because the study population is participating in a surveillance and vaccine-effectiveness study and includes frontline workers, vaccine intention might be inflated. Third, the majority of participants are from Arizona, which might not be representative of other states. Finally, not all participants in this ongoing longitudinal cohort study have been enrolled long enough to complete follow-up surveys.

This study is the first longitudinal analysis of vaccine intention and perceptions among parents of children aged <5 years. During a 3-month observation period, parents reported

^{††} https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/poll-finding/ kff-covid-19-vaccine-monitor-april-2022



FIGURE. Distribution of 3-month and 6-month surveys, by study month — Pediatric Research Observing Trends and Exposures in COVID-19 Timelines cohort, four states, October 2021–May 2022

Summary

What is already known on this topic?

In June 2022, COVID-19 vaccines were authorized for use in children aged 6 months–5 years. Intent to vaccinate and vaccination rates in children have been low.

What is added by this report?

During July 2021–May 2022, in a longitudinal cohort of 393 children aged <5 years in four states, parental intent to vaccinate children against COVID-19 and perception of COVID-19 vaccine safety and effectiveness declined over a 3-month period, but intent to vaccinate and perceptions of vaccine safety returned to baseline after 6 months.

What are the implications for public health practice?

Identifying and addressing barriers to COVID-19 vaccination in children aged <5 years and educating parents about COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness and safety in young children are critical to increasing pediatric COVID-19 vaccination coverage.

reduced confidence and intent to vaccinate their child when the vaccine becomes available, although their overall intent is higher than other national published rates (2,7,10). Enhanced efforts to identify and address parental barriers to and increase confidence in COVID-19 vaccination in children aged <5 years are needed, including educating parents about the effectiveness and safety of COVID-19 vaccination in this population.

Acknowledgments

Mark Thompson, Eduardo Azziz-Baumgartner, Stephanie Bialek, Monica Dickerson, Alicia M. Fry, Erica Guthrie, Aaron Hall, Mary Hoelscher, Adam MacNeil, Cria Perrine, Tamara Pilishvili, CDC; Claire Douglas, Edward Hock, Keya Jacoby, Utsav Kattel, Ryan Klein, Khaila Prather, Rajbansi Raorane, Alfredo Rodriguez-Nogues, John Thacker, Joseph Thomas, Molly Vaughan, Abt Associates, Inc.; Alexander Arroliga, Madhava Beeram, Nicole Calhoun, Jason Ettlinger, Ashley Graves, Eric Hoffman, Muralidhar Jatla, Amanda McKillop, Kempapura Murthy, Elisa Priest, Natalie Settele, Michael Smith, Jennifer Thomas, Martha Zayed, Baylor Scott & White Health; Ariyah Armstrong, Nora Baccam, Shawn Bietel, Tatum Butcher, Dimaye Calvo, Shelby Capell, Andrea Carmona, Alissa Coleman, Hannah Cowling, Carly Deal, Kiara Earley, Sophie Evans, Joe Gerald, Lynn Gerald, Erika Goebert, Taylor Graham, Sofia Grijalva, Hanna Hanson, Chloe Hendrix, Adrianna Hernandez, Raven Hilvard, James Hollister, Rezwana Islam, Karl Krupp, Caroline Klinck, Karla Ledezma, Sally Littau, Amelia Lobos, Purnima Madhivanan, Jeremy Makar, Natalya Mayhew, Kristisha Mevises, Janko Nikolich-Zugich, Assumpta Nsengiyunva, Kennedy Obrien, Mya Pena, James K. Romine, Priyanka Sharma, Alison Slocum, Saskia Smidt, Jayla Soowell, Danielle Stea, Nicholas Tang, Gianna Taylor, Heena Timsina, Italia Trejo, University of Arizona; Brandon Astor, Cynthia Beaver, Olga Carrera, Alexandra Cruz, Meghal Desai, Paola Louzado Feliciano, Damena Gallimore-Wilson, Johanna Garibaldi, Eugenia Victoria Gomez, Catalina Gonzalez, Aimee Green, John M. Jones, Hannah Kling, Ian Lee, Brigitte Madan, Daniela Maizel, Erin Morgan, Roger Noriega, Kemi Ogunsina, Annabel Reyes, Rachel Reyes, Christian Rojas, Carlos Silvera, Cole Southworth, Alex Steward, Nathaly Suarez, Addison Testoff, Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami; Arlyne Arteaga, Rachel Brown, Matthew M. Bruner, Brianna Cottam, Amanda Flanagan, Adriele Fugal, Tiffany Ho, Adrianna F. Hunsaker, Taryn Hunt-Smith, Iman M. Ibrahim, Michael Langston, Jacob McKell, Christy Porucznik, Jenna Praggastis, Lillian C. Prentice, Madeleine Smith, Joseph B. Stanford, Rocky Mountain Center for Occupational and Environmental Health, University of Utah Health.

Corresponding author: Karen Lutrick, klutrick@arizona.edu.

All authors have completed and submitted the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors form for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest. Manjusha Gaglani reports being co-chair of the Infectious Diseases and Immunization Committee, Texas Pediatric Society and support from Janssen for a respiratory syncytial virus birth cohort observational study. No other potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References

- Marks KJ, Whitaker M, Agathis NT, et al.; COVID-NET Surveillance Team. Hospitalization of infants and children aged 0–4 years with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19—COVID-NET, 14 states, March 2020–February 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022;71:429–36. PMID:35298458 https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7111e2
- Szilagyi PG, Shah MD, Delgado JR, et al. Parents' intentions and perceptions about COVID-19 vaccination for their children: results from a national survey. Pediatrics 2021;148:e2021052335. PMID:34344800 https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-052335

- 3. KFF. 1 in 5 parents of children under 5 intend to get them a COVID-19 vaccine right away once eligible; most say approval delays have not shaken their confidence in vaccine's safety and effectiveness [Press release]. San Francisco, CA: KFF; 2022. https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/ press-release/1-in-5-parents-of-children-under-5-intend-to-get-them-a-covid-19-vaccine-right-away-once-eligible/
- Burns J, Rivers P, LeClair LB, et al. Pediatric Research Observing Trends and Exposures in COVID-19 Timelines (PROTECT): protocol for a multisite longitudinal cohort study. JMIR Res Protoc 2022;11:e37929. PMID:35635842 https://doi.org/10.2196/37929
- Lutrick K, Ellingson KD, Baccam Z, et al. COVID-19 infection, reinfection, and vaccine effectiveness in a prospective cohort of Arizona frontline/essential workers: the AZ HEROES research protocol. JMIR Res Protoc 2021;10:e28925. PMID:34057904 https://doi. org/10.2196/28925
- Edwards LJ, Fowlkes AL, Wesley MG, et al. Research on the epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 in essential response personnel (RECOVER) study: protocol for a multisite longitudinal cohort. JMIR Res Protoc 2021;10:e31574. PMID:34662287 https://doi.org/10.2196/31574
- Ruggiero KM, Wong J, Sweeney CF, et al. Parents' intentions to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. J Pediatr Health Care 2021;35:509–17. PMID:34217553 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2021.04.005
- Hause AM, Baggs J, Marquez P, et al. COVID-19 vaccine safety in children aged 5–11 years—United States, November 3–December 19, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:1755–60. PMID:34968370 https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm705152a1
- Lutrick K, Rivers P, Yoo YM, et al. Interim estimate of vaccine effectiveness of BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection among adolescents aged 12–17 years—Arizona, July–December 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:1761–5. PMID:34968373 https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm705152a2
- Santibanez TA, Nguyen KH, Greby SM, et al. Parental vaccine hesitancy and childhood influenza vaccination. Pediatrics 2020;146:e2020007609. PMID:33168671 https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-007609

¹Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine – Tucson, University of Arizona Health Sciences, Tucson, Arizona; ²CDC COVID-19 Emergency Response Team; ³Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona; ⁴Abt Associates, Rockville, Maryland; ⁵Baylor Scott & White Health, Texas A&M University School of Medicine, Temple, Texas; ⁶Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida; ⁷Rocky Mountain Center for Occupational and Environmental Health, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Utah Health, Salt Lake City, Utah.